DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 326 445 SE 051 807

AUTHOR Sedlacek, Deborah Sherman

TITLE Mathematics Achievement and Classroom Instructional

Activities. National Assessment of Educational

Progress, 1985-86. Survey Report.

INSTITUTION National Center for Education Statistics (ED),

Washington, DC.

REPORT NO NCES-90-491

PUB DATE Sep 90

NOTE 132p.; Tables contain small type which may not

reproduce well.

AVAILABLE FROM Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing

Office, Washington, DC 20402 (\$5.50).

FUE TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) -- Statistical

Data (110)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC06 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Computer Uses in Education; Databases; Educational

Strategies; *Elementary School Mathematics;

Elementary Secondary Education; Females; *Mathematics Achievement; Mathematics Education; *Minority Groups;

*Secondary School Mathematics; *Sex Differences;

Teaching Methods

ABSTRACT

This report analyzes the overall mathematics achievement and its potential relationship to instructional activities. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) assessed the mathematics achievement in seven content areas, five of which were administered at all three grade levels included in the assessment. This report focuses on the five content areas common to all grade levels and attempts to answer three questions: (1) Are there differences in mathematics achievement on any of the common content area subscales across levels of exposure to traditional instructional activities? (2) Are there differences in mathematics achievement on any of the common content area subscales across levels of computer use? and (3) Are the 3 differences in mathematics achievement of any of the cc. M. n content area subscales across levels of mathematics course taking? In addition to addressing the three major questions, the report presents comparisons between racial-ethnic group, gender, and type of school attended in an attempt to determine whether the differences in mathematics achievement typically found on these variables change when the levels of instructional activity, computer use, and mathematics course taking are held constant. The analyses in this study used achievement and background data from version 2.0 of the Public Use Data Tape for the 1985-1986 NAEF. This report includes the methodology and analysis, results, and conclusions. Several insights into potential relationships between mathematics-related instructional activities and student achievement are highlighted including the following: (1) daily exposure to some traditional instructional activity does appear to be associated with higher levels of mathematics achievement in specific content areas; (2) when the number of math courses an examinee reported having taken was held constant, non-Hispanic Whites still tended to have significantly higher achievement scores than Blacks and Hispanics; and (3) no gender difference in achievement was found at the third-grade level. Technical notes, detailed methodology, and the NAEP data in tabular form are appended. (KR)



NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS

Survey Report

September 1990

National Assessment of Educational Progress, 1985–86

Mathematics Achievement and Classioom Instructional Activities

U.S. DEFARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

this document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement

NCES 90-491

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS

Survey Report

September 1990

National Assessment of Educational Progress, 1985–86

Mathematics Achievement and Classroom Instructional Activities

Deborah Sherman Sedlacek
Elementary and Secondary Outcomes Division







U.S. Department of Education

Lauro F. Cavazos Secretary

Office of Educational Research and Improvement

Christopher T. Cross Assistant Secretary

National Center for Education Statistics

Emerson J. Elliott Acting Commissioner

Information Services

Sharon K. Horn Director

National Center for Education Statistics

"The purpose of the Center shall be to collect, and analyze, and disseminate statistics and other data related to education in the United States and in other nations."—Section 406(b) of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended (20 U.S.C. 1221e-1).

September 1990

Contact: Deborah Sherman Sedlacek (202) 357–6933



HIGHLIGHTS

In the 1985-86 National Assessment of Educational Progress, mathematics achievement and background data were collected on a nationally representative sample of American school-age children in grades 3, 7, and 11. These data provide insights into potential relationships between mathematics-related instructional activities and student achievement.

- Daily exposure to some traditional instructional activities does appear to be associated with higher levels of mathematics achievement in specific content areas. Frequency of exposure appears to make more difference at the 7th-grade level than at the 3rd- or 11th-grade levels. Doing math homework, working math problems alone, and using math textbooks regularly were the instructional activities most often associated with higher mathematics scores.
- Frequency of exposure to instructional activities appears to have the largest effect on the computational and termrecognition component of mathematics achievement and the smallest effect on the components of concept formation, problem solving, and symbolization of relationships.
- Using computers also appears to be associated with higher mathematics scores, particularly at the 11th-grade level. Examinees at both the 7th- and 11th-grade levels who reported having used a computer to play a game or solve a math problem had higher scores in several content areas, including the important areas of concept formation, problem solving, and symbolization of numeric relationships.
- Examinees who reported having taken more math courses had significantly higher mathematics achievement in all content areas than examinees who reported taking fewer courses.
- Non-Hispanic white examinees had significantly higher scores in all the content areas than black or Hispanic examinees. When exposure to traditional instructional activities was held constant, these differences persisted, except among examinees who were rarely exposed to math-related activities. When computer use was held constant, differential achievement between non-Hispanic whites and blacks persisted, but the differences between non-Hispanic white and Hispanic achievement diminished somewhat.
- When the number of math courses an examinee reported having taken was held constant, non-Hispanic whites still



ij.i

tended to have significantly higher achievement scores than blacks and Hispanics.

- No gender differences in achievement were found at the 3rd-grade level, but girls did significantly better than boys in the computational and term recognition area at grade 7, and boys did significantly better than girls in measurement and higher level conceptual skills at grade 11. When traditional instructional activities and computer use were held constant, the 7th-grade gender differences disappeared. In grade 11, however, boys continued to outperform girls on measurement skills (in comparisons involving instructional activities) and on higher level conceptual skills (in comparisons involving computer use).
- When the number of math courses taken was held constant, llth-grade boys still had higher achievement than llthgrade girls in the data organization and interpretation content area (when one or two courses had been taken) and in the measurement and higher level applications content area (when three or four courses had been taken).
- Examinees who attended Catholic and Inparochial private schools had significantly higher achievement on most of the mathematics content areas studied at each of the three grade levels. When exposure to traditional instructional activities was held constant, however, no significant differences in mathematics achievement were found between public and private school examinees.

CONTENTS

HIGHLIGHTS				•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iii
INTRODUCTIO	on .			•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	1
METHODOLOGY	AND	ANAI	LYSI	S		•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	2
RESULTS				•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
Main E	ffects	s: '	rad	iti	ona	al	In	stı	ruc	ct:	ioi	na:	l i	Act	tiv	vi ¹	ti	es			6
Main E	ffects	s: (Comp	ute	r t	Jse		•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	9
Main E	ffects	s: l	dmul	er	of	Co	ur	ses	5]	[a]	cer	1.	•		•	•			•	•	12
Gender	Effec	cts		•		•															13
Race-Et																					13
School																					14
CONCLUSIONS	s			•		•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15
REFERENCES	• •			•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	17
APPENDIX:																					19 1-5
	Table	. 26		_		_	_				_									_	A



v

LIST OF TABLES

- 1.1 Grade 11--"How often do you watch your teacher work mathematics problems at the board?"
- 1.2 Grade 7--"How often do you watch your teacher work mathematics problems at the board?"
- 1.3 Grade 3--"How often do you watch your teacher work mathematics problems at the board?"
- 2.1 Grade 11--"How often do you work mathematics problems at the board?"
- 2.2 Grade 7--"How often do you work mathematics problems at the board?"
- 2.3 Grade 3--"How often do you work mathematics problems at the board?"
- 3.1 Grade 11-"How often do you use a mathematics textbook?"
- 3.2 Grade 7-"How often do you use a mathematics textbook?"
- 4.1 Grade 11-"How often do you do mathematics homework?"
- 4.2 Grade 7--"How often do you do mathematics homework?"
- 4.3 Grade 3--"How often do you do mathematics homework?"
- 5.1 Grade 11--"How often do you work mathematics problems alone?"
- 5.2 Grade 7--"How often do you work mathematics problems alone?"
- 5.3 Grade 3--"How often do you work mathematics problems alone?"
- 6.1 Grade 11--"How often do you work mathematics problems in small groups?"
- 6.2 Grade 7--"How often do you work mathematics problems in small groups?"
- 6.3 Grade 3--"How often do you work mathematics problems in small groups?"
- 7.1 Grade 11--"How often do you use a mathematics workbook?"
- 7.2 Grade 7--"How often do you use a mathematics workbook?"



vi = 8

- 7.3 Grade 3--"How often do you use a mathematics workbook?"
- 8.1 Grade 11-"How often do you take mathematics tests?"
- 8.2 Grade 7--"How often do you take mathematics tests?"
- 8.3 Grade 3--"How often do you take mathematics tests?"
- 9.1 Grade 11--"How often do you listen to a mathematics lesson explained?"
- 9.2. Grade 7--"How often do you listen to a mathematics lesson explained?"
- 9.3 Grade 3--"How often do you listen to a mathematics lesson explained?"
- 10.1 Grade 11--"Did you ever study math through computer instruction?"
- 10.2 Grade 7--"Did you ever study math through computer instruction?"
- 11.1 Grade 11-"Did you ever use a computer to solve a math
 problem?"
- 11.2 Grade 7-"Did you ever use a computer to solve a math problem?"
- 12.1 Grade 11--"Did you ever use a computer to play a game?"
- 12.2 Grade 7--"Did you ever use a computer to play a game?"
- 13.1 Grade 11--"Did you ever use a computer to solve a linear programming problem?"
- 13.2 Grade 7--"Did you ever use a computer to solve a linear programming problem?"
- 14.1 Grade 11--"Did you ever use a computer to perform a statistical analysis?"
- 15.1 Grade 11--"Did you ever use a computer to process business, science, or social information?"
- 15.2 Grade 7--"Did you ever use a computer to process business, science, or social information?"
- 16.1 Grade 11--"Did you ever write a computer program to solve a math problem?"



- 16.2 Grade 7--"Did you ever write a computer program to solve a
 math problem?"
- 17.1 Grade 11--"Did you ever write a computer program to play a game?"
- 17.2 Grade 7--"Did you ever write a computer program to play a game?"
- 18.1 Grade 11--"Did you ever write a computer program to solve a linear programming problem?"
- 18.2 Grade 7--"Did you ever write a computer program to solve a linear programming problem?"
- 19.1 Grade 11--"Did you ever write a computer program to perform statistical analysis?"
- 19.2 Grade 7--"Did you ever write a computer program to perform statistical analysis?"
- 20.1 Grade 11--"Did you ever write a computer program to process business, science, or social information?"
- 20.2 Grade 7--"Did you ever write a computer program to process business, science, or social information?"
- 21 Grade 11--Number of math courses taken
- 22 Average percent correct on 1985-86 NAEP mathematics subscales totaled by race, gender, and type of school examinee attends



LIST OF FIGURES

1.	NAEP background items used	4
2.	Total number of items on NAEP mathematics subscales and average number taken by each examinee	5
3.	NAEP mathematics subscales for which significant differences in achievement were found, by grade level, for traditional instructional activities	7
4.	NAEP mathematics subscales for which significant differences in achievement were found, by grade level, for computer use	10
5.	Percentage of examinees responding positively to computer use questions	11
6.	NAEP mathematics subscales for which significant differences in achievement were found, by grade level, computer use, and type of school examinee attends	16



INTRODUCTION

Concern has been increasing in the education community over results from recent studies which suggest that American students are behind their counterparts in other countries in almost every aspect of mathematics achievement (Burstein and Hawkins 1986; Lapointe et al. 1989; McKnight et al. 1987; Stevenson et al. 1986). Researchers attribute this lower level of achievement to a number of sources: low emphasis on mathematics, ability grouping in U.S. schools, repetitive mathematics curriculums, teachers' beliefs and attitudes about learning math (McKnight et al. 1987), and classroom instructional activities (Brophy and Good 1986).

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a rich source of information on the academic skills of American children and the changing levels of these skills over time. The NAEP database also contains a substantial amount of information on the backgrounds and attitudes of examinees. A major purpose behind the collection of background data in NAEP is to facilitate the search for variables that affect student achievement. Although survey data cannot establish cause-effect relationships, analysis of data from a nationally representative sample like NAEP can help point out directions for controlled research studies and isolate areas where changes in classroom or school-level procedures might prove fruitful.

One important area of interest to educational policymakers is the impact of specific instructional activities on student achievement; a subset of the NAEP background questions deals with the frequency of student exposure to a variety of such activities. The Mathematics Report Card: Are We Measuring Up? (Dossey et al. 1988) presented data on the percentage of students who reported being exposed to a range of instructional activities and who also scored in the upper and lower quartiles on the mathematics assessment. This analysis focused on overall mathematics achievement and its potential relationship to instructional activities. It did not, however, attempt to determine whether instructional activities have a differential impact on specific components of math achievement.

NAEP assessed mathematics achievement in seven content areas, five of which were administered at all three grade levels included in the assessment. This report focuses on the five content areas common to all grade levels and attempts to answer three questions:

- Are there differences in mathematics achievement on any of the common content area subscales across levels of exposure to traditional instructional activities?
- Are there differences in mathematics achievement on any of the common content area subscales across levels of computer use?



1

 Are there differences in mathematics achievement on any of the common content area subscales across levels of mathematics course taking?

In addition to addressing the three major questions, the report presents comparisons between racial-ethnic group, gender, and type of school attended in an attempt to determine whether the differences in mathematics achievement typically found on these variables change when the levels of instructional activity, computer use, and mathematics course taking are held constant.

METHODOLOGY AND ANALYSIS

The analyses in this study used achievement and background data from version 2.0 of the Public Use Data Tape for the 1985-86 These data constitute a representative sample of American school-age children in grades 3, 7, and 11. Data from all three grades were used for students who (1) received mathematics test items and (2) responded to the background items considered. sample sizes were 10,900 for grade 3; 12,100 for grade 7; and 11,500 for grade 11. It should be noted, however, that each examiree in the NAEP sample receives a subset of the mathematics Therefore, sample sizes in any particular table of this report are substantially lower than the total number of students at that grade level who were tested in mathematics. For grades 3 and 7, all examinees were included in the tabulations because at these grade levels most students are taking math (only 1 percent of the grade 7 sample were not taking a math course). For grade 11, however, 22 percent of the examinees said they were not enrolled in a math course. Only those examinees who reported that they were currently taking math were included in the grade 11 analysis. Specific information about the NAEP design, calculation of the independent and dependent variables, and standard errors is presented in the appendix.

Nine of the NAEP background items that asked examinees to report the frequency with which they were exposed to traditional instructional activities (e.g., watching the teacher work problems on the board or using a math workbook) were used in the analysis. Ten NAEP background items that asked examinees about their use and programming of computers were also selected. addition, the number of mathematics courses that examinees reported having taken was totaled and these data were used as an additional background variable. Data on the traditional instructional activities were available from all three grade levels (except for the question on textbook usage, which was asked of grades 7 and 11 only). Data on computer use were available only for 7th- and 11th-grade examinees, and data on the number of math courses taken were available for 11th grade on /. Figure 1 contains a list of the background items used and the grade levels to which they apply.

Test items on the NAEP mathematics assessment were grouped into subscales by content area. The subscales have no absolute meaning in the sense that a given amount of learning on one subscale equals the same amount of learning on other subscales. The subscales do, however, measure how students at a particular grade level are doing in a particular content area. The content areas covered in the NAEP mathematics assessment are discussed at length in Math Objectives: 1985-86 Assesment (NAEP 1984). Briefly, however, the five subscales in this tabulation were as follows:

- "Fundamental Methods." This subscale included exercises covering the basic tools of mathematics: deductive and inductive proof, logic, problem-solving strategies, and empirical induction.
- "Data Organization and Interpretation." This subscale included exercises to assess organizing, analyzing, and interpreting data, including determining measurement of central tendency and of spread.
- "Measurement." This subscale included exercises to assess the development of concepts of measurement, equivalence, and instrument reading (e.g., length, time, temperature, mass and weight, area and volume, angles, scale drawing, and money).
- "Numbers and Onerations: Knowledge and Skills." This
 subscale included exercises that measure knowledge of
 words, symbols, and figures and the skills of performing
 straightforward computations with whole numbers, common
 fractions, decimals, and percents.
- "Numbers and Operations: Higher Level Applications." This subscale included exercises to measure a deeper understanding of the concepts and relationships between and among whole numbers, fractions, decimals, and percents. Problem-solving processes are stressed, as are screening relevant from irrelevant information, recognizing patterns, and symbolizing relationships.

Figure 2 presents the total number of test items associated with each subscale and the average number of items an examinee who was tested on that subscale received.

The columns of tables 1 through 22 display the percentage of items on each of the five subscales that an examinee answered correctly, weighted to reflect that examinee's probability of selection and averaged across all examinees. In accordance with National Center for Education Statistics standards, percent correct values were not included for cells which contained fewer than 30 students; in such cells the entry "N < 30" appears.



FIGURE 1

NAEP Background Items Used

Traditional Instructional Activities Questions:

- (1) How often do you watch your teacher work mathematics problems at the board? (Grades 3, 7, 11)
- (2) How often do you work mathematics problems at the board? (Grades 3, 7, 11)
- (3) How often do you use a mathematics textbook? (Grades 7, 11)
- (4) How often do you do mathematics homework? (Grades 3, 7, 11)
- (5) How often do you work mathematics problems alone? (Grades 3, 7, 11)
- (6) How often do you work mathematics problems in small groups? (Grades 3, 7, 11)
- (7) How often do you use a mathematics workbook? (Grades 3, 7, 11)
- (8) How often do you take mathematics tests? (Grades 3, 7, 11)
- (9) How often do you listen to a mathematics lesson explained? (Grades 3, 7, 11)

Computer Utilization Questions:

- (1) Did you ever study mathematics through computer instruction? (Grades 7, 11)
- (2) Did you ever use a computer to solve a mathematics problem? (Grades 7, 11)
- (3) Did you ever use a computer to play a game? (Grades 7, 11)
- (4) Did you ever use a computer to solve a linear programming Problem? (Grades 7, 11)
- (5) Did you ever use a computer to perform statistical analysis? (Grades 7, 11)
- (6) Did you ever use a computer to process business, science, social information? (Grades 7, 11)
- (7) Did you ever write a program to solve a mathematics problem? (Grades 7, 11)
- (8) Did you ever write a program to play a game? (Grades 7, 11)
- (9) Did you ever write a program to solve a linear programming problem? (Grades 7, 11)
- (10) Did you ever write a program to perform statistical analysis? (Grades 7, 11)
- (11) Did you ever write a program to process business, science, social information? (Grades 7, 11)



4

: 5

FIGURE 2

Total Number of Items on NAEP Mathematics Subscales and Average Number Taken by Each Examinee

	G	rade 3	Grad	e 7	Grade	11
	Total Items	Average Number of Items Taken	Total Items	Average Number of Items Taken	Total Items	Average Number of Items Taken
Subject Areas:						
Fundamental Methods	102	4.1	150	6.0	287	8.2
Data Organization & Interpretation		5.1	147	5.3	183	5.7
Measurement	162	5.8	306	9.6	355	8.5
Numbers & Operations: Knowledge & Skills	180	7.2	396	12.4	523	13.4
Numbers & Operations: Higher-level Applications	156	5.6	455	14.2	508	12.1

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIC L PROGRESS - 1986-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT



The rows of tables 1 through 22 display possible responses to back wound questions selected (e.g., "How often do you do mathematics homework?"). Each table presents one background question, broken down by race-ethnicity, gender, and type of school the examinee attends. The "nonpublic" school category includes both parochial and nonparochial private schools. Subcategories of the row variables, race/ethnicity and type of school, were excluded from the tabulation if the number of students they contained rarely or never exceeded the threshold sample size of 30 students per cell. Consequently, the totals for these two row variables do not sum to the overall totals presented at the top of each table.

The average percent correct estimates presented here were weighted to represent all students enrolled in American schools in 1985-86 at a particular grade level. It should be noted, however, that some students were excluded from the NAEP sample by their school administrators because of limited English proficiency or physical or educational handicaps. In this analysis, no attempt was made to impute mathematics achievement scores for these excluded students.

RESULTS

Main Effects: Traditional Instructional Activities

Significant differences in mathematics achievement were found between levels of exposure to several of the traditional instructional activities considered. Figure 3 shows the specific subscales for which significant differences were found at each gree level. In grade 3, significantly higher average percent correct scores were found for examinees who reported daily exposure to four of the eight instructional activities considered (at that grade level). For three of the four activities, significantly higher achievement was found for students reporting both daily and weekly exposure to the practice in question.

Seventh graders appear to be the most directly affected by frequency of exposure to traditional instructional activities; achievement was significantly higher for seven of the nine activities considered in grade 7. Doing mathematics homework appears to be the most fruitful of the instructional activities considered for seventh graders; examinees who reported doing math homework weekly had higher average percent correct scores on four of the five subscales, and those who reported doing math homework daily had significantly higher scores on all five subscales.

Frequency of exposure to traditional activities appears to have less impact on 11th-grade examinees, but significantly higher achievement was found on at least one subscale for five of the nine instructional activities considered. Significant differences at the 11th-grade level were found only among examinees who reported daily exposure to a particular



FIGURE 3

NAEP Mathematics Subscales for Which Significant Differences in Achievement Were Found by Grade Level and Traditional Instructional Activity *

GRADE LEVEL INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITY	FUNDAMENTAL METHODS	DATA ORGANIZATION & INTERPRETATION	MEASUREMENT	NUMBERS & OPERATIONS: KNOWLEDGE/SKILLS	NUMBERS & OPERATIONS HIGHER LEVEL APPLICATIONS
Grade 3					
Work Math Problems Alone Use Math Workbook Listen to Math Lesson Explained	4	χ **		X **	
Watch Teacher Work Math Problems at the Board	•	•		X **	
Grade 7					
Work Math Problems Alone Use Math Textbook Do Math Homework Use Math Workbook Listen to Math Lesson Explained Watch Teacher Work Math	X X ** X ***	x **	X X X **	X X ** X **	x
Problems at the Board Take Math Tests				X *** X **	
Grade 11					
Work Math Problems Alone Use Math Textbook		X		u	x
Do Math Homework Watch Teacher Work Math Problems at the Board Work Math Problems at	x	X		X	
the Board Yourself		X ****			

^{*} Unless otherwise specified, the analyses reported compare exposure at a given level (daily, weekly, etc.) to lack of exposure (never).

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT



X-Achievement significantly higher for examinees reporting daily exposure to the instructional activity listed.

**Achievement significantly higher for examinees reporting BOTH DAILY AND WEEKLY exposure.

***Achievement significantly higher for examinees reporting BOTH WEEKLY AND LESS THAN WEEKLY exposure.

****Achievement significantly higher for examinees who reported LESS THAN WEEKLY exposure ONLY.

instructional activity, whereas weekly exposure also showed some significant differences at the third- and seventh-grade levels.

Of the five subscales considered, the "Numbers and Operations: Knowledge and Skills" subscale seems to be the most directly related to the frequency of instructional activities at all three grade levels. This subscale involves knowledge of mathematical terms, figures, and symbols and the ability to perform straightforward calculations with whole numbers, decimals, fractions, and percents. Conversely, the "Numbers and Operations: Higher Level Applications" subscale appears to be the least directly related to the instructional activities considered. This subscale attempts to measure deeper understanding of the relationships among types of numbers and stresses problem solving, pattern recognition, and the ability to symbolize relationships and separate relevant from irrelevant information.

Significant differences in math achievement were found for three additional instructional activities. Working math problems in small groups, using math workbooks, and working math problems at the board were not listed in figure 3 because exposure to these instructional activities appears to be inversely related to achievement. In grades 3 and 7, examinees who reported working math problems in small groups daily had significantly lower average percent correct scores than examinees who reported that they never worked in small groups. Significantly lower scores were found on all five subscales in grade 3 and on three of the five subscales in grade 7 ("Fundamental Methods," "Measurement," and "Numbers and Operations: Knowledge and Skills") for examinees who reported working math problems in small groups. This result is somewhat surprising, because previous research suggests chat working in small groups is positively related to achievement. In The Mathematics Report Card, however, Dossey and associates (1988) found that lower quartile third and seventh graders were more likely to work math problems in small groups than upper quartile examinees from the same grade level. This finding suggests that working in small groups may be an approach that is heavily used with remedial or low-ability students.

In grade 11, examinees who reported using math workbooks daily had significantly lower scores on three of the five subscales ("Data Organization and Interpretation," "Measurement," and "Numbers and Operations: Higher Level Applications") than examinees who reported that they never used math workbooks. Using math workbooks was positively related to achievement in grade 3, but negatively related in grades 7 (no significant differences) and 11. These findings suggest that workbooks are probably used less frequently in upper grade mathematics courses and that by grade 11, only remedial and low-ability students are using workbooks daily.

. છે

In grade 7, examinees who reported working math problems at the board daily also had significantly lower scores on one of the five subscales ("Fundamental Methods") than examinees who never worked problems at the board. Working math problems at the board may also be an activity that is typically confined to remedial classes, because students who reported engaging in this activity daily had lower (although not significantly lower) achievement scores at all three grade levels.

Main Effects: Computer Use

Two types of computer use questions were considered in this study. The first asked if examinees had ever "used" a computer to perform various tasks; the second asked if they had ever "written" a computer program to perform those tasks. The results of these analyses are summarized in figure 4. Dossey and colleagues (1988) reported that access to computers in the school setting was related to higher overall math achievement in the 17-year-old cohort, but not in the 13-year-old cohort. Figure 4 indicates that this effect holds up across specific questions about computer use because fewer significant differences in achievement were found for 7th graders than for 11th graders.

The results in figure 4 indicate clearly that examinees who report having used a computer or written a computer program tend to have higher average percent correct scores on most of the math subscales in grade 11 and on some of the subscales in grade 7. An important consideration in evaluating these results, however, is the percentage of examinees who reported computer use in the first place. Dossey and associates (1988) found that more examinees in the upper quartile in overall math achievement tended to report using computers and writing computer programs. Figure 5 shows the percentage of students at each grade level who answered yes to each of the computer use questions considered. Figure 5 shows that the majority of examinees had not used computers in the manner described by the background questions. This finding suggests that, although significantly higher achievement was found at the 11th-grade level for most of the computer-use questions, these results may represent an elite group of students who are interested in computers, rather than indicating a potential relationship between computer use and content area achievement in math.

In addition to these more rarefied results, however, significant differences in achievement were also found in both grade 7 and grade 11 on two of the items with the highest percentages of positive examinee response. Examinees who reported having used a computer either to solve a math problem or to play a game had significantly higher average percent correct scores on two of the five subscales in grade 7, and on four and five of the five subscales, respectively, in grade 11. In grade 11, significantly higher achievement was also found on one of the two programming questions for which a reasonably high percentage of examinees



9

FIGURE 4

NAEP Mathematics Subscales for Which Significant Differences in Achievement Were Found by Grade Level and Computer Utilization *

GRADE LEVEL INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITY	FUNDAMENTAL METHODS	DATA ORGANIZATION & INTERPRETATION	MEASUREMENT	NUMBERS & OPERATIONS: KNOWLEDGE/SKILLS	NUMBERS & OPERATIONS: HIGHER LEVEL APPLICATIONS
Grade 7					
Use Computer to					
Solve a Math Problem		X			X
Use Computer to		•			^
Play a Game		x			X
Use Computer to Do		^			^
Statistical Analysis			X		
Use Computer to Process			^		
Information			X		
			•		
Grade 11					
Use Computer to					
Solve a Math Problem	x		x	X	X
Use Computer to	•		^	^	^
Play a Game	X	X	x	X	X
Use Computer to solve a	••	^	^	^	^
Linear Programming Problem	X		X	X	X
Use Computer to Do	••		^	^	^
Statistical Analysis	X	X	X	X	X
Use Computer to Process	••	•	^	^	^
Information	X	X	X	X	X
Write a Computer Program		•	•	•	•
to Solve a Math Problem	X		X	X	X
Write a Computer Program			••	^	^
to Solve a Linear					
Programming Problem	X		X	X	×
Write a Computer Program to			••		^
Do Statistical Analysis	X	X	X	X	x
Write a Computer Program to			**		~
Process Information					x

^{*} The analyses reported compare examinees who state that they have used computers in the manner specified to examinees who state that they have not.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

X-Achievement significantly higher for examinees reporting that they had used computers in the manner specified.

FIGURE 5

Percentage of Examinees Responding Positively to Computer Use Questions*

	Grade 7	Grade 11
Did You Ever Study Mathematics Through Computer Instruction?**	38 (1.5)	24 (1.4)
Did You Ever Use a Computer to Solve a Mathematics Problem?	64 (1.4)	53 (1.6)
Did You Ever Use a Computer to Play a Game?	93 (0.8)	93 (0.8)
Did You Ever Use a Computer to Solve a Linear Programming Problem?	16 (1.2)	19 (1.3)
Did You Ever Use a Computer to Perform Statistical Analysis?	25 (1.4)	36 (1.6)
Did You Ever Use a Computer to Process Business, Science, Social Information?	24 (1.3)	37 (1.6)
Did You Ever Write a Program to Solve a Mathematics Problem?	40 (1.5)	37 (1.6)
Did You Ever Write a Program to Play a Game?	58 (1.5)	45 (1.6)
Did You Ever Write a Program to Solve a Linear Programming Problem?	11 (1.0)	•
Did You Ever Write a Program to Perform Statistical Analysis?	16 (1.1)	
Did You Ever Write a Program to Process Business, Science, Social Information?	14 (1.1)	23 (1.4)

^{*} Standard errors appear in parentheses.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 19: -86
MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT



^{**} The response range on these items was: Yes, No, I don't know.

responded positively (i.e., to "Did you ever write a computer program to solve a mathematics problem?"; 37 percent said yes). It is also worth noting that significantly higher achievement was found on the important "Numbers and Operations: Higher Level Applications" subscale for all the more well-represented computer use items at both the 7th- and the 11th-grade levels. Because improving students' understanding of higher order mathematical principles is of critical concern to American math educators, this finding may indicate that further research into the relationship between computer use and higher mathematical reasoning would be fruitful.

Two findings that are not presented in figure 4 are also worth noting. First, no significant difference in achievement was found at either grade level between examinees who reported having studied math through computerized instruction and examinees who reported not having been exposed to this approach. It should be noted in relation to this finding, however, that examinees were asked if they had "ever" studied math through computerized instruction. The use of "ever" allowed examinees to respond positively even if the computer-assisted instruction they had received was minimal or had occurred many years before. Because no information was available about how recent or how intensive the examinee's exposure to computerized instruction actually was, it seems inappropriate to attempt to draw conclusions from this finding.

The second finding that was not presented in figure 4 is perplexing. In grade 7, examinees who reported having written a computer program to solve a "linear programming" problem had lower achievement on all five subscales and significantly lower achievement on the "Data Organization and Interpretation" subscale than examinees who reported never having written this kind of program. Figure 5 indicates that only 11 percent of the 7th-grade examinees answered this question positively. finding may simply suggest that better math students were more likely to realize that linear programming was a topic they had not been exposed to (and hence respond negatively) than poorer math students. Even if this interpretation is accurate, however, it does not explain why the mathematics achievement of these two groups was significantly different on only one of the five subscales. Our conclusion is that this result may have been due to chance and should therefore be interpreted with caution.

Main Effects: Number of Courses Taken

In addition to looking at traditional instructional activities and computer use, the total number of math courses taken was tabulated in an attempt to determine whether this variable appeared to be related to particular content area subscales (see table 21). As would be expected, the pattern that emerged was a straight linear increase. Examinees who had taken more math courses had significantly higher average percent correct scores



on all five subscales for all comparisons up to five to six math courses taken. No significant differences in achievement were found between examinees who reported having taken five or six math courses and examinees who reported having taken seven or more math courses, but the number of examinees in the latter category was very small. As was noted earlier, course-taking information was available only for 11th-grade examinees.

Gender Effects

Table 22 presents the marginal effects for gender, race and ethnicity, and type of school the examinee attended. results indicate that girls had significantly higher achievement than boys on the "Numbers and Operations: Knowledge and Skills" subscale in grade 7 and boys had significantly higher achievement than girls on both the "Measurement" and the "Numbers and Operations: Higher Level Applications" subscales in grade 11. These findings are consistent with Dossey and colleagues' (1988) results on gender differences within mathematics content areas. When exposure to traditional instructional activities was held constant, no gender differences were found at grade 3 or grade 7. In grade 11, however, boys had significantly higher scores than girls on the "Measurement" subscale for three of the nine traditional activities considered (working math problems alone, using math textbooks, and watching the teacher work math problems at the board) even when level of exposure was held constant.

When computer use was held constant, no gender differences were found in grade 7. In grade 11, one difference was found. Boys had significantly higher scores than girls on the "Numbers and Operations: Higher Level Applications" subscale if they reported that they had written a computer program to play a game.

When number of math courses taken was held constant, boys still had significantly higher average percent correct scores than girls on the "Data Organization and Interpretation" subscale (when both sexes had taken one or two math courses) and on both the "Measurement" and the "Numbers and Operations: Higher Level Applications" subscale (for examinees with three to four math courses). This finding corroborates the finding in the marginal table 22 and suggests that boys' higher achievement in certain content areas may not be solely attributable to inequitable amounts of exposure to mathematics.

Race-Ethnicity Effects

Table 22 indicates that non-Hispanic white examinees had significantly higher scores on all five mathematics subscales than black or Hispanic examinees. When traditional instructional activities were held constant, these differences tended to hold up for those groups that reported daily exposure to the activities considered. Fewer differences between racial-ethnic



13

groups were found among examinees who reported less frequent exposure to math-related instructional activities.

When computer use was held constant, differences between non-Hispanic whites and blacks remained constant, but differences between non-Hispanic whites and Hispanics tended to diminish. Because no significant differences were found between black and Hispanic math achievement, even in the marginal comparisons, this finding may indicate that working with computers is particularly useful for Hispanics and that further research in this area could prove fruitful.

When the number of math courses taken was held constant, non-Hispanic whites still had significantly higher math achievement than blacks on all subscales for all levels of course taking (except when no math courses had been taken). Non-Hispanic whites also had significantly higher average percent correct scores than Hispanics on all levels of course taking in which Hispanics were well represented. It should be noted here that NAEP has no information on the content or the quality of the mathematics courses examinees report having attended. The finding on course work, therefore, may simply indicate that minority students are not receiving the same level of mathematics education that their majority counterparts receive.

School Type Effects

The results in table 22 indicate that examinees who attended nonpublic (i.e., private or Catholic) schools had significantly higher average percent correct scores on two of the five math subscales ("Fundamental Methods" and "Measurement") in grade 3, four of the five subscales (all except "Fundamental Methods") in grade 7, and three of the five subscales ("Measurement," "Numbers and Operations: Knowledge and Skills," and "Numbers and Operations: Higher Level Applications") in grade 11. When exposure to traditional instructional activities was held constant, however, no differences between public and nonpublic schools were found.

On the computer use questions, no significant differences were found in grade 7. In grade 11, however, significant differences were found on 9 of the 11 background questions. Figure 6 shows that examinees who attended nonpublic schools and answered no to the computer use questions listed had significantly higher average percent correct scores than public school examinees on the "Fundamental Methods" and "Measurement" subscales. Among examinees who answered yes to the computer use questions, however, only one significant difference was found. Nonpublic school examinees who reported having used a computer to play a game had significantly higher achievement on the "Measurement" subscale than similarly responding public school examinees. This finding suggests that increased exposure to computers may help



reduce the discrepancy in mathematics achievement between public and nonpublic school students.

When the number of math courses taken was held constant, no significant differences in math achievement were found between the public and nonpublic school students.

CONCLUSIONS

The results discussed above indicate that all three of the research questions defined in the introduction can be answered positively. Our findings suggest that doing mathematics homework, working mathematics problems alone, and using mathematics textbooks regularly may help improve student performance in the areas of computational and term-recognition skills. Exposure to traditional instructional activities, however, does not appear to be directly related to improved performance in the conceptual and symbolization skills students need to do well in more advanced math courses.

In the computer use portion of the study, our findings suggest that using computers to solve mathematics problems, and even using computers to play games, may help improve student performance in all the basic mathematics content areas, including higher level conceptual, problem-solving, and symbolization skills. It is important when evaluating the findings in this report to keep in mind that NAEP data contain no socioeconomic status information on examinees. This problem may be particularly relevant in relation to the computer use questions. Because students from more affluent backgrounds are more likely to have been exposed to computers both at home and at school, the findings on computer use may be confounded by ocioeconomic status.

Comparisons among differing levels of mathematics course taking indicated that more course work in math was associated with significantly higher achievement in all content areas.

Although survey results are not an adequate basis from which to make causal inferences about student performance, the results of this study do suggest that controlled research in the areas of increased exposure to specific instructional activities and the use of computers to solve math and math-related problems could be a valuable step toward identifying productive approaches to improving the mathematics achievement of American school-age children.



NAEP Mathematics Subscales for Which Significant Differences in Achievement Were Found By Grade Level,
Computer Utilization and Type of School Examinee Attends*

GRADE LEVEL INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITY	FUNDAMENTAL METHODS	DATA ORGANIZATION & INTERPRETATION	MEASUREMENT	NUMBERS & OPERATIONS: KNOWLEDGE/SKILLS	NUMBERS & OPERATIONS HIGHER LEVEL APPLICATIONS
Grade 11					
Study Math Through Computer					
Instruction	x		x		
Use Computer to			^		
Solve a Math Problem			x		
Use Computer to			^		
Play a Game			X **		
Use Computer to Solve a					
Linear Programming Proble	e X		X		
Use Computer to Do			••		
Statistical Analysis	X				
Use Computer to Process					
Information			X		
Write a Computer Program					
to Solve a Math Problem	X		X		
Write a Computer Program					
to Solve a Linear					
Programming Problem	X		X		
Write a Computer Program t					
Process Information	X				

^{*} The analyses reported compare examinees who state that they have used computers in the manner specified to examinees who state that they have not.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT



X--Achievement significantly higher for examinees attending NON-PUBLIC schools who reported they HAD NOT used computers in the manner specified.

in the manner specified.

**-Achievement significantly higher for examinees attending NON-FUBLIC schools who reported they HAD used computers in the manner specified.

REFERENCES

- Beaton, A., Mislevy, R., Kaplan, B., Sheehan, K. 1986. <u>The Estimation of Population Characteristics f. Matrix Sample Data</u>. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Brophy, J., Good, T. 1986. "Teacher Behavior and Student Achievement," in <u>Handbook of Research on Teaching</u>. 3rd ed. Ed. M. C. Wittrock. New York: MacMillan.
- Burstein, L., Hawkins, J. 1986. "An Analysis of Cognitive, Non-Cognitive, and Behavioral Characteristics of Students in Japan." International Association for Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA): Unpublished manuscript.
- Dossey, J., Crosswhite, F., Grouws, D., Miller, M., Swafford, J. 1986. "Classroom Practices in Math Teaching in Effective and Ineffective Classrooms." IEA: Unpublished report.
- Dossey, J., Mullis, I.. Lindquist, M., Chambers, D. 1988. <u>The Mathematics Report Card: Are We Measuring Up?</u> Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Lapointe, A., Mead, N., Phillips, G. 1989. <u>A World of Difference: An International Assessment of Mathematics and Science</u>. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- McKnight, C., Crosswhite, F., Dossey, J., Kifer, E., Swafford, J., Travers, K., Cooney, T. 1987. <u>The Underachieving Curriculum</u>. Champaign, IL: Stipes Publishing Co.
- National Assessment of Educational Progress. 1984. Math Objectives: 1985-86 Assessment. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Rogers, A., Kline, D., Norris, N., Johnson, E., Mislevy, R., Zwick, R., Kaplan, B. 1988. <u>National Assessment of Educational Progress 1985-86 Public-Use Data Tapes Users' Guide Version 2.0</u>. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Ste/enson, H., Lee, S., Stigler, J. "Mathematics Achievement of Chinese, Japanese, and American Children." <u>Science</u> 231 (1980), 693-707.



APPENDIX

Technical Notes and Methodology



APPENDIX

Technical Notes and Methodology

The estimates produced in this tabulation are based on the 1985-86 mathematics assessment conducted as part of the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). Information concerning the study design, definitions of variables and items, missing data, and other technical issues is presented by Rogers and associates (1988).

This tabulation uses the NAEP data differently than they were used in The Mathematics Report Card: Are We Measuring Up? (Dossey et al. 1988). First, data were analyzed by grade level only, rather than by age of the student and grade level. Second, average percent correct scores were used rather than scale scores produced by item response theory models. Third, the standard errors presented in the tables were produced by using an approximate adjustment based on design effects rather than by the more exact, but also more complex, jackknife procedures. The calculation procedures for the scores and standard errors are discussed in this appendix, but it is important to note that because the approach to the data was different from the outset, the results in this tabulation do not duplicate those presented in The Mathematics Report Card.

All the items associated with each of the five subscales were used in the calculation of the average percent correct. The five content areas used were selected because their subscales were administered to examinees at all three grade levels. It is important to note, however, that the test items differed by grade level and the subscales in this tabulation were not equated across grades. The results presented here provide information about mathematics achievement within grade only and cannot be used to compare progress across grade levels in a particular content area.

It should also be noted that the design of the NAEP assessment is such that examinees in the sample were administered different numbers of items on each of the mathematics subscales. Some examinees, particularly at the third-grade level, received only one or two items on a given subscale. Although one or two items do not provide sufficient information to produce a reliable estimate of proficiency for a given examinee, we would typically expect the mean proficiency to be unbiased.

The columns of the tables represent the percent of items on each of the five subscales that an examinee answered correctly, weighted to reflect that examinee's probability of selection and averaged across all examinees. NAEP uses a complex sampling design in which all examinees are not presented with the same items. The percent correct scores in this tabulation represent



A-1

the number of items an examinee answered correctly on a given subscale divided by the total number of items he or she was presented with. Items that were left blank, whether omitted or not reached, were treated as incorrect responses in the analysis. It should be noted that not-reached items are not included in the denominator of the formula used to calculate the item-by-item response percentages presented in the Educational Testing Service (ETS) "Summary Tables" of the NAEP data (NAEP 1984). Because not-reached items are treated as incorrect in this tabulation, the results presented here do not exactly duplicate values calculated by averaging the item percentages in the "Summary Tables" for each subscale. Percent correct values were not included for cells in the tabulation that contained fewer than 30 examinees (N < 30 appears in these cells).

The rows of the tables represent possible responses to questions about instructional activities used in mathematics classes (e.g., "How often do you work mathematics problems at the board?"), which are part of the 1985-86 NAEP assessment. Each table presents overall results for an instructional activity question and then breaks down those results by race-ethnicity, gender, and type of school the examinee attends. Gender information was taken from school records, and information about type of school attended came from the sampling frame data tape. Race-ethnicity was derived from students' responses on the background questionnaire or from information recorded by the test administrator when no self-report information was available. rules for deriving this variable are discussed at length in the NAEP Users' Guide. It should also be noted when the results presented on Hispanics are evaluated that examinees with limited English proficiency (in the opinion of their school administrators) were not included in the NAEP sample.

The NAEP data are nationally representative and statistically accurate, but the data are generated from a clustered, four-stage probability sample. Therefore, simple random sampling techniques frequently underestimate the true standard errors of these data. Sample sizes and standard errors corrected to account for the effects of the sample design are presented, by cell, in this tabulation. The standard errors in the tables and figures have been adjusted using the design effects procedure suggested by ETS and discussed at length in the NAEP Users' Guide. design effect of 2 was used in the adjustment. To conduct statistical tests comparing subgroups of interest, or to understand the quality of a particular estimate, readers should use the standard errors provided, rather than standard errors calculated using simple random sample procedures.

Two-tailed Z tests were used to verify that the differences discussed in the highlights section were statistically significant (alpha = 0.05). The Bonferroni procedure was used to adjust the level of significance to prevent the buildup of Type I error. The alpha level was adjusted separately for each of the

tables. Adjustment was based on the number of Z tests run for each dependent variable (i.e., each separate subscale). The results of these analyses are available from the author on request.

The Z tests on traditional instruction: activities compared the achievement of examinees who reported daily, weekly, and less than weekly exposure with that of examinees who reported no exposure to the activity being considered. In the computer use analyses, the Z tests compared the achievement of examinees who reported they had used computers in the manner specified with that of examinees who reported they had not.

The data from grade 11 were restricted to examinees who said they were currently taking a mathematics course. This restriction severely limited the number of examinees who responded that they were rarely or never exposed to certain instructional activities (e.g., take mathematics tests or listen to mathematics lesson explained); therefore, many of the cells in tables 9.1 and 8.1 show fewer than 30 examinees.

TABLE 1.1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRACE 11 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU WATCH YOUR TEACHER WORK MATHEMATICS PROBLEMS AT THE BOARO?"

VERT SS 3.7 230 75 3.0 294 49 3.4 294 77 3.1 294 61 3.3 294 66 3.5 294 294 294 294 77 3.1 294 61 3.3 294 66 3.5 294		FUNDAMI COOHTEN X DVA	S SE RK MAT	N H PROI		RETAT I SE	ON N	MEASUR AVG %		N	NUMBERS OPERATI KNOWLES AVG X	IONS:	ILLS N	NUMBER HIGHER APPLICA AVG %	LEVEL ATIONS		NS: TOTAL SUBSCA AVG X	LES	N
LESS THAN WEEKLY			1.9		82							1.5							
MEVER M-30		55	3.7		75	3.0		49	3.4		77	3.1		61	3.3		66	3.5	
NOTRE PORTEO - - - - - - - - -		-	-		-						_			_	_		_		
NATCH TEACHER WORK MATH PROBLEMS AT BOARD BY RACE/ETHNICITY OF EXAMINEE ** DAILY** MITE** BLACK** 65		-	-									8.1			9.8		_	9.8	
NATCH TEACHER WORK MATH PROBLEMS AT BOARD BY RACE/ETHNICITY OF EXAMINEE ** DAILY WHITE 61 2.2 583 84 1.5 818 62 2.0 818 84 1.7 818 73 1.8 818 76 1.9 818 BLACK 45 5.2 126 71 4.1 172 37 4.4 172 73 4.4 172 73 1.8 18 73 1.8 818 76 1.9 818 BLACK 45 5.8 126 71 4.1 172 37 4.4 172 73 4.4 172 73 1.8 18 73 1.8 818 76 1.9 1818 WHITE 59 4.4 156 78 3.6 200 51 4.2 200 80 3.6 200 64 3.9 200 69 4.3 200 BLACK 33 9.0 32 63 8.9 39 30 8.5 39 67 9.7 39 39 9.4 39 52 10.2 39 HISPARIC N-30 71 8.5 37 50 8.9 37 74 8.8 37 62 9.5 37 65 98 37 LESS THAN WEEKLY WHITE - N-30 0 - N-30 BLACK - N-30 0 - N-30 MEVER WHITE - N-30 0 - N-30 MEVER WHITE - N-30 0 - N-30 MEVER WHITE - N-30 0 - N-30 BLACK - N-30 0 - N-30 MEVER WHITE N-N-30 0 - N-30 MATCH TEACHER WORK MATH PROBLEMS AT BOARD BY GENDER OF EXAMINEE DAILY HALL S8 4.9 125 73 4.1 161 151 161 161 76 4.3 161 62 4.3 161 66 4.8 161 HERS THAN WEEKLY HALL S8 4.9 125 73 4.1 161 151 161 161 76 4.3 161 62 4.3 161 66 4.8 161 HERS THAN WEEKLY PUBLIC S7 2.0 731 81 1.4 1032 57 1.8 1032 82 1.5 1032 68 1.6 1032 73 1.8 1032 WATCH TEACHER WORK MATH PROBLEMS AT PART BY TYPE OF SCHOOL EXAMINEE AT BOARD S - N-30 0 - N-									_									. :	
Maile 61 2.2 583 84 1.5 818 62 2.0 818 84 1.7 818 73 1.8 818 76 1.9 818	101AL MAIN SORRCHIE	5/	1.6	1094	/9	1.2	1505	55	1.5	1505	80	1.3	1505	66	1.4	1505	/1	1.5	1505
BLACK HISPANIC HAS S 69 71 4.1 172 37 4.4 172 73 4.4 172 51 4.5 172 50 4.9 172 HISPANIC HAS S 69 8.6 69 8.2 2 116 42 5.5 116 75 5.2 116 51 5.2 116 61 5.9 116 WEEKLY HHITE HITE HITE HITE HISPANIC HISPA	DAILY			_						NEE *									
HISPANIC 46 5 8 85 69 5.2 116 42 5.5 116 75 5.2 116 51 5.2 116 61 5.9 116 WEEKLY WHITE 59 4.4 156 78 3.6 200 51 4.2 200 80 3.6 200 64 3.9 39 9.4 39 52 10.2 39 HISPANIC - N<30 71 8.5 37 50 8.9 37 74 8.8 37 62 9.5 37 65 9.8 37 HISPANIC - N<30 71 8.5 37 50 8.9 37 74 8.8 37 62 9.5 37 65 9.8 37 LESS TRAN WEEKLY WHITE - N<30																			
WHITE																			
WHITE		46	5 8	85	69	5.2	116	42	5.5	116	75	5.2	116	51	5.2	116	61	5.9	116
BLACK HISPANIC N-30 71 8.5 37 50 8.9 37 74 8.8 37 62 9.5 37 65 9.8 37 LESS THAM WEEKLY WHITE HISPANIC N-30 - N-30 HISPANIC N-30 - N-30 HISPANIC - N-30 -											••								
HISPANIC																			
LESS THAN VEEKLY WHITE		33	9.0																
HITE N-30 N-3		•	-	M<20	/1	8.5	3/	50	0.9	3/	/4	0.0	3/	02	9.5	3/	65	90	37
BLACK			_	N-30	_	_	N- 20	_	_	N-30		_	N-30			N-30		_	N-20
HISPANIC			_		_							_			-			_	
NEVER WHITE			_		-														
WHITE	***************************************			N-30			11-30			11-50	_	_	M-20	_	_	W-20	_		N-30
BLACK N<30		_	_	N<30	-	_	N<30	_		Ne3n	-	_	Ne 30	_	-	N<3U	_	-	Ne30
MATCH TEACHER WORK MATH PROBLEMS AT BOARD BY GENDER OF EXAMINEE DAILY MALE 58 2.7 391 83 1.9 547 64 2.3 547 83 2.1 547 71 2.2 547 75 2.4 547 FEMALE 57 2.7 428 80 1.9 599 52 2.4 599 82 2.1 599 67 2.2 599 71 2.4 599 MEEKLY MALE 58 4.9 125 73 4.1 161 51 4.6 161 76 4.3 161 62 4.3 161 66 4.8 161 FEMALE 51 5.6 105 78 4.4 133 46 5.0 133 79 4.5 133 59 5.0 133 66 5.3 133 LESS THAN MEEKLY MALE 58 7.0 N<30 -			_		-	_		-	_		-	-			_		_	-	
WATCH TEACHER WORK MATH PROBLEMS AT BOARD BY GENDER OF EXAMINEE DAILY MALE 58 2.7 391 83 1.9 547 64 2.3 547 83 2.1 547 71 2.2 547 75 2.4 547 FEMALE 57 2.7 428 80 1.9 599 52 2.4 599 82 2.1 599 67 2.2 599 71 2.4 599 WEEKLY MALE 58 4.9 125 73 4.1 161 51 4.6 161 76 4.3 161 62 4.3 161 66 4.8 161 FEMALE 51 5.6 105 78 4.4 133 46 5.0 133 79 4.5 133 59 5.0 133 66 5.3 133 LESS THAN WEEKLY MALE - N<30						-		_				_			-		_		
FEMALE 57 2.7 428 80 1.9 599 52 2.4 599 82 2.1 599 67 2.2 599 71 2.4 599 WEEKLY HALE 58 4.9 125 73 4.1 161 51 4.6 161 76 4.3 161 62 4.3 161 66 4.8 161 FEMALE 51 5.6 105 78 4.4 133 46 5.0 133 79 4.5 133 59 5.0 133 66 5.3 133 LESS THAN WEEKLY HALE N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 FEMALE N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 FEMALE N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 FEMALE N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 FEMALE N<30	WATCH TEACHER WORK M	ATH PRO	OBL EMS		DARD BY	GENDE		EXAMINE	E										00
MEEKLY MALE 58 4.9 125 73 4.1 161 51 4.6 161 76 4.3 161 62 4.3 161 66 4.8 161 FEMALE 51 5.6 105 78 4.4 133 46 5.0 133 79 4.5 133 59 5.0 133 66 5.3 133 LESS THAN WEEKLY MALE - N<30 - N<	MALE	58	2.7	391	83	1.9	547	64	2.3	547	83	2.1	547	71	2.2	547	75	2.4	547
MALE	FEMALE	57	2.7	428	80	1.9	599	52	2.4	599	82	2.1	599	67	2.2	599	71	2.4	599
FEMALE 51 5.6 105 78 4.4 133 46 5.0 133 79 4.5 133 59 5.0 133 66 5.3 133 LESS THAN WEEKLY MALE - N<30 - N<3																			
LESS THÂN WEEKLY MALE N<30 - N<																161			
MALE		51	5.6	105	78	4.4	133	46	5.0	133	79	4.5	133	59	5.0	133	66	5.3	133
FEMALE - N<30 -																			
NEVER MALE MALE MALE MALE MALE MALE MALE MALE		•	-		•	-		•	-		-	-			-		-	-	
MALE FEMALE - N<30 - N<		-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	•	N<30	-	-	N<30
FEMALE N<30 N							N-20			u - 2.0			N - 2 0						
WATCH TEACHER WORK MATH PROBLEMS AT POARD BY TYPE OF SCHOOL EXAMINEE AITENDS * DAILY PUBLIC 57 2.0 731 81 1.4 1032 57 1.8 1032 82 1.5 1032 68 1.6 1032 73 1.8 1032 NONPUBLIC 62 5.6 88 83 4.4 114 62 5.2 114 85 4.5 114 74 5.1 114 76 5.3 114 WEEKLY PUBLIC 54 3.8 212 75 3.1 274 49 3.5 274 77 3.2 274 61 3.4 274 66 3.7 274 NONPUBLIC N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 LESS THAN WEEKLY PUBLIC N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 NONPUBLIC - N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 NONPUBLIC - N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 NONPUBLIC - N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 NONPUBLIC - N<30 - N		-	-		-	-		-							-			-	
DAILY PUBLIC 57 2.0 731 81 1.4 1032 57 1.8 1032 82 1.5 1032 68 1.6 1032 73 1.8 1032 NONPUBLIC 62 5.6 88 83 4.4 114 62 5.2 114 85 4.5 114 74 5.1 114 76 5.3 114 WEEKLY PUBLIC 54 3.8 212 75 3.1 274 49 3.5 274 77 3.2 274 61 3.4 274 66 3.7 274 NONPUBLIC - N<30 LESS THAN WEEKLY PUBLIC - N<30 -	PEMALE	-	-	4-20	_	_	u-20	_	-	4/30	_	-	M<20	•	•	M<20	-	•	W-20
NONPUBLIC 62 5.6 88 83 4.4 114 62 5.2 114 85 4.5 114 74 5.1 114 76 5.3 114 WEEKLY PUBLIC 54 3.8 212 75 3.1 274 49 3.5 274 77 3.2 274 61 3.4 274 66 3.7 274 NONPUBLIC - N<30 - N<						TYPE		HOOL EX	AHINE	AiTE	NDS *								
WEEKLY PUBLIC 54 3.8 212 75 3.1 274 49 3.5 274 77 3.2 274 61 3.4 274 66 3.7 274 NONPUBLIC - N<30 - N														68	1.6	1032			
PUBLIC 54 3.8 212 75 3.1 274 49 3.5 274 77 3.2 274 61 3.4 274 66 3.7 274 NONPUBLIC N<30 - N<30 - N - N<30 N - N<30 N - N<30 N - N<30 N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N		62	5.6	88	83	4.4	114	62	5.2	114	85	4.5	114	74	5.1	114	76	5.3	114
NONPUBLIC N<30 -																			
LESS THAN WEEKLY PUBLIC - N<30		54	3.8		75	3.1		49	3.5		77	3.2			3.4		66	3.7	
PUBLIC N<30 - NEVER PUBLIC N<30 52 9.5 33 38 10.0 33 60 9.5 33 52 10.4 33 52 10.8 33		-	-	₭<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30
NONPUBLIC N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 NEVER PUBLIC N<30 52 9.5 33 38 10.0 33 60 9.5 33 52 10.4 33 52 10.8 33																_			
NEVER PUBLIC N<30 52 9.5 33 38 10.0 33 60 9.5 33 52 10.4 33 52 10.8 33		-	-		-			-	-			-		-	-		-	-	
PUBLIC - N<30 52 9.5 33 38 10.0 33 60 9.5 33 52 10.4 33 52 10.8 33		-	•	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30
							• •	•											
		-	•		_			38	10.0			9.5			10.4		52		
NUMPUDLIC N-3U N-3U N-3U N-3G N-3U	NONPUBLIC	-	•	N<30	-	-	พ<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 1.1a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU WATCH YOUR TEACHER WORK MATH PROBLEMS AT THE BOARD - GRADE 11 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=2.24 FOR 2 TESTS AT .05)

	FNDMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
WATCH TEACHER WOI DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER	RK MATH PROBL	EMS AT THE E 2.434 * 1.663	BOARD - COMPA 1.175 0.202	RISONS 1.486 0.814	1.184 0.385	1.291 0.539
COMPARISONS - RAC	CE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCTI	ONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.64 FC	OR 6 TESTS AT	r .05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	2.741 * 2.348 -0.10	3.072 * 2.830 * 0.301	5.124 * 3.462 * -0.62	2.327 1.679 -0.26	4.469 * 4.029 * 0.101	2.973 2.362 -0.14
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKL WH/BL	2.541 .Y	1.581 0.685 -0.71	2.311 0.122 -1.67	1.205 0.611 -0.51	2.472 0.244 -1.69	1.532 0.393 -0.90
WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP						•
COMPARISONS - GEN	DER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.2	4 FOR 2 TEST	S AT .05)	•
M/F	0.340	1.326	3.520 *	0.445	1.383	1.302
WEEKLY M/F	0 860	-0.90	0.796	-0.53	0.395	-0.07
LESS THAN WEEKL M/F	Υ.					
NEVER H/F						
COMPARISONS - TYP	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z=1.96 FOR 1	TEST AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-0.87	-0.30	-0.85	-0.54	-1.05	-0.59
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 1.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 7 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU WATCH YOUR TEACHER WORK MATHEMATICS PROBLEMS AT THE BOARD?"

HOW OFTEN WATCH TEA DAILY WEEKLY LESS THAN WEEKLY NEVER	FUNDAN METHOO AVG 3 CHER WO 43 45 36 33	S SE	1721 478 52 51	DATA ORGANI INTERF AVG X BLEHS A 60 57 36 41	RETAT	ION N BOARD 865 233 20 26	MEASUR AVG 2 55 54 48 48		N 1721 478 52 52	NUMBERS OPERATI KNOWLEG AVG X 56 53 48 30	ONS:	(ILLS N 1717 478 52 51	NUMBER HIGHER APPLIC AVG 3 47 47 41 40	LEVE				N 1721 478 52 52
NOT REPORTED TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	43	1.2	N<30 2325	- 58	1.6	N<30 1149	- 54	1.3	N<30 2326	- 55	1.0	N<30 2321	- 46	1.3	N<30 2326	- 50	1.3	N<30 2326
WATCH TEACHER WORK	MATH PR	OBLEMS	AT BO	ARD BY	RACE	/ETHNI	CITY OF	EXAM	NEE *									
WHITE	48	1.9	990	65	2.4	499	60	2.0	000	50		000						
BLACK	28	2.8	379	47	4.0	181	41	3.2	990 379	60 43	1.6	988 379	50 34	2.0	990	55	2.1	990
HISPANIC	30	3.4	281	51	4.3	149	43	3.7	281	45 46	3.1	279	34	3.1 3.7	379 281	37 40	3.3	379
WEEKLY								0.,	201	40	3.1	213	30	3.7	201	40	3.0	281
WHITE	50	3.6	284	58	4.3	146	58	3.7	284	57	2.9	284	50	3.7	284	54	3.8	284
BLACK	25	3.8	88	52	8.7	39	40	6.8	88	41	5.3	88	37	6.6	88	37	6.8	88
HISPANIC	31	6.2	85	51	8.7	35	44	6.9	85	40	5.2	85	33	6.7	85	39	7.0	85
LESS THAN WEEKLY																		
WHITE	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30
BLACK	-	:	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30
HISPANIC NEVER	-	-	N<30	•	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30
WHITE	_	_	N<30	_	_	N<30	_		N-20									
BLACK	-	-	N<30	-		N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30
HISPANIC	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30
WATCH TEACHER WORK I	MATH PR	08LEMS	AT BO	ARO BY		R OF E	XAMINE	E				11-00			11-30	-		11-30
MALE	41	2.0	858	59	2.6	404	55	2.1	858	56	1.7	857	46	2.1	858	50	2.2	858
FEMALE	44	2.1	863	60	2.4	461	55	2.1	863	56	1.7	860	47	2.2	863	51	2.2	863
WEEKLY	4.		245													-		
MALE FEMALE	41 48	3.7 3.9	246 232	56 59	. 4.4 5.5	130 103	53	4.0	246	50	3.2	246	45	4.0	246	48	4.1	246
LESS THAN WEEKLY	40	3 3	232	33	3.3	103	55	4.1	232	57	3.2	232	48	4.1	232	52	4.2	232
MALE	29	10.0	33	-	-	N<30	37	10.3	33	42	8.7	33	37	10.0		27		
FEMALE		-	N<30	-	-	N<30	- -	10.5	N<30	42	0.7	N<30	3/	10.9	33	37	11.0	33
NEVER						••			11 -00			11-30	_	_	N<30	_	-	N<30
MA ¹ .E	33	9.6	34	-	-	N<30	46	9.8	35	32	8.6	34	41	10.3	35	42	10.1	35
FEMALE	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	·N<30	-	-	N<30	-		N<30	-		N<30
WATCH TEACHER WORK N	tath pr	08LEMS	AT BO	ARD BY	TYPE	OF SCH	100L EX	AMINEE	ATTEN	IDS *								
PUBLIC	42	1.5	1584	60	19	790	54	1.6	1584	55	1.3	1580	46	1.6	1584	50	1.6	1584
NONPUBLIC	47	53	136	64	6.5	74	61	5.2	136	62	4.3	136	52	5.5	136	56	5.6	136
WEEKLY								٠.٠		V.	7.5	100	JE	3.3	130	30	3.0	130
PU8LIC	45	2.8	447	56	3.6	221	54	29	447	52	2.3	447	46	3.0	447	50	3.0	447
NONPUBLIC	44	10.9	31	-	-	N<30	54	11.9	31	63	8.4	31	51	11.5	31	54	11.9	31
LESS THAN WEEKLY														-				
PUBLIC	36	8.0	50	-	-	N<30	47	8.3	50	46	6.7	50	42	8.9	50	44	8.9	50
NONPUBLIC	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30
NEVER PUBLIC	30	7.9	40	٠.	_	W-20	4.											
NONPUBLIC	30	7.9	48 N<30	•	-	N<30 N<30	41	9.0	48	31	7.0	48	34	9.0	48	35	9.0	48
nonr out IC	-	-	11-30	-	-	u-20	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 1.2a: HOW OFTEN OD YOU WATCH YOUR TEACHER WORK MATH PROBLEMS AT THE BOARD - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=2.4 FOR 3 TESTS AT .05)

	FNDHNTL METHOOS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH OROR SKILLS	TOT
WATCH TEACHER WOR OAILY/MEVER WEEKLY/MEVER LT WEEKLY/MEVER	RK MATH PROBL 1.253 1.424 0.236	EHS AT THE 1 1.832 1.539 -0.29	BOARO COMPARI 0.789 0.631 •0.06	4.01B * 3.450 * 1.992	0.798 0.767 0.115	1.050 0.963 0.156
COMPARISONS - RAC	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	IONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.64 FC	OR 6 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	5.831 * 4.598 * -0.52	3.800 * 2.667 * -0.79	5.099 * 3.941 * -0.57	5.653 * 4.190 * -0.59	4.377 * 2.900 * -0.84	4.722 3.367 -0.73
WEEKLY WH/BL WH/HISP BL'HISP LESS THAN WEEKL WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP	3.673 * 2.606 -0.73	0.534 0.657 0.097	2.246 1.774 -0.36	2.557 2.841 * 0.215	1.718 2.123 0.351	2.092 1.890 -0.12
BL/HISP COMPARISONS - GEN	DER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL ACT		4 FOR 2 TEST	S AT .05)	
OAILY M/F	-0.94	-0.27	-0.20	-0.32	-0.19	-0.38
WEEKLY H/F	-1.27	-0.45	-0.42	-1.41	-0.56	-0.73
LESS THAN WEEKL M/F	Y					
NEVER M/F						
COMPARISONS - TYP	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
OAILY PUB/NPUB	-0.76	-0.66	-1.18	-1.44	-1.05	-1.00
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB	0.053		0.008	-1.23	-0.38	-0.31
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 1.3: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 3 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU WATCH YOUR TEACHER WORK MATHEMATICS PROBLEMS AT THE BDARD?"

HOW OFTEN WATCH TEA	FUNDAM METHOD AVG X CHER WO	S SE	N TH PROE	DATA ORGANI INTERP AVG X BLEMS A	RETAT SE	ION N	MEASUR AVG X		N	NUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE AVG X	IONS:	KILLS N	NUMBER HIGHER APPLIC AVG X	LEVE	L			S N
DAILY WEEKLY LESS THAN WEEKLY NEVER NDT REPORTED	28 30 27 24 10	1.5 2.1 6.3 4.4 4.2	1314 735 77 143 75	56 57 44 49 30	2.1 2.8 8.7 5.5 7.7	662 352 39 78 34	34 36 36 30 10	1.7 2.3 7.0 4.9	1314 735 77 143	34 35 32 24	1.2 1.6 4.9 3.7	1314 735 77 143	43 47 45 39	1.6 2.2 6.5 4.7	1314 735 77 143	36 39 36 31	1.7 2.3 7.1 5.0	1314 735 77 143
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	\$5	1.1	2344	55	1.5	1165	34	1.3	75 2344	16 33	4.3 0.9	75 23 4 4	15 43	4.1 1.2	75 2344	13 36	4.8 1.3	75 2344
WATCH TEACHER WORK	MATH PR	OBLEMS	AT BO	ARD BY	RACE	/ETHN1	CITY OF	EXAM	INEE *									
WHITE	31 18	2.0 2.9	806 275	61 40	2.7	408	36	2.2	806	36	1.6	806	46	2.1	806	39	2.2	806
BLACK HISPANIC WEEKLY	22	3.9	183	43	4.4 6.0	143 9č	27 28	3.5 4.3	275 183	26 32	2.7 3.4	275 183	36 35	3.5 4.3	275 183	28 30	3.6 4.5	275 183
WHITE BLACK	33 21	2.6 4.7	469 117	63 38	3.4 7.7	240 50	40 25	2.9 5.2	469 117	37 24	2 1	469	51	2.8	469	42	3.0	469
HISPANIC LESS THAN WEEKLY	20	4.5	122	33	7.0	52	26	5.1	122	27	3.6 3.6	117 122	40 38	5.6 5.2	117 122	28 28	5.6 5.3	117 122
WHITE	27	8.6	42	-	-	N<30	39	9.8	42	27	7.0	42	44	8.4	42	36	9.7	42
BLACK HISPANIC NEVER	-	-	N<30 N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30	•	-	N<30 N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30
WHITE	25	6.1	81	52	8.3	38	33	6.8	81	27	4.8	81	42	6.4	81	34	6.9	81
BLACK HISPANIC	:	-	N<30 N<30	-	-	N-30 N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30
WATCH TEACHER WORK F	ATH PRI	DBLFMS	AT BO	ARD BY	GENDE	R OF E	XAHINE	E										
MALE FEMALE WEEKLY	29 26	2.2	615 699	56 56	3.1 2.8	296 366	34 34	2.5 2.3	615 699	34 35	1.8 1.7	615 699	42 44	2.4 2.2	615 699	36 36	2.5 2.4	615 699
MALE FEMALE	32 27	2.8	413 322	59 55	3.6 4.3	204 148	37 35	3.0 3.4	413 322	35 34	2.1	413 322	49 46	3.0	413	40	3.1	413
LESS THAN WEEKLY	•			•	4.0						•			3.3	322	37	3.5	322
MALE FEMALE NEVER	25 29	8.4 9.4	43 34	-	•	N<30 N<30	37 34	9.6 10.1	43 34	29 36	5.9 8.4	43 34	4 2 50	8.9 9.4	43 34	34 37	9.6 10.5	43 34
MALE FEMALE	25 22	5.7 7.1	89 55	56	6.6	50 N<30	31 27	6.3 7.9	88 55	29 18	4.7 5.9	88 55	41 35	5.9 7.9	88 55	33 27	6.4 8.0	88 55
WATCH TEACHER WORK P	ATH PRO	BLEMS	AT 80	ARO 8Y	TYPE	OF SCH	00L EX/	MINEE	ATTEN	DS *								
PUBLIC NONPUBLIC WEEKLY	28 28	1.6 4.9	1183 131	56 56	2.2 6.3	591 71	34 34	1.8 5.4	1183 121	34 36	1.3 4.0	1183 131	43 46	1.7 5.2	1183 131	36 38	1.8 5.5	1183 131
PUBLIC NONPUBLIC	30 25	2 1 7.5	681 53	56	2.9	326 N<30	2° 41	2.4 8.8	681 53	35 35	1.7 5.9	681 53	47 48	2.3 8.1	681 53	39 40	2. 4 8.9	681 53
LESS THAN WEEKLY PUBLIC NONPUBLIC	25	6.4	70 N<30	38	8.9	36 N<30	35	7.5	70 N<20	27	5.3	70 N<30	49	6.9	70 N<30	33	7.4	70 N<30
NEVER PUBLIC NONPUBLIC	23	4.6	131 N<30	52	5 8	72 N<30	29	5.1	131 N<30	24	3.9	131 N<30	38	5.0	131 N<30	31	5.2	131 N<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 1.3a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU WATCH YOUR TEACHER WORK MATH PROBLEMS AT THE BOARD - GRADE 3 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNOMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	101
WATCH TEACHER WOI DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	RK MATH PROBL 0.893 1.265 0.390	.EMS AT THE 1.257 1.381 -0.47	BOARD - COMP/ 0.787 1.216 0.665	ARISONS (Z=2 2.556 * 2.572 * 1.186	.4 FOR 3 TES 0.916 1.664 0.845	TS AT .05) 1.020 1.413 0.518
COMPARISONS - RAC	CE/ETHPICITY	BY INSTRUCT	IONAL ACTIVIT	IY (Z=2.64 FC	OR 6 TESTS A	1 .05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	3.588 * 2.012 -0.78	4.184 * 2.848 * -0.37	2 214 1.748 -0.10	3.372 * 1.153 -1.43	2.465 2.221 0.107	2.576 1.847 -0.27
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKL WH/BL	2.267 2.433 0.076	2.904 * 3.755 * 0 471	2.491 2.378 -0.12	3.160 * 2.441 -0.58	1.684 2.225 0.340	2.252 2.305 -0.02
WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP						
COMPARISONS - GEN	DER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL AC	TIVITY (Z=2.5	FOR 4 TESTS	AT .05)	
M/F	0 956	-0.11	0.147	-0.56	-0.73	-0.02
WEEKLY H/F	1.188	0 712	0.392	0 341	0.613	0.575
LESS THAN WEEKL M/F	Y -0.32		0.236	-0.65	-0.60	-0.21
NEVER M/F	0.329		0.405	1.431	0.647	0.584
COMPARISONS - TYP	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS .05)
DAILY PUB/NPUB	-0.05	0.045	-0.03	-0.40	-0.56	-0.27
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB	0.679		-0.54	-0.04	-0.13	-0.16
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.



TABLE 2.1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 11 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU WORK MATHEMATICS PROBLEMS AT THE BOARD?"

	DATA FUNDAMENTAL ORGANIZATION & METHODS INTERPRETATION MEASUREMENT							NUTABER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS:	/11 1 e	NUMBERS HIGHER APPLICA	LEVEL	•	ONS: TOTAL SUBSCA		;		
	AVG 2		ĸ	AVG X		H	AVG X		ĸ	AVG X		N. I.C.S	AVG X	SE	K	AVG X		N
HOW OFTEN DO YOU WO			LENS A	T THE D		••		-	-		•	-	A10 -	•	-	A10 "	J L	
DAILY	49	4.3	169	77	3.3	235	54	3.9	235	79	3.5	235	63	3.7	235	69	4.0	235
VEEKLY	60	3.2	284	80	2.4	384	55	2.9	384	81	2.5	384	67	2.7	384	72	2.9	384
LESS THAN WEEKLY	62	4.3	143	87	2.8	209	59	3.8	209	83	3.3	209	71	3.4	209	75	3.8	209
NEVER	56	2.5	489	78	1.9	660	55	2.2	660	80	2.0	660	67	2.1	660	71	2.3	660
NOT REPORTED			N<30	•		N<30	-:	-:-	N<30	•	2.0	N<30	0,	2.1	M < 30	':	2.5	N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	57	1.6	1094	79	1.2	1505	55	1.5	1505	80	1.3	1505	66	1.4	1505	71	1.5	1505
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLE	TA 2N	BOARD	BY RAC	E/ETHI	ICITY	OF EXA	MINEE	*									
WHITE	52	5.0	116	81	3.8	155	58	4.8	155	81	4.1	155	68	4.5	155	72	4.7	155
BLACK	41	8.7	41	69	8.5	43	39	8.9	43	71	9.3	43	53	9.3	43	59	10.0	43
HISPANIC	7:	0.7	N<30	58	9.2	41	41	9.0	41	73	8.5	41	43	8.9	41	58	9.9	41
WEEKLY			W -00	~	7.2		• •	7.0	• •	13	6.3	• 1	43	0.7	• 1	20	7.7	• •
WHITE	66	3.7	196	82	2.8	260	61	3.5	260	84	2.9	260	71	3.3	340	75	3.5	260
BLACK	47	8.1	46	72	6.3	63	29	6.9	43	74	7.2	63		7.2	260	59	8.1	63
HISPANIC	48	10.3	31	70	7.9	45	46	8.0	45	72	8.2	ى 45	50 55	8.5	63 45	62	9.1	۵5 45
LESS THAN WEEKLY	40	10.3	٥,	,,	7.7	4,	40	0.0	40	12	0.2	40	22	0.7	43	02	y. 1	43
WHITE	67	5.1	104	89	3.1	154	62		154	85			7,			-	, ,	45/
	0/	2.1	M<30	07	3.1	N<30	02	4.6	134 N<30		3.8	154	74	4.0	154	77	4.4	154
BLACK Hispanic	-		N<30		•	N<30	:	•		:	•	N<30	-	•	N <30	-	•	N<30
NEVER	•	•	M.20	•	•	M <20	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
WHITE	60	3.0	352	81	2.1	484	50						~~					
	43						59	2.6	484	82	2.2	484	71	2.4	484	74	2.6	484
BLACK	43 48	7.2	65 58	67 68	6.2	83 71	39	6.3	83	71	6.4	83	46	6.5	83	58	7.1	83
H1SPAN1C	40	7.0	20	00	6.6	71	41	7.2	71	73	6.9	71	53	6.8	71	60	7.6	71
HOL OFTEN 1905 MATE		ua	00400	-														
HOW OFTEN WORK HATH	PROBLE	W2 VI	BUAKU	BT GENU	EK U	EXAM	INEE											
DAILY MALE				78														
	53	5.8	88		4.4	123	58	5.2	123	79	4.7	123	64	5.1	123	70	5.4	123
FENALE	44	6.4	81	75	4.9	112	49	5.7	112	78	5.1	112	63	5.4	112	66	5.9	112
WEEKLY									•									
MALE	61	4.5	143	80	3.3	196	59	3.9	196	80	3.5	196	68	3.8	196	72	4.0	196
FEMALE	59	4.5	141	79	3.5	188	51	4.1	188	82	3.6	188	65	4.0	188	71	4.3	188
LESS THAN WEEKLY								_										
MALE	64	5.7	71	86	3.9	107	66	5.0	107	85	4.4	107	76	4.3	107	78	5.0	107
FENALE	60	6.5	72	87	4.0	102	51	5.7	102	81	5.0	102	65	5.4	102	72	5.7	102
NEVER																		
MALE	58	3.5	232	79	2.7	307	61	3.2	307	80	2.9	307	69	3.0	307	73	3.3	307
FEMALE	55	3.6	257	78	2.6	353	50	3.1	353	81	2.7	353	65	2.9	353	70	3.2	353
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH DAILY	PROBLE:	MS AT	BOARD	BY TYPE	OF S	CHOOL	EXAMIN	E ATT	ENDS *	,								
PUBL1C	47	4.5	148	77	3.5	210	53	4.1	210	78	3.7	210	62	3.9	210	68	4.2	210
NONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30		•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
WEEKLY									•						•••			
PUBL1C	59	3.4	256	80	2.5	346	55	3.0	346	81	2.7	346	67	2.8	346	71	3.1	346
NONPUBLIC	61	8.4	34	78	8.5	38	54	9.4	38	85	7.8	38	66	9.7	38	73	9.6	38
LESS THAN WEEKLY			•										-		~			-
PUBLIC	62	4.7	127	86	3.0	187	59	4.0	187	83	3.5	187	70	3.6	187	75	4.0	187
NONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•		N<30	•	•	N<30	~	•	N<30		J.U	N<30	• • •	7.5	N<30
NEVER			•••									H -30	-	-	W -20	-	-	A -30
PUBL1C	55	2.7	440	78	2.0	603	54	2.3	603	80	2.1	603	66	2.2	603	70	2.4	603
NONPUBLIC	66	7.5	49	81	6.6	57	62	7.1	57	85	6.2	57	76	6.8	57	76	7.3	57
			- •						• •		٠	•		5.0	٠,			٠.

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 2.1a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU WORK MATH PROBLEMS AT THE BOARD – GRADE 11 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

STUDENT WORKS PRO	FNDMNTL METHODS D8LEMS AT THE	ORGNIZ& INTERP BOARD - COM	MEASURE- MENT 1PARISONS (Z=	NUMBERS& OPRATHS 2.4 FOR 3 TE	HGH ORDR SKILLS STS AT .05)	TOT
DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	-1 51 0.914 1.156	-0 36 0.457 2.480 *	-0 22 0.027 0.937	-0.37 0.313 0.727	-0.80 -0.05 0.998	-0.50 0.188 0.971
COMPARISONS - RAC	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCTI	IONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.77 FO	R 9 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	1.120	1.256 2.264 0.869	1.951 1.681 -0.20	1.061 0.909 -0.17	1.443 2.501 0.782	1.199 1.240 0.021
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKL WH/BL WH/HISP	1.903 1.399 -0.12	1.535 1.443 0.148	4.081 * 1.717 -1.56	1.209 1.302 0.182	2.656 1.808 -0.41	1.798 1.380 -0.20
BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	2.223 1.637 -0.48	2.161 1.881 -0.11	2.886 * 2.342 -0.17	1.671 1.307 -0.19	3.525 * 2.431 -0.74	2.073 1.711 -0.17
COMPARISONS - GEN	OER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.5	FOR 4 TESTS	AT .05)	
H/F	1.095	0.439	1.121	0.158	0.013	0.490
WEEKLY M/F	0 347	0.228	1.349	-0.39	0.419	0.170
LESS THAN WEEKL M/F	Y 0 483	-0.19	1.925	0.511	1.508	0.799
NEVER M/F	0 477	0.341	2.422	-0.20	1.175	0.659
COMPARISONS - TYP DAILY PUB/NPUB	E OF SCHOOL /	ATTENDEO BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB	-0.15	0.181	0.091	-0.49	0.059	-0.16
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB	-1.33	-0.44	-1.09	-0.78	-1.35	-0.80

^{*} Statistically significant difference.



TABLE 2.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 7 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU WORK MATHEMATICS PROBLEMS AT THE BOARD?"

	FUNDAM METHOD			DATA ORGANI INTERP			MEASU	REMENT		NUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS:	KILLS	HIGHE	RS & OF R LEVEL CATIONS	•		ACROS:	s
	AVG 3	SE SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG S	K SE	H	AVG X	SE	N	AVG S	K SE	K	AVG S	K SE	N
HOW OFTEN DO YOU WO	RK MATH	PROS	LEMS A	T THE D	CARD													
DAILY	36	2.5	512	54	3.5	230	50	2.7	512	50	2.2	509	42	2.8	512	45	2.8	512
WEEKLY	41	2.2	738	59	2.6	379		2.3	738	56	1.9	738	46	2.3	738	50	2,4	738
LESS THAN WEEKLY	48	2.9		58	3.6	221	56	3.0	431	56	2.5	431	48	3.0	431	52	3.1	431
NEVER	46	2.4	616	61	3.0	310		2.5	617	56	2.0	615	49	2.5	617	53	2.6	617
NOT REPORTED	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30		•	M<20	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	43	1.2	2325	58	1.6	1149	54	1.3	2326	55	1.0	2321	46	1.3	2326	50	1.3	2326
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH		MS AT	BOARD	BY RAC	E/ETH	NICITY	OF EX	MINEE	*									
WHITE	43	3.7	265	59	4.8	117	56	3.8	265	55	3.0	263	46	3.9	265	50	4.0	265
BLACK	21	4.7		43	7.4	53	35	5.6	122	38	4.6	122	29	5.4	122	32	5.6	122
HISPANIC	76	5.3	105	52	7.4	53	39	5.9	105	42	4.3	104	33	5.9	105	36	6.1	105
WEEKLY																		
WHITE	47	3.1	402	64	3.5	212	60	3.1	402	61	2.5	402	50	3.2	402	55	3.3	402
BLACK	28	4.2	173	47	6.0	83	41	4.8	173	46	3.7	173	35	4.7	173	37	4.8	173
HISPANIC	28	4.8	130	47	6.5	64	43	5.5	130	41	4.5	130	38	5.5	130	39	5.6	130
LESS THAN WEEKLY																		
WHITE	52	3.6	280	61	4.5	144	59	3.7	280	59	3.1	280	51	3.8	280	55	3.9	280
BLACK	30	6.6	76	39	8.4	38	44	7.3	76	42	5.9	76	36	7.2	76	39	7.4	76
HISPANIC	37	8.1		55	9.3	31	44	8.5	58	50	7.5	58	38	8.4	58	43	8.6	58
NEVER																		
WHI TE	50	3.1	379	63	3.8	194	61	3.1	380	59	2.5	379	52	3.2	380	56	3.3	380
BLACK	30	5.3	118	56	7.8	56	42	5.9	118	41	4.7	118	36	5.6	118	38	5.9	118
HISPANIC	34	5.9	94	49	7.7	45	47	6.3	94	43	4.9	93	39	6.3	94	42	6.5	94
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLE	HS AT	BOARD	BY GEN	DER OI	EXA :	INEE											
MALE	35	3.6	247	56	4.9	107	49	3.9	247	1.8	3.2	246	42	4.0	247	44	4.0	247
FEMALE	37	3.6	265	53	4.9	123	50	3.8	265	52	3.0	263	42	3.9	265	46	4.0	265
VEEKLY	3,	3.0	203	,,	4.7	123	30	3.6	203	72	3.0	203	42	3,7	203	40	4.0	203
MALE	41	3.0	409	58	3.5	209	55	3.1	409	55	2.5	409	47	3.2	409	50	3.2	409
FEMALE	41	3.3	329	60	4.0	170	54	3.5	329	57	2.8	329	45	3.5	329	50	3.6	329
LESS THAN WEEKLY	٧.	3.3	347	•	7.0		,,	3.3	367	,,	2.0	347	47	w J	367	,,,	3.0	34.7
MALE	44	4.3	107	60	5.3	101	54	4.5	197	58	3.6	197	47	4.4	197	51	4.6	197
FEMALE	52	4.0	234	57	4.9	120	57	4.1	234	55	3.4	234	49	4.2	234	54	4.3	234
NEVER							-				3.4		٠,			•	4.5	
MALE	43	3.3	314	56	4.5	146	54	3.5	315	53	2.8	314	46	3.5	315	49	3.6	315
FEMALE	50	3.4	302	66	4.2	164	60	3.5	302	58	2.7	301	52	3.6	302	56	3.7	302
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLE	MS AT	BOARD	BY TYPE	OF S	CHOOL	EXAMIN	EE ATT	ENDS 4	•								
PUBL IC	36	2.7	468	55	3.7	204	49	2.9	468	50	2.3	465	49	2.9	468	45	3.0	468
NON PUBLIC	40	9.3	43		•	N<30	57	9.5	43	56	6.9	43	48	9.5	43	51	9.9	43
WEEKLY							•				•••		••		••	•		••
PUBLIC	40	2.3	668	2/	2.7	342	54	2.4	668	54	1.9	668	45	2.5	668	49	2.5	668
NCMPUBLIC	46	7.4	70	72	9.0	37	60	7.5	70	64	6.3	70	51	7.9	70	56	7.9	70
LESS THAN WEEKLY												. •			. •		•••	
PUBLIC	48	3.0	397	57	3.7	205	55	3.1	397	55	2.6	397	47	3.2	397	51	3.3	397
HONPUBLIC	50	10.9	34	•	•	N<30	63	10.9	34	66	7.9	34	57	11.1	34	60	11.2	34
NEVER		•						,								-		
PUBLIC	46	2.4	591	61	3.1	300	57	2.5	591	56	2.0	590	49	2.6	591	52	2.6	591
NON PUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•		N<30	•	-:-	N<30	•	-:-	N<30	-:		N<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.



TABLE 2.2a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU WORK MATH PROBLEMS AT THE BOARD - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNDMNTL METHADS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	101
STUDENI WORK PROI DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	BLEMS AT THE -2.82 * -1.57 0 531	BOARD - COM -1.53 -0.52 -0.63	PARISONS (Z= -2.03 -0.65 -0.38	2.4 FOR 3 TES -1.81 0.037 0 220	-1.69 -0.89 -0.30	-1.96 -0.74 -0.07
COMPARISONS - RAC	CE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	IONAL ACTIVI	TY (Z=2 86 FC	OR 12 TESTS	AT .05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	3 547 * 2.536 -0 65	1.859 0.848 -0 84	3 066 * 2 347 -0 50	3 029 * 2.172 -0 63	2.555 1.854 -0 48	2.676 2.000 -0.46
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	3 654 3.308 0	2.457 2.287 -0.02	3 402 2.672 -0 35	3 246 * 3.846 * 0 927	2.552 1.927 -0 30	2.992 2.424 -0.22
LESS THAN WEEKI WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	2.955 * 1 659 -0.72	2.286 0 638 -1.21	1.868 1.580 -0.06	2.648 1.137 -0.88	1.764 1.391 -0.13	1.990 1.297 -0.38
NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	3.305 * 2.430 -0.50	0.781 1.670 0.691	2.710 1.969 -0.48	3.542 * 3.076 * -0.28	2.584 1.927 -0.36	2 633 1.898 -0.44
COMPARISONS - GE	NDER BY INST	RUCTIONAL AC	JIVITY (Z=2.	5 FOR 4 TEST	S AT .05)	
M/F WEEKLY	-0.47	0.434	-0 18	-0.96	0 054	-0.26
M/F LESS_THAN WEEKI		-0.31	0 302	-0.58	0.296	0.145
M/F NEVER	-1 43	0.440	-0 38	0.479	-0.37	-0 43
M/F COMPARISONS - TY	-1 49 PE OF SCHOOL	-1.72	-1 17	-1 32 al activity	-1.12	-1.18
DAILY PUB/NPUB	-0 35		-0.77	-0.75	-0.70	-0.57
WEEKL: PUB/NPUB	-0.72	-1.59	-0 78	-1.50	-0.69	-0.78
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB NEVER	-0 15		-0 75	-1 23	-0	-0.73
PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.



TABLE 2.3: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 3 MHOW OFTEN DO YOU WORK MATHEMATICS PROBLEMS AT THE BOARO?**

	FUNDAM METHOD			DATA ORGANI	ZATIO		MEASUR	EMENT		NUXSER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS:	3115	NUMBER HIGHER APPLIC	LEVEL		ONS: TOTAL SUBSCA		;
	AVG X		N		SE		AVG 2		N	AVG %		H	AVG X		N	AVG X		N
HOW OFTEN DO YOU WO	RK MATH	PROBL	LEMS AT	THE B	OARD													
DAILY	25	2.8	375	44	4.1	173	30	3.1	375	30	2.1	375	39	3.0	375	32	3.2	375
WEEKLY	29	1.7	1026	60	2.4	512	36	1.9	1026	35	1.4	1026	45	1.9	1026	38	2.0	1026
LESS THAN WEEKLY	31	3.5	259	50	4.7	114	37	3.8	259	36	2.5	259	46	3.5	259	39	3.9	259
NEVER	28	2.2	595	55	2.9	324	35	2.5	595	33	1.9	595	44	2.4	595	37	2.6	595
NOT REPORTED	12	4.1	89	31	7.5	42	13	4.3	89	19	4.0	89	18	4.4	89	15	4.7	89
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	28	1.1	2344	55	1.5	1165	34	1.3	2344	33	0.9	2344	43	1.2	2344	36	1.3	2344
HOW OFTEN WORK HATH	PROBLE	MS AT	BOARD	BY RAC	E/ETHI	ICITY	OF EXA	MIKEE	*									
VHITE	28	4.0	198	49	6.2	82	32	4.3	198	32	2.8	198	42	4.2	198	35	4.5	198
BLACK	19	5.0	99	36	5.7	53		5.6	99	24	4.4	99	35	5.8	99	27	5.9	99
HI SPANIC	23	6.9	61	39	10.0	31	23	7.2	61	27	5.6	61	33	7.4	61	27	7.6	61
WEEKLY									•	-		•				-		
WHITE	31	2.2	651	65	2.9	346	38	2.5	651	37	1.8	651	49	2.4	651	41	2.5	651
BLACK	20	3.8	169	45	6.0	83	26	4.4	169	25	3.3	169	37	4.5	169	28	4.6	169
HISPANI :	23	4.1	160	36	6.6	66	29	4.6	160	29	3.2	160	38	4.7	160	30	4.8	160
LESS THAN WEEKLY				•	•••			****		•						•		
WKITE	34	4.5	164	54	6.0	72	40	4.9	164	38	3.1	164	50	4.4	164	42	5.0	164
BLACK	21	7.1	50	•	•	N<30		8.0	50	23	5.2	50		7.8	50	28	8.4	50
HISPANIC	ر ً	8.7	40			N<30	30	9.6	40	34	7.9	40	31	9.2	40	30	9.6	40
NEVER										•								
WHITE	32	2.9	383	61	3.6	206	37	3.2	383	34	2.4	383	46	3.0	383	40	3.2	383
BLACK	18	4.6	107	34	6.9	57	28	5.6	107	25	4.2	107	41	5.9	107	29	5.8	107
HISPANIC	18	5.1	36	48	6.9	51	27	6.2	86	32	5.4	86	37	6.0	86	30	6.4	86
HOW OFTEN WORK NATH	DDODI E	4C AT	BOARD	DV CEN	050 0	EVAM	1455											
DAILY	PROBLE	43 KI	DUNKU	DI UER	UER OI	EXM	INCE											
MALE	27	4.1	187	43	5.7	84	31	4.4	187	33	3.0	187	38	4.3	187	33	4.5	187
FEMALE	22	3.9	188	45	6.0	89	29	4.3	188	27 27	3.0	188	40	4.2	188	31	4.5	188
MEEKT A		,	100	4,7	0.0	67	27	4.3	100	2	3.0	100	40	4.2	100	71	4.3	100
MALE	30	2.4	515	62	3.3	246	37	2.7	515	33	1.9	515	46	2.7	515	39	2.8	515
FEMALE	27	2.4	511	59	3.4	266	34	2.7	511	36	2.1	511	46	2.6	511	38	2.8	511
LESS THAN WEEKLY		٤.٦	,,,	34	3.4	200	J 4	2.7	211	30	2.1	,,,	40	2.0	311	~	L.0	711
MALE	32	4.5	148	51	6.1	69	36	5.0	148	34	3.4	148	47	4.7	148	39	5.1	148
FEMALE	30	5.4	111	49	7.5	45	38	5.9	111	38	3.9	111	45	5.2	111	39	6.0	111
NEVER	30	۶.۹	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	۹,	1.3	٠,	- 30	3.7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		3.7	• • • •	4,5	,	•••	3,		
MALE	29	3.3	299	57	4.1	167	35	3.6	299	34	2.7	299	43	3.4	299	37	3.6	299
FEMALE	26	3.1	296	53	4.1	157	34	3.5	296	32	2.7	296	44	3.4	296	36	3.6	296
HOW OFTEN WOO'Y HATH	PROBLE	4S AT	BOARD	BY TYP	E OF S	CHOOL	EXAMP.	EE ATT	ENDS 1	•								
DAILY																		
PUBL IC	25	3.0	336	44	4.3	155	29	3.2	336	31	2.3	336	39	3.2	336	32	3.3	336
NONPUBLIC	25	9.1	39	•		N<30	33	10.0	39	24	6.5	39	42	9.2	39	33	10.1	39
WEEKLY																		
PUBL IC	29	1.8	935	59	2.5	463	36	2.0	935	34	1.5	935	45	2.0	935	38	2.1	935
NONPUBLIC	28	5.8	91	67	6.7	49	36	6.5	91	39	4.8	91	52	6.2	91	41	6.6	91
LESS THAN WEEKLY																- •		
PUBLIC	31	3.6	240	51	4.9	105	37	4.0	240	34	2.6	240	47	3.7	240	39	4.1	240
NONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-:-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
NEVER																		
PUBL IC	28	2.3	544	55	3.0	297	34	2.6	544	33	2.0	544	43	2.5	544	36	2.7	544
NONPUBLIC	29	8.1	50	•	•	P30	38	8.8	50	31	6.4	50	48	8.7	50	39	9.1	50

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.



TABLE 2.3a: HOW OFTEN 00 YOU WORK MATH PROBLEMS AT THE BOARO - GRADE 3 Z TESTS FOR THE 01FF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FHDHNTL METHOOS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	101
STUDENT WORK PROB OAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER	-0.B0 0.212	-2.12 1.477	-1.21 0.284	-0.91 0.765	-1.19 0.787	-1.10 0.464
LT WEEKLY/NEVER	0.749	-0.90	0 544	0.851	0.567	0.428
COMPARISONS - RAC	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCTI	ONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.B6 F0	R 12 TESTS	NT .05)
WH/BL	1.307	1.433	1.065	1.525	1.052	1.041
WH/HISP	0.510	0.816	1.142	0.746	1.043	0.B62
BL/HISP WEEKLY	-0.50	-0.29	0.230	-0.46	0.14B	-0.01
WH/BL	2.524	2.932 *	2.436	3.235 *	2.3B\$	2.404
WH/HISP	1.703	3.966 *	1.739	2.269	2.05B	1.93B
BL/HISP	-0.55	l.014	-0.50	-0.83	-0.21	-0.31
LESS THAN WEEKL						
WH/BL	1.532		1.421	2.462	1.602	1.400
WH/HISP	0.972		0.915	0.44B	1.B54	1.116
BL/HISP NEVER	-0.29	2 401 4	-0.27	-1.17	0.374	-0.12
WH/BL	2.60B	3.421 *	1.454	1.B95	0.736	1.617
WH/HISP	2.377	1.699	1.419	0.356	1.23B	1.397
BL/HISP	-0.05	-1.37	0.059	-1.62	0.402	-0.09
COMPARISONS - GEN	OER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.4	FOR 3 TESTS	AT .05)	
M/F	0.865	-0.20	0.327	1.480	-0.26	0.362
WEEKLY						
H/F	0.933	0 657	0.622	-1.2B	0.080	0.279
LESS THAN VEEKL	Y					
M/F	0.227	0 216	-0 21	-0.B7	0.371	0
NEVER						
M/F	0.666	0.800	0.099	0 741	-0.12	0.175
COMPARISONS - TYPE	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z=2.4 FOR 3	TESTS AT .05)
P' "PUB	-0.04		-0 33	1.090	-0.31	-0.12
WEEKL 1 PUB/NPUB	0.066	-1.01	-9.07	-0.96	-1.03	-0.47
LT % T.LY PUB/NPUB		1.01	3.07	0.50	1.03	0.97
NEVER						
PUB/NPUB	-0 14		-0.35	0.497	-0.53	-0.27

^{*} Statistically significant difference.



TABLE 3.1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-26 MAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 11 MHOW OFTEN DO YOU USE A MATHEMATICS TEXTBOOK?"

	FUNDAMI METHOD:	\$		DATA ORGANIZ INTERP	ETATI	CH	MEASUR			MUMBERS OPERATI ENOULED	ONS: GE/S#		NUMBERS NIGHER APPLICA	LEVEL		ONS: TOTAL SUBSCA AVG X	LES	N
UMI 05-50 INC 4 MAS	AVG X		N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	t	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	MAR Y	JE.	-
HOW OFTEN USE A MAT				••	• •	447/			447/	82		1174			447/	72	1.7	1174
DAILY	57	1.9	841	81	1.4	1174	57 53	1.7	1174		1.5		68	1.6	1174	70	3.7	256
WEEKLY	57	3.9	203	77	3.0	256		3.6	256		3.2	250	66	3.4	256	70	3.1	M<30
LESS THAN WEEKLY	•	•	N<30	•	_ :	M<20	•	•	N-30	•	•	N<30	•	-:	N<30			#130 48
GEVER	49	9.0	33	65	7.6	48	39	8.2	48	69	8.2	48	49	7.7	48	57	8.9	
NOT REPORTED	•	•	N<30	•		N<20	•		N<20	•	. :	N<30	•	•	N<20	-:	•	N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	57	1.6	1094	79	1.2	1505	55	1.5	1505	80	1.3	1505	66	1.4	1505	71	1.5	1505
HOW OFTEN USE A MAT	H TEXT B	DOK BY	RACE	/ETMNIC	TY OF	EXAH	INEE *											
WHITE	61	2.2	600	84	1.5	840	61	2.0	840	84	1.7	840	71	1.8	840	75	1.9	840
BLACK	43	5.0	125	70	4.2	170	37	4.4	170	72	4.5	170	50	4.5	170	59	5.0	170
HISCANIC	47	5.7	90	68	5.2	120	42	5.4	120	74	5.2	120	52	5.1	120	61	5.8	120
WEEKLY																		
WHITE	62	4.6	140	80	3.5	177	56	4.3	177	81	3.7	177	70	3.9	177	72	4.3	177
BLACK	40	9.9	31	70	8.4	39	33	8.9	39	73	9.3	39	45	9.5	39	58	10.4	39
MISPANIC	•	•	N<30	•	•••	N<30	•	•	N<30		•	N<30		•	N<30	•		N<30
LESS THAN WEEKLY			n -30			. ••			•••									
WHITE			N<30		•	M<20			N<30			N<30			N<30			N<30
BLACK			N-30		•	N<20	-	•	N<30			W<30			N-30			N<30
MISPANIC			N<30		•	N <30		•	N-30		•	N<30			N<30	•		N<30
NEVER																		
WHITE			N<30	67	9.5	30	47	10.6	30	72	9.7	30	55	9.3	30	61	10.7	30
BLACK			N-30	٧:	<i>'</i>	N<30	•		N<30		··:	N<30	•	•	N-30	•	•	N<30
MISPANIC	-	•	N-30	•	•	N-30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	-	:	N<30
HOW OFTEN USE A MAT					CAMINE	_												
MALE	59	2.6	410	82	1.9	569	62	2.3	569		2.1	569		2.2	569	74	2.4	569
FEMALE	56	2.7	431	80	1.9	605	51	2.3	605	81	2.1	605	66	2.2	605	70	2.4	605
MEEKLY										_								454
MALE	58	5.4	104	77	4.2	131	58	4.9	131	77	4.4	131	70	4.6	131	70	5.0	131
FEMALE	57	5.7	99	78	. 4.3	125	49	5.1	125	81	4.5	167	62	4.9	125	69	5.4	125
LESS THAN WEEKLY						==												
MALE	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30
FEMALE	•	•	N <30	•	•	M<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
NEVER_																		
MALE	•	•	N<30	•	•	N <30	•	•	N<30		•	N<30	•	•	N-20	•	-	N<30
FEMALE	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HOW OFTEN USE A MAT	H TEXTS	OOK BY	TYPE	OF SCHO	XOL EX	AMINE	E ATTEN	DS •										
PUBLIC	56	2.0	751	81	1.4	1060	56	1.7	1060	81	1.5	1060	67	1.6	1060	72	1.8	1060
NONPUBLIC	65	5.3	90	82	4.5	114	63	5.2	114	85	4.5	114	73	5.2	114	76	5.3	114
WEEKLY																		
PUBLIC	57	4.1	183	78	3.1	232	54	3.7	232	79	3.3	232	65	3.5	232	70	3.8	232
MONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
ESS THAN WEEKLY			_															
PUBLIC	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
NONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
NEVER									+-			•						
PUBLIC	48	9.2	31	64	7.8	46	39	8.4	46	68	8.5	46	48	7.8	46	56	9.1	46
NONPUBLIC	•	•	સ <3 0	•	• • • •	N<30		•	N<30		•	N<30		•	N<30	•	•	N<30
			•															

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.



45

TABLE 3.1a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU USE A MATH TEXTBOOK - GRADE 11 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNDMATE MET	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	101
USE MATH TEXTBOOK DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER	COMPARISONS 0.855 0.821	(Z=2.24 FOR 2.101 1.558	2 TESTS AT 2.128 1.618	.05) 1.483 1.111	2.354 * 1.987	1.675 1.296
COMPARISONS - RACI	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCTION	DNAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z≖2.5 FOR	4 TESTS AT	. 05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	3.126 * 2.219 -0.48	3.109 * 2.854 * 0.241	4.975 * 3.232 * -0.74	2.460 1.733 -0.35	4.370 * 3.593 * -0.23	3.025 2.303 -0.26
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKLY WH/BL	2.012	1.075	2.382	0.812	2.413	1.297
WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP						
COMPARISONS - GENT	ER BY INSTRI	JCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.24	FOR 2 TESTS	S AT .05)	
M/F	0.828	0.731	3.392 *	0.411	1.090	1.127
WEEKLY M/F	0.203	-0.19	1.247	-0.53	1.201	0.231
LESS THAN WEEKLY M/F	1					
NEVER M/F						
COMPARISONS - TYPE	OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONAL	ACTIVITY (2	!=1.96 FOR 1	TEST AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-1.63	-0 35	-1 28	-0.71	-1.10	-0.79
WEEKLY Pub/npub						
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 3.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 KAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 7 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU USE A MATHEMATICS TEXTBOOK?"

	FUNDAM METHOD			DATA ORGANI INTERP			MEASUR	FMENT		NUMBERS OPERATI KNOWLES	IONS:	(1LL S	NUMBER HIGHER APPLIC	LEVEL		ONS: TOTAL SUBSCA		i
	AVG 3	-	N	AVG X		N N	AVG X		M	AVG X		M	AVG X		H	AVG X		N
HOW OFTEN USE A MAT						-				~~~	-	-	^,,,		-	A10 A	•	-
DAILY	44	1.4	1722	60	1.8	852	56	1.5	1722	57	1.2	1718	47	1.5	1722	52	1.6	1722
WEEKLY	40	2.9	419	57	3.7	206	51	3.0	419	50	2.4	419	44	3.1	419	47	3.1	419
LESS THAN WEEKLY	37	7.2	64	56	9.3	33	46	7.8	64	42	6.4	64	39	7.8	64	41	8.0	64
NEVER	28	5.6	97	42	7.8	52	39	5.9	96	33	4.8	97	38	6.2	96	37	6.1	98
NOT REPORTED		7.0	N<30	7-	7.0	N<30	•		N<30	-	7.0	N<30	~	0.2	M<30	•	٠.:	N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	43	1.2	2325	58	1.6	1149	54	1.3	2326	55	1.0	2321	46	1.3	2326	50	1.3	2326
HOW OFTEN USE A MAT	H TEXTE	300K BY	RACE	/ETHNIC	ITY OF	EXAM	NEE *											
DAILY	49	• •	4053	41	~ 7	522			4050				• •					
WHITE		1.9	1052	64 46	2.3	522	61	1.9	1052	61	1.5	1050	51	2.0	1052	56	2.0	1052
BLACK	29	3.0	342	53	4.1	166	41	3.4	342	46	2.8	342	34	3.3	342	37	3.5	342
HISPANIC	31	3.5	261	33	4.5	132	45	3.9	261	46	3.2	259	37	3.9	261	41	4.0	261
WEEKLY	. ~	, .	244						• • •		- .	• • •						
WHITE	47	4.1	214	58	4.8	111	56	4.3	214	55	3.4	214	49	4.4	214	52	4.5	214
BLACK	25	5.3	107	55	8.5	48	40	6.0	107	36	4.7	107	34	5.8	107	36	6.1	107
HISPANIC	31	6.2	82	50	8.7	38	42	6.5	82	42	5.6	82	37	6.9	82	39	6.9	82
LESS THAN WEEKLY																		
WHITE	•	•	M<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	M<20	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<20	•	•	N<20
BLACK	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HI SPANIC	-	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	#<30	-	-	N<30
NEVER																		
WHITE	32	9.1	38	•	-	N<30	39	9.1	39	31	7.8	38	40	9.7	39	39	9.6	39
BLACK	•	•	N<30	-	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HISPANIC	19	9.1	30	-	•,	N<30	34	10.4	30	29	8.7	30	31	11.0	30	30	11.0	30
HOW OFTEN USE A MAT	H TEXTS	OOK BY	GENDE	R OF E	XAMINE	Ε												
DAILY		2.0			2.5	427		• •		-,								
MALE	42	2.0	881	58	2.5	423	55	2.1	881	56	1.7	880	46	2.1	881	50	2.2	881
FEMALE	46	2.1	841	62	2.5	429	57	2.1	841	59	1.7	838	48	2.2	841	53	2.2	841
WEEKLY	-		200	• .														
MALE	39	4.0	208	54	5.1	101	49	4.3	208	48	3.5	208	43	4.4	208	46	4.4	208
FEMALE	42	4.1	211	59	5.4	105	53	4.3	211	51	3.4	211	45	4.4	211	49	4.5	211
LESS THAN WEEKLY			70															
HALE	43	11.4	30	•	•	N<30	48	11.7	30	45	9.2	30	40	11.8	30	45	11.9	30
FEMALE	32	9.3	34	•	•	N<30	45	10.5	34	40	8.9	34	38	10.5	34	39	10.7	34
NEVER																		
MALE	23	7.4	51	•	•	N<30	39	7.8	52	32	6.2	51	38	8.4	52	37	3.2	52
FEMALE	34	8.5	46	-	•	N<30	38	9.0	46	35	7.5	46	38	9.0	46	38	9.2	46
HOW OFTEN USE A MAT	H TEXTS	OOK BY	TYPE	OF SCH	OOL EX	AMINE	ATTEN	DS *										
PUBL1C	44	1.5	1574	59	1.9	774	56	1.6	1574	57	1.3	1570	47	1.6	1574	51	1.6	1574
NONPUBLIC	44	5.1	147	65	6.3	77	59	5.1	147	63	4.1	147	50	5.3	147	54	5.4	147
WEEKLY	**			•	0.5	• • •	• •	· · ·	141	03	4.1		,,	٠.5	171		J.4	141
PUBLIC	39	2.9	398	56	3.8	198	50	3.1	398	50	2.5	398	43	3.2	398	46	3.2	398
NONPUBLIC		• • •	N<30	,	3.0	N<30	,.	J. !	N<30	,	2	N<30	7.5	3.2	N<30		J.E	N<30
LESS THAN WEEKLY			H -30			H 420	-	-	M - 30	-	-	H 430	-	-	- V3U	-	-	H-30
PUBLIC	37	7.3	63	56	9.3	32	46	7.9	63	41	4.1	63	38	7.0	63	41	8.0	63
NONPUBLIC	J!	1.3	N<30	,,,	7.3	N<30	*0	1.9	N<30	•!	6.4	N<30	30	7.9	N<30	•!	0.0	N<30
NEVER	•	-	K-30	-	-	H-30	•	•	M-30	•	-	M-20	•	•	H-30	•	-	M-20
PUBLIC	26	5.6	94	39	7.9	50	34	6.1	94	30	5.0	94	34	4,	94	33	6.3	94
NONPUBLIC	- 20	٠.٠	N<30		1.7	M<30	-	0.1	N<30	30	٠.٠	M<30	34	6.4	N<30	23	0.3	N<30
NUMFUSEIC		-	M 130	-	•	#~JU	•	•	#130	_	•	W-20	•	•	H-30	-	•	M-20

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.



4

TABLE 3 2a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU USE A MATH TEXTBOOK - GRADE 7 2 TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

USE MATH TEXTBOOK DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	FNOMNTL METHOOS COMPARISONS 2.768 * 1 941 0.949	ORGNIZ& INTERP (Z=2.4 FOR 2 239 1.691 1.148	MEASURE- MENT 3 TESTS AT . 2 888 * 1.895 0 775	NUMBERS& OPRATHS 05) 4.789 * 2.986 * 1.049	HGH ORDR SKILLS 1.496 0.943 0.090	TOT 2.284 1.469 0.428
COMPARISONS - RACI	E/ETHN1CITY (BY INSTRUCT	IONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.67 FO	R 7 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL	5.692 *	3 842 *	5 106 *	4.717 *	4.332 *	4.639
WH/HISP	4.376 *	2.116	3 635 *	4.273 *	3.141 *	3.308
BL/HISP	-0.60	-1.22	-0 78	0.070	-0.62	-0.71
WEEKLY						
WH/BL	3 251 *	0.276	2.148	3.297 *	1.962	2.093
WH/HISP	2.185	0 795	1.795	1.987	1.412	1 543
BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKLY	,-0.67	0 427	-0.21	-0.82	-0.31	û.33
WH/BL						
WH/HISP						
BL/HISP						
NEVER						
WH/BL						
WH/HISP	1 035		0 405	0.223	0.652	0.636
BL/HISP						0.000
		. 				
COMPARISONS - GEND DAILY	ER BY INSTRU	ICTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.5	FOR 4 TESTS	AT .05)	
M/F	-1.49	-0 92	0.46		0.50	
m/ r	-1.49	-0 92	-0 46	-1 07	-0 59	-0.76
WEEKLY						
M/F	-0 57	-0 59	-0 77	-0.74	-0.27	-0.52
			•	0.,,	0.27	0.32
LESS THAN WEEKLY						
M/F	0 767		0 197	0.376	0.152	0 343
MENTO						
NEVER						
M/F	-1 02		0 025	-0.32	0.040	-0.06
COMPARISONS - TYPE	OF SCHOOL A	TIENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONAL	ACTIVITY (7	-1 OS EOD 1	TEST AT OF
DAILY				. ACITITII (E	-1.30 FOR 1	1631 AT .03)
PUB/NPUB	-0 01	-0 80	-0.67	-1 43	-0.54	-0.51
WEEKLY						
PUB/NPUB						
LT WEEKLY						
PUB/NPUB						
7 007 117 00						
NEVER						
PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference

TABLE 4.1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 11 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO MATHEMATICS HOMEWORK?"

	FUNDAM METHOD	S		DATA ORGANI INTERP	RETAT	ON	MEASU			NUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS: DGE/SI		HI GHER		•	TOTAL SUBSCA	LES	
	AVG X		N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG 3	se SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG 2	SE	N	AVG X	s SE	N
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO	MAIH H			47		629	58		1024	76		751		• •	100/			1024
DAILY	54	2.0	751 369	67 63	1.6 2.4	308	54	1.8 2.7	490	69	1.8	369	56 52	1.9	1024	60	1.9	490
MEEKTA		2.8	369 79	60	5.3	70	52	5.6	110	60		367 79	48	2.8	490	56	5.9	110
LESS THAN WEEKLY	56 45	6.0	75	50	4.9	62	50	6.2	99	59	6.1 5.9	73	45	5.8 6.1	110	52 50	6.3	99
NEVER	34	6.3 8.7	75 30	20	4.7	N<30	26	8.4	32	48	8.0	30	29		99	35	9.5	32
NOT REPORTED TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	56	1.5	1304	64	1.2	1098	56	1.4	1755	72	1.4	1304	53	8.8 1.5	32 1755	57	1.5	1755
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO	MATH H	OMEWOR	K BY	RACE/ET	HN1C11	Y OF	EXAM I NE	E *										
WHITE	62	2.4	519	69	1.9	433	62	2.2	703	78	2.2	519	59	2.3	703	63	2.3	703
BLACK	49	5.1	119	62	4.1	102	40	4.7	166	71	4.7	119	41	4.8	166	45	4.9	166
HISPANIC	45	6.3	80	52	5.3	66	50	5.4	114	71	5.6	80	48	5.8	114	52	5.8	114
WEEKLY	47	0.5	•	,,	<i>7.3</i>	•	,,	J. 4		• • •	7.0	•	70	٥.٥		72	٥.٥	114
WHITE	56	3.3	264	64	2.7	228	59	3.2	343	73	2.9	264	55	3.4	343	59	3.4	343
BLACK	42	8.2	54	52	6.9	42	36	6.7	82	56	7.5	54	37	6.8	82	41	7.1	82
HISPANIC	52	8.4	43	65	8.6	31	40	8.1	54	57	8.7	43	43	8.6	54	45	8.9	54
LESS THAN WEEKLY	,,	0.4	7.5	0,	0.0	٠.	40	0.1	,,	,	0.1	7.5	45	0.0	,	4,	0.7	,,
WHITE	59	6.9	58	63	6.2	51	56	6.7	78	62	7.0	58	53	6.9	78	56	7.1	78
BLACK		0.,	N<30	•	٠.٠	N<30		٠	N<30	٠.	1.4	N<30		0.7	N<30	,,	' ' :	N<30
HISPANIC			N<30			N<30			N<30			N<30			N<30			N<30
NEVER			A -50												H -30			H -30
WHITE	52	7.9	48	56	5.4	41	56	7.3	70	65	7.1	48	50	7.3	70	55	7.5	70
BLACK	•	•	N<30		•	N<30	•	•	N<30	٠.	•	M<30	•	• • • •	N<30	7.		พ<30
HISPANIC	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•		N<30
	_	_																
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO	MATH H	OMENOR	K SY	GENDER	OF EXA	MINEE												
DAILY																		
MALE	61	2.9	355	70	2.2	298	61	2.6	478	78	2.6	355	58	2.8	478	62	2.8	478
FEMALE	57	2.8	396	64	2.3	331	56	2.5	546	75	2.5	396	53	2.7	546	58	2.7	346
WEEKLY		• •	227			407		• .	200			227						
MALE	57	3.6	223	62	2.9	187	59	3.4	299	69	3.2	223	55	3.6	299	58	3.6	299
FEMALE	49	4.6	146	64	4.1	121	47	4.4	191	70	4.3	146	46	4.6	191	50	4.7	191
LESS THAN WEEKLY		• •				/ 3	50	- ·										
MALE	63	8.0	44	62	7.2	42	59 43	7.6	58	63	7.7	44	55	7.7	58	58	8.0	58
FEMALE	46	9.0	35	-	•	N<30	43	8.4	52	56	9.7	35	40	8.8	52	45	8.9	52
MEVER		• •				74	50											
MALE FEMALE	45 46	8.9 8.9	43 32	51	6.1	36 N<30	50 50	8.0 9.6	59 40	54 65	7.5 9.5	43 32	47 43	8.0 9.2	59 40	49	8.2	59
PERALE	40	0.9	32	•	•	M-20	,,,	7.0	40	65	y.5	32	43	y.2	40	50	9.7	40
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO DAILY							AINEE A											
PUBLIC	59	2.1	669	67	1.7	559	58	1.9	909	76	1.9	669	55	2.0	909	60	2.1	909
NONPUBLIC	63	6.2	82	65	5.1	70	59	5.4	115	80	5.1	82	59	5.7	115	62	5.7	115
WEEKLY																		
PUBL 1C	54	2.9	348	62	2.5	291	53	2.8	458	69	2.6	348	51	2.9	458	55	3.0	458
NONPUBLIC	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	64	10.3	32	•	•	N<30	58	11.3	32	63	10.9	32
LESS THAN WEEKLY			_				_	_										
PUBLIC	55	6.2	72	57	5.7	64	52	5.9	101	59	6.3	72	48	6.1	101	52	6.2	101
NONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	พ<30
NEVER			_						_									
PUBLIC	46	6.5	70	50	5.2	58	49	6.4	92	58	6.1	70	45	6.3	92	49	6.5	92
NONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	พ<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.



49

TABLE 4.1a: HOW OFTEN DO MATH HOMEWORK - GRADE 11 Z YESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=2.4 FOR 3 TESTS AT .05)

	FNDHNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	HEASURE~ MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
HOW OFTEN DO MATH DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	HOMEWORK CO 2.092 1.269 1.203	MPARISONS 3.382 * 2.418 * 1.404	1.211 0.608 0.227	2.849 * 1.639 0.164	1.519 0.912 0.358	1.551 0.826 0.265
COMPARISONS - RAC	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCTI	OHAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.64 F0	R 6 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	2.446 2.580 0.468	1.545 3.171 * 1.604	4.340 * 2.021 -1.48	1.344 1.155 -0.01	3.359 * 1.656 -1.00	3.282 1.795 -0.88
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKL' WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP	1.590 0.431 -0.86	1.663 -0.04 -1.15	3.184 * 2.271 -0.37	2.121 1.792 -0.06	2.328 1.298 -0.51	2.321 1.444 -0.40
BL/HISP COMPARISONS - GENE	DER BY INSTRU	CTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.5	FOR 4 TESTS	AT .05)	
OAILY M/F	1 123		1.418	0.663	1.245	1.008
WEEKLY M/F	1.269	-0 45	2.176	-0.11	1.596	1.354
LESS THAN WEEKLY M/F	1.415		1.395	0.572	1.257	1.052
NEVER M/F	-0.04		0.031	-0.91	0.285	-0.07
COMPARISONS - TYPE	OF SCHOOL A	TTENDED 8Y I	NSTRUCTIONAL	. ACTIVITY (2	:=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
OAILY PUB/NPUB	-0.75	0.394	-0.13	-0.75	-0.61	-0.41
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB			-0.98		-0.65	-0.69
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.



TABLE 4.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 7 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO MATHEMATICS HOMEWORK?"

MET	DAMENT HOOS		DATA ORGANI INTERF	RETAT	ION		REMENT		NUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS: DGE/S		HI GHE	RS & OF	L S	TOTAL SUBSC		
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO MAT		SE N	AVG 3	SE 3	N	AVG	X SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG S	X SE	N	AVG	% SE	N
		.5 156	9 59	1.9	771	56	• 4	1569	56		4547						45.40
		.5 56		3.0			1.6 2.6	1209 568		1.2	1567	47	1.6	1569	51	1.6	1569
		.1 8		9.1			6.8	83	51	2.2		46		568	50	2.7	568
		.0 8		8.5		34				5.4	83	44	6.9	83	46	7.1	83
NOT REPORTED	. 0			0.5			6.7	. 80		5.7	80		6.7	80	32	6.9	80
		.2 232 232 S.		1.6	N<30 1149	54	1.3	N<30 2326	55	1.0	N<30 2321	46	1.3	N<30 2326	50	1.3	N<30 2326
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO MATI	HOME!	ORK BY	RACE/ET	HN I C I	TY OF	EXAMINI	E •										
	9 2	.0 93	9 63	2.5	451	60	2.0	939	40		070						070
	7 3			4.2		39			60	1.6	938	51	2.1	939	55	2.1	939
	2 3					39 45	3.4	329	42	2.8	329	34	3.4	329	36	3.5	329
WEEKLY	, ,	.0 22	וכ ס	4.7	112	45	4.2	228	46	3.4	227	38	4.2	228	41	4.3	228
	9 3	5 30		7.0	47/		. .							_			
				3.9	176	59	3.6	301	60	3.0	299	51	3.6	301	55	3.7	301
	9 4.			7.3	54	44	5.5	135	47	4.3	135	35	5.3	135	39	5.6	135
	50 5.	.2 11	5 51	7.2	58	44	5.7	115	42	4.7	114	37	5.7	115	40	5.9	115
LESS THAN WEEKLY			_														
	37 8 .			•	N<30	56	9.0	47	59	6.9	47	50	9.5	47	52	9.6	47
BLACK	•	- N<3		•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HISPANIC	•	- N<3		•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30
NEVER			_														
	9 8.			•	N<30	36	9.2	43	38	7.9	43	31	9.1	43	33	9.4	43
BLACK	•	- N<30		•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HISPANIC	•	- N<30		•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO MATH	HOME	ORK BY	GENDER (OF EXA	MINEE												
MALE 4	3 2.	1 791	58	2.8	367	55	2.2	791	55	1.7	791	47	2.2	791	50	2.3	791
FEMALE 4			3 60	2.6	404	57	2.2	778	57	1.8	776	48	2.3	778	52	2.3	778
WEEKLY						•			•		,,,	40	2.3	110	72	2.3	110
MALE 4	1 3.	4 284	60	4.0	156	54	3.7	285	53	3.2	283	45	3.7	285	49	3.8	285
FEMALE 4	4 3.			4.7	142	54	3.7	283	56	3.0	282	47	3.7	283	50	3.9	283
LESS THAN WEEKLY			•			• •	•••			3.0	LUL	٠,	3.1	203	70	3.7	203
MALE 3	0 3.	3 46	•		M<30	49	9.4	46	55	7.2	46	42	9.5	46	45	9.8	46
FEMALE 3					N<30	52	9.9	37	47	7.9	37	47	10.2	37	48	10.3	37
NEVER	,•	•				,-	,.,	٠,		,	٥,	•	10.2	31	40	10.3	31
MALE 2	8 7.	8 47			N<30	35	8.8	47	39	7.4	47	30	8.8	47	32	9.0	47
FEMALE 2				•	N<30	33	10.2	33	27	8.9	33	31	10.4	33	31	10.7	33
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO MATH	HOMEW	ORK BY	TYPE OF	SCHOO	L EXAM	INEE A	TTENDS	•									
PUBLIC 4	4 1.	6 1433	58	2.0	700	55	1.6	1433	55	1.3	1431	47	1.7	1433	51	1.7	1433
NONPUBLIC 4				6.7	70	61	5.3	135	64	4.1	135	51	5.5	135	56	5.6	135
WEEKLY			•••	•••		•			•	4	133	٠.	,,,	133	50	7.0	133
PUBLIC 4	2 2.	6 535	60	3.1	281	54	2.7	535	55	2.2	533	45	2.7	535	50	2.8	535
NONPUBLIC 4				J	N<30	54	10.9	33	53	9.3	32	53	10.4	33	54	10.9	
LESS THAN WEEKLY	• •••				M -30	,,	10.7	33	,,,	7.3	32	,,	10.4	33	24	10.9	33
PUBLIC 3	0 6.	2 80	56	9.1	36	49	7.0	80	53	5 4	80	/2	7.4	-0	10		
NONPUBLIC		· w<30		7.1	M<30	77	7.0	N<20	,,	5.6		42	7.1	80	45	7.3	80
NEVER		4-20	•	-	M-20	•	•	M-ZO	•	•	N<30	•	•	M<30	•	•	N<30
PUBLIC 2	6 6.	0 78	7.4		74	7/	4.7	70	76			20					
NONPUBLIC	. O.	- N<30		8.6	36 N<30	34	6.7	78 N=30	35	5.7	78	29	6.8	. 78	31	6.9	78
HOM! OD C 10		M -20		•	M-20	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.



	FNDMNTL METHODS	DRGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE - MENT	NUMBERS& Opratns	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	DO MATH HOM 2 841 * 2.411 * 0.670	EWORK - COMP 2.640 * 2.648 * 1.582	ARISONS 3.119 * 2.731 * 1.699	3.604 * 3.201 * 2.099	2.467 * 2.166 1.419	2.712 2.405 1.434
COMPARISONS - RA	CE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	TONAL ACTIVI	TY (Z=2.64 F	OR 6 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	6.071 * 3.906 * -1.08	4.085 * 2.332 -1.19	5.285 * 3.424 * -0.96	5.585 * 3.510 * -1.05	4.205 * 2.688 * -0.78	4.742 2.976 -0.94
WEERLY WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEK WH/BL	3.351 * 3 090 * -0.11 LY	0.434 1.440 0.796	2.309 2.271 0.012	2.407 3.189 * 0.830	2.357 2.056 -0.15	2.352 2.209 -0.04
WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP						
COMPARISONS - GE	NDER BY INST	RUCTIONAL AC	TIVITY (Z=2.	5 FOR 4 TEST	S AT .05)	
DAILY M/F	-1.16	-0.73	-0.54	-1.12	-0.24	-0.64
₩EEKLY M/F	-0 74	-0 0 9	-0.03	-0.57	-0.30	-0.16
LESS THAN WEEK M/F	LY -0.41		-0.17	0.688	-0.39	•0.27
NEVER M/F	0.148		0.207	1.051	-0.06	0.093
COMPARISONS - TY	PE OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTION	AL ACTIVITY	(Z=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
DAILY PUB/NPUB	-0.26	-1.00	-1 12	-2.02	-0.69	-0.85
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB	-0.10		-0.00	0 220	-0.73	-0.41
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 4.3: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 MAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 3 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO MATHEMATICS HOMEWORK?"

	METHO			DATA ORGANI INTERF	RETAT	ION		REMENT		NUMBERS OPERAT KNOWLES	IONS: DGE/S		HIGHER APPLI	RLEVE			ACROS ALE S	
101 057511 DO VOL DO	AVG			AVG 3	S S E	N	AVG	X SE	×	AVG X	SE	N	AVG 7	K SE	N	AVG	X SE	N
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO																		
DAILY	24	2.3	518		3.5	254	30	2.6	518	• •	1.9	518	41	2.6	518	33	2.7	518
WEEKLY	29	1.7	1005		2.3	504	36	1.9	1005	35	1.4	1005	46	1.9	1005	38	2.0	1005
LESS THAN WEEKLY	29	3.5	248		4.7	133	34	3.8	248	32	2.8	248	40	3.7	248	35	3.9	248
NEVER	30	2.5	491	58	3.4	238	37	2.8	491	35	2.0	491	46	2.6	491	39	2.8	491
NOT REPORTED	11	4.0			7.8	36	10	4.3	82	16	3.8	82	15	4.2	82	13	4.6	82
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	28	1.1	2344	55	1.5	1165	34	1.3	2344	33	0.9	2344	43	1.2	2344	36	1.3	2344
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO	HATH	HOMENO	RK BY	RACE/ET	HN1C1	TY OF I	EXAMINI	EE *										
WHITE	26	3.4	259	53	4.8	136	33	3.8	259	33	2.8	259	43	7 /	259	35	3.9	259
BLACK	19	4.0	144	40	6.5	69	26	4.8	144	22	3.6	144	39	3.6	144	29		144
HISPANIC	21	5.2	93	38	8.6	39	26	5.9	93	28	4.3	93	35	5.1		29	5.0	93
WEEKLY					0.0			2.7	73	20	4.3	73	33	5.8	93	24	6.1	73
WHITE	31	2.2	639	63	2.9	318	38	2.5	639	36	1.7	639						
BLACK	19	3.7	181	43	5.5	90	26	4.2	181	25		181	49 37	2.4	639	41	2.5	639
HISPANIC	23	4.5	146	42	6.1	79	30	5.0	146	34	3.2	146		4.3	181	28	4.4	181
LESS THAN WEEKLY		7.5		7.	0.1	• • •	50	5.0	140	34	3.7	140	40	5.0	146	32	5.2	146
WHITE	32	4.7	150	59	6.2	78	37	5.0	150	•••	• ,	450			45.0			450
BLACK	19	7.6	45		0.2	N<30	24	8.4	45	33 27	3.6	150	44	4.8	150	39	5.2	150
HISPANIC	22	7.4	47			N<30	25	8.1	47	30	6.7	45 47	29 28	8.2	45	56	8.8	45
NEVER						M 130	2,5	0.1	47	30	7.1	47	28	7.5	47	75	8.2	47
WHITE	33	3.1	344	62	4.1	173	39	3.4	344	38	2 6	344	/0		•			•
BLACK	20	6.5	61	٠.	7.:	N<30	29	7.6	61	28	2.5	61	49 37	3.2	344	42	3.5	344
HISPANIC	20	6.1	64			N<30	27	7.2	64	26	5.3	64	3 <i>1</i> 38	7.3	61	29	7.7	61
						H 130	٠.	1.2	•	20	5.5	04	30	7.4	64	29	7.5	64
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO	MATH H	ONEVO	K BY	GENDER	OF FYA	MINEE												
DAILY					U. EA	WII NE E												
MALE	26	3.4	255	47	4.7	126	32	3.7	255	32	2.8	255	41		200	٠,	• •	200
FEMALE	22	3.1	263	49	5.1	128	29	3.6	263	29	2.7			3.7	255	34	3.8	255
WEEKLY		J	203	٠,	٥. ١	120	.,	3.0	F (3.2)	27	2.1	263	41	3.6	263	32	3.8	263
MALE	31	2.5	504	60	3.2	240	36	2.7	504		1.9	504	47					
FEMALE	27	2.4	501	57	3.3	264	35	2.8	501	35	2.0			2.7	504	39	2.8	504
LESS THAN WEEKLY					3.3	204	3,	2.0	201	33	2.0	501	45	2.6	501	38	2.8	501
MALE	30	4.7	132	53	6.1	75	35	5.2	132	34	4.0	132	40					4
FEMALE	27	5.3	116	47	7.3	58	32	5.6	116	29	4.1			5.0	132	36	5.3	132
NEVER				71		,,,	25	٥.٥	110	29	4.1	116	40	5.4	116	33	5.8	116
MALE	31	3.6	264	60	4.7	130	37	3.9	264	33	2.7	264		• .	244			
FENALE	29	3.6	227	56	5.0	108	36	4.1	227	33 38	3.0	227	44 47	3.6 3.8	264 227	38 39	3.9 4.1	264 227
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DO	MATH H	OMEWOR	K BY 1	TYPE OF	SCHOO	L EXAM	INEE A	TTENDS	•									
PUBLIC	23	2.4	458	46	3.8	220	30	2.7	458	70	~ ~							
NONPUBLIC	25	7.1	60	56	8.4	34				30	2.0	458	41	2.7	458	32	2.9	458
WEEKLY	2,		•	70	0.4	34	33	8.1	60	37	5.9	60	44	7.7	60	36	8.2	60
PUBL I C	29	1.8	915	58	2.4	457	7/					- 4 -						
NONPUBLIC	28	5.9	88	63			36	2.0	915	35	1.5	915	45	2.0	915	38	2.1	915
LESS THAN WEEKLY		3.7		0.3	7.6	47	37	6.6	88	34	4.8	88	52	6.3	88	40	6.8	88
PUBLIC	28	3.7	226	53	4.9	427		, .	224	**		201					_	
NONPUBLIC		3.1	N<30	>>	4.7	123	33	4.0	226	32	3.0	226	41	3.8	226	35	4.1	556
NEVER	•	•	W-30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
PUBLIC	30	2.6	460	58	7.5	227											_	
NONPUBLIC	31	10.4	31	,	3.5	223	37	2.9	460	35	2.1	460	45	2.7	460	38	2.9	460
1011 00610	٠.	10.4	.,, (-	-	N<30	38	10.8	31	43	8.0	31	60	10.1	31	43	11.3	31

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

	FNOMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE - MENT	NUHBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	101
HOW OFTEN DO YOU DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	DO MATH HOHE -1.86 -0.42 -0.34	WORK - COHPA -2.05 0.121 -1 33	RISONS -1.69 -0.35 -0.67	-1.75 -0 32 -1.06	-1 24 0 123 -1.24	-1.50 -0 11 -0.76
COMPARISONS - RAC	CE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT!	ONAL ACTIVII	Y (Z=' 86 FC	OR 12 TESTS A	т .05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	1.427 0.850 -0.33	1.609 1.481 0.139	1.086 0 911 -0 02	2 271 0.972 -0 94	0.621 1.164 0.527	1.023 0 925 0.025
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEK		3.276 * 3.199 * 0.145	2 57% 1.4%9 -0 67	3 114 * 0.446 -1.87	2.289 * 1 602 -0.36	2.567 1 495 -0.64
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER	1.452 1.086 -0.32		1.320 1.238 -0.09	0.871 0.466 -0.29	1.596 1.841 0.116	1.250 1 355 0.033
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP COMPARISONS - GE	1.780 1.860 0	RUCTIONAL ACI	1.579 0 238	1.963 0.251	1.315	1.495 -0.02
DAILY M/F	0.778	-0 23	0 479	0 884	-0.11	0 277
WEEKLY M/F	1 062	0.522	0 051	-0 17	0.424	0.301
LESS THAN WEEK	LY 0.451	0.652	0.469	0 777	-0.02	0 357
NEVER M/F	0.393	0.542	0 159	-1.10	-0 55	-0.10
COMPARISONS - TY	PE OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTION	AL ACTIVITY	(Z=2 4 FOR 3	TESTS AT 05)
PUB/NPUB	-0.22	-1 02	-0 44	·1 13	-0.35	-0 48
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB	0.129	-0 70	-0 21	0 039	-0 94	-0.32
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB	-0 08		-0 13	-0 91	-1 47	-0 43

 $[\]star$ Statistically significant difference.



TABLE 5.1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMAI :S SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 11 "HOW OFFEN DO YOU WORK MATHEMATICS PROBLEMS ALONE?"

	FUNDAMI METHOD: AVG %	S	N	DATA ORGANI INTERP AVG %	RETAT		MEASUR AVG 3			NUMBERS OPERATI KNOWLED	ONS:		NUMBER NIGHER APPLIC	LEVEL		TOTAL	LES	•
HOW OFTEN DO YOU WO			LEMS A		25		AVU A	SE.	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	S SE	N
DAILY	57	1.9	793	81	1.4	1116	56	1.7	1116	82	1.5	1116	68	4.4	1116	72	1.7	1116
WEEKLY	59	3.4	250	80	2.6	309	56	3.3	309	80	2.9	309	67	1.6 3.0	309	71	3.3	309
LESS THAN WEEKLY	".	3.7	N<30	•	2.0	N<30	,	3.3	N<30	•	2.7	N<30	01	3.0	N<30	, · ·	٥.٥	N<30
NEVER	43	9.9	33	58	7.8	52	38	8.2	52	68	8.0	52		7.9	52	56	8.8	52
NOT REPORTED	7.	"	N<30			N<30	~	0.2	N<30	٠.	0.0	N<30	,	7.7	N<30	,	0.0	N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	57	1.6	1094	79	1.2	1505	55	1.5	1505	80	1.3	1505	66	1.4	1505	7	1.5	1505
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLE	MS ALC	ONE BY	RACE/E	T##!C	TY OF	EXAMIN	EE *										
WHITE	61	2.3	566	83	1.6	803	61	2.0	803	84	1.7	803	71		803	75	2.0	803
BLACK	43	5.2	116	70	4.3	160	36	4.5	160	73	4.6	160	51	1.8		/5 59	5.1	160
HISPANIC	45	6.2	82	70	5.2	111	43	5.4	111	75	5.3	111	51 52	4.6	160	62	6.0	111
WEEKLY	4,	0.2	U.		٦.٤		43	۶.۹		"	5.3	111	26	5.4	111	Đζ	0.0	111
WHITE	62	4.0	168	82	3.1	210	58	4.1	210	82	4	210	74		240	-		210
BLACK	42	8.5	42	67	7.6	49	36	7.7	49	70	8.5	49	71	3.6	210	73	4.0	49
HISPANIC	57	10.5	31	71	9.2	37	36 48	10.0	37	74			45	8.5	49	56	9.2	
LESS THAN WEEKLY	31	10.5	31	7.1	7.2	31	40	10.0	31	74	9.1	37	61	9.2	37	64	10.3	37
WHITE		_	N<30		_	N<30			N<30	_								
BLACK		_	N<30			N<30	•	-		•	:	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HISPANIC	•		N<30	:	•		•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30		•	N<30
NEVER	•	•	M < DU	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
WHITE			N<30		^ •	٠,												
BLACK	•	•	N<30	61	9.3	34	43	10.5	34	69	9.6	34	55	9.3	34	58	10.7	34
		•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	M<30	•	•	N<30
HISPANIC	•	•	M < 30	•	•	H<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	00001 64	45 416	NE DV	CENDER	06 EV	AMINE												
DAILY	PROBLE	13 766	ME 01	GENDEN	OF EX	WHITE	•											
MALE	60	2.8	371	83	٤.0	527	63	2.4	527	82	2.1	627	70	• •		-	•	
FEMALE	55	2.7	>2	79	2.0	589	51	2.4	589	81		527	70	2.2	527	75	2.4	527
WEEKLY	,,	£.,		"	2.0	207	21	2.4	204	01	2.1	585	65	2.3	589	70	2.4	589
MALE	59	4.4	141	78	3.6	170	60	4.4	170	79	3.9	470	70		470			470
FEMALE	58	5.3	109	81	3.9	139	51	5.0	139	80	4.3	170	70	4.0	170	72	4.4	170
LESS THAN WEEKLY	,0	3.3		01	3.7	137	31	5.0	139	60	4.3	139	63	4.7	139	69	5.1	139
MALE		_	≿ <30	_		N<30			N<30									
FEMALE		•	N<30	-	·	N<30	•	-		•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
NEVER	_	•	W 130	-	•	M 130	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
MALE			ม<30	_		N<30			N - 70									=-
FEMALE	•	•	N430		·	N<30	:	:	N<30 N<30	:	:	N<30 N<30	•	:	N<30 N<30	:	:	N<30 N<30
HOW OFTEN WORK M' Y	PROBLEM	IS ALO	NE BY	TYPE OF	SCHO	OL EXA	MINER	A' 1 END	s *									
PUBL1C	56	2.1	721	81	1.5	1023	56	1.8	1023	81	1.6	1023	67	1.7	1023	72	1.8	1023
NONPUBL I C	65	5.9	72	82	5.1	93	64	5.7	93	86	4.8	93	72	5.8	93	77	5.8	93
WEEKLY		•••		•		75	•		,,		4.0	7.3	16	5.0	73	"	3.0	73
PUBL 1C	58	3.7	212	80	2.8	265	56	3.5	265	79	3.1	265		3.3	245	**		245
NOH- LIC	63	8.5	38	80	7.5	44	55	8.8	44	82	7.7		66 73		265	70	3.6	265
LESS THAN WEEKLY		0.5	50	•		74		0.0		OE.		44	13	8.0	44	73	8.9	44
PUBLIC			N<30			N<30			N<30	_	_	N<30			H-70	_		11.70
NONPUBLIC			N<30			N<30	·		N<30	:	-		:	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
NEVER			A 130		-	4,70	-	-	4 - JU	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
PUBLIC			N<30	57	8.2	47	38	8.6	47	68	8.4	47	70	• •	, -	.,	0.2	
k , 'PUBLIC		-	N<30	•	٠.٤	N<30		0.0	N<30	- 00	0.4	N<30	49	8.1	47	56	9.2	47
			30			JU	•	•	M >30	•	•	M < 20	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 5 1a: HOW OFTEN STUDENT WORKS MATH PROBLEMS ALONE - GRADE 11 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNDMNTL METHODS	ORGNI 18 INTER:	HEASURE- HEWI	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILL\$	TOT
STUDENT WORKS PR DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER	UBLEMS ALONE 1.461 1.524	- COM' A(-1.'9) 2.8/2 2.1/23	NS (Z=2.24 FC 2.209 3.070	DR 2 TESTS AT 1.689 1.348	.05) 2.231 2.088	1. 836 1.571
COMPARISONS - RA DAILY WH/BL	CE/ETHNIC1TY 3.238 *	8Y INSTRUCTI 2 902 *	IONAL ACTIVII 5 003 *	TY (Z=2.64 FC 2.229	R 6 TESTS AT	.05) 2.881
WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	2 453 -0 27	2.432	3.037 -1.02	1.647	3.501 * -0.11	2.182 -0.26
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEK WH/BL WH/KISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP BL/HISP BL/HISP BL/HISP	2.142 0.438 -1.13 LY	1.832 1.195 -0.28	2.521 0.990 -0.90	1.301 0.821 -0.31	2.828 * 1.034 -1.27	1.697 0.866 -0.53
COMPARISONS - GE	NDER BY INST	RUCTIONAL ACT	rivity (∠=2.2	4 FOR 2 TEST	S AT .05)	
H/F	1.258	1 240	J.533 *	0.335	1.476	1.275
WEEKLY M/F	0.159	-0 58	1.431	-0 19	1.U37	0.447
LESS THAN WEEK M/F	LY					
NEVER M/F						
COMPARISONS - TY	PE OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2	tESTS AT .05)
DAILY PUB/NPUB	-1 28	-0.16	-1.37	-0.95	-0.81	-0.80
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB	-0.56	-0 07	0.158	-0.32	-0.84	-0.28
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 5.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 7 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU WORK MATHEMATICS PROBLEMS ALONE?"

	FUNDAM METHOD AVG %	\$		DATA ORGANI INTERPI AVG %	RETAT	ION	MEASU AVG	REMENT X SE	u	NUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS:	KILLS	HIGHER APPLIC	LEVE	S	TOTAL	ALES	-
HOW OFTEN DO YOU WO			LEHS A		~	-	710	• •:	N	AVG X	se.	N	AVG 3	se se	Ħ	AVG S	K SE	N
DAILY	44	1.4			1.7	932	56	1.4	1853	57								
WEEKLY	39	3.3		52	4.4	144	50		313		1.2		48	1.5	1853	52	1.5	
LESS THAN WEEKLY	41	8.3		٠,	7::	N<30	47			50	2.8		41	3.6	313	45	3.6	
NEVER	29	5.9		44	8.8			9.0		45	7.5		42	9.0	51	45	9.1	
NOT REPORTED		J.7	N<30	•	0.0		37	6.7		38	5.4		37	6.7	82	36	6.8	
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	43	1.2		58		N<30 1149		. :	N<30			N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
TOTAL W/ IN SUBSCALE	43	1.2	2323	70	1.6	1149	54	1.3	2326	55	1.0	2321	46	1.3	2326	50	1.3	2326
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLE	MS AL	ONE BY	RACE/E	THNIC	ITY OF	EXAMII	NEE *										
WHITE	49	1.8	1104	64	2.2	566	60	1.9	1105	60	1.5	1103	51					***
BLACK	28	2.8	391	47	4.0		41	3.2	391	44	2.5			1.9	1105	56	2.0	
HISPANIC	31	3.4	280	51	4.2	142	46	3.7	280	45		391	35	3.1	391	37	3.2	
WEEKLY	•	3.4	200	•	4.2	145	70	3.7	200	47	3.0	278	38	3.7	280	41	3.8	280
WHITE	44	4.5	169	54	5.6	80	55	, ,	440									
BLACK	28	7.1	61	52	11.0	30	39	4.7	169	53	3.7	168	45	4.9	169	49	4.9	169
HISPANIC	30	6.6	70	32	11.0	N<30	37 37	8.0	61	35	6.4	61	32	7.8	61	35	8.1	61
LESS THAN WEEKLY	30	0.0	70	•	•	M<20	3/	7.4	70	42	6.2	70	34	7.4	70	36	7.6	70
WHITE			N<30			11.20												
BLACK		·	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	M<30	•	•	N<30
HISPANIC		-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	M<30	•	•	N<30
	•	•	M <20	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	¥<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
NEVER	37	•	•															
WHITE	3/	9.6	34	•	•	N<30	41	10.6	34	42	8.9	34	41	10.7	34	41	10.8	34
BLACK	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HISPANIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLE	MS ALC	ONE BY	GENDER	OF EX	CAMINE	:											
DAILY							•											
MALE	42	1.9	928	59	2.4	447	55	2.0	929	55	1.6	928	47					
FEMALE	46	2.0	924	61	2.4	485	57	2.0	924	58				2.1	929	50	2.1	929
WEEKLY		2.0	,	٠.		403	,,	2.0	724	20	1.6	921	48	2.1	924	53	2.1	924
MALE	37	4.4	166	52	6.0	76	49	4.7	166	49								
FEMALE	42	4.8	147	53	6.6	68	51	5.1			4.0	165	41	4.8	166	45	4.9	166
LESS THAN WEEKLY		1.0			0.0	•	٠,	ا . ا	147	50	4.0	147	42	5.3	147	46	5.3	147
MALE			N<30			N<30			N<30									
FEMALE			N<30			N<30		•		•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30
NEVER		-	W 130	-		#130	•	•	N<30	•	•	M<20	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
MALE	32	7.8	44	_			**											
FEMALE	27	8.9	38	•	:	N<30 N<30	39 36	9.0 12.0	44 38	35 40	7.0 8.4	44 38	41 34	9.1 9.8	44 38	38 35	9.1 10.2	44 38
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLEM	IS ALO	WE BY	TYPE OF	SCHO	OL EXA	MINEE	ATTEND	\$ •									
PUBLIC	44	1.4	1715	60	1.8	856	56	1.5	1715	56		4743						
NONPUBLIC	45	5.4	136	66	6.4	75	61	5.2			1.2	1712	47	1.5	1715	51	1.6	1715
WEEKLY	•••			~	J.4	13	01	3.2	137	63	4.2	136	51	5.4	137	56	5.5	137
PUBLIC	37	3.4	285	51	4.6	133	48	3.6	285	49	* ^	30/		• -				
NONPUBLIC	-:		N<30	-:	7.0	N<30	90	3.0			3.0	284	40	3.7	285	44	3.8	285
LESS THAN WEEKLY			A -30	-	-	4,20	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
PUBLIC	40	8.4	49	_	_	N<30	/7										_	
NONPUBLIC	40	0.4	N<30	-	•		47	9.1	49	44	7.6	49	41	9.2	49	44	9.3	49
NEVER	•	•	M - 3U	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30
PUBLIC	27	5.9	76	43		•	•											
NONPUBLIC	٤,	3.7	N<30	43	9.0	36	36	6.9	76	35	5.7	76	34	6.9	76	34	7.0	76
HOMFODETE	-	-	H 130	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 5.2a: HOW OFTEN STUDENT WORKS MATH PROBLEMS ALONE - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

STUDENT WORKS PRI DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	FNDMNTL METHODS OBLEMS ALONE 2.430 * 1 427 1 160	ORGNIZ& INTERP - COMPARISON 1.832 0.883	MEASURE- MENT IS (Z=2.4 FOR 2.731 * 1.656 0.884	NUMBERS& OPRATHS 3 TESTS AT 3.383 * 1.929 0.811	HGH ORDR SKILLS .05) 1.504 0.541 0.401	TOT 2.164 1.154 0.740
COMPARISONS - RAG	CE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCTI	ONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.64 FO	R 6 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	6.352 * 4 513 * 9 86	3.589 * 2.576 -0.70	5 248 * 3.449 * -1.01	5.388 * 4 527 * -0.15	4 497 * 3.046 * -0.74	4.892 3.289 -0.85
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKI WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP BL/HISP) 875 1 756 -0 18 Y	0 145	1.700 2 026 0.174	2.425 1 516 -0.77	1.457 1.215 -0.24	1 499 1 441 -0.10
COMPARISONS - GEN	IDER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.4	FOR 3 TESTS	AT 05)	
H/F	-1 36	-0.52	-0.51	-1.03	-0.57	-0 76
WEEKLY H/F	-0 79	-0 13	-0 34	-0 07	-0 18	-0 24
LESS THAN WEEKL M/F	Y					
NEVER M/F	0 473		0.215	-0.46	0 566	0.263
COMPARISONS - TYP	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY 1	INSTRUCTIONAL	. ACTIVITY (Z	=1 96 FOR 1	TEST AT .05)
DAILY PUB/NPUB	-0 16	-0 96	-0 97	-1 67	-0 69	-0 80
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 5.3: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 3 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU WORK MATHEMATICS PROBLEMS ALONE?"

HOW OFTEN DO YOU NO	FUNDAM METHOD AVG X	S SE	N FMS A	DATA ORGANI INTERP AVG X	RETAT		MEASUR AVG 3		N	NUMBERS OPERATI KNOWLES AVG %	ONS:	ILLS N	NUMBERS HIGHER APPLICA AVG %	LEVEL		ONS: TOTAL A SUBSCAI AVG %	LES	; N
DAILY	29	1.5	1295	55	2.1	638	36	1.7	1295	35	1.2	1295	45	1.6	1295	38	1.8	1295
WEEKLY	29	2.2	637	59	2.9	322	35	2.4	637	34	1.8	637	45	2.3	637	38	2.5	637
	22	5.0	109	41	7.0	55	28	5.7	109	28	4.4	109	33	5.6	109	29	5.9	109
LESS THAN WEEKLY	24	3.7	212	48	5.1	111	29	3.9	212	27	2.9	212	39	3.9	212	32	4.1	212
NEVER	13		91			39	14	4.5	91	18	3.9	91	18		91	16	4.7	91
NOT REPORTED TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	28	3.9 1.1	2344	36 55	7.1 1.5	1165	34	1.3	2344	33	0.9	2344	43	4.2	2344	36	1.3	2344
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLE	MS ALC	ONE BY	RACE/E	THNIC	ITY OF	EXAMIN	IEE •										
WHITE	31	2.0	841	60	2.7	407	38	2.2	841	37	1.5	841	48	2.0	841	40	2.2	841
BLACK	21	3.4	222	44	4.8	120	28	3.9	222	27	3.1	222	39	4.0	222	30	4.1	222
HISPANIC	23	3.9	189	42	5.4	95	29	4.3	189	31	3.3	189	38	4.4	189	31	4.4	189
WEEKLY		•.,		•		• • •		7.5		•	٠.٠		•		,	•	7.7	
WHITE	33	2.9	384	65	3.6	208	39	3.2	384	37	2.4	384	49	3.1	384	42	3.3	384
BLACK	17	4.3	122	33	7.4	54	25	5.0	122	24	3.5	122	37	5.3	122	26	5.3	122
	20			33					98	25								
HISPANIC	20	5.0	98	33	7.1	44	28	5.8	70	25	4.1	98	36	5.6	98	28	6.0	98
LESS THAN WEEKLY																		
WHITE	24	8.0	44	•	•	N<30	31	9.2	44	31	7.2	44	33	8.5	44	31	9.4	44
BLACK	19	7.8	39	•	•	N<30	25	9.4	39	23	6.7	39	31	9.7	39	26	9.6	39
HISPANIC	•	•	พ<30		•	พ<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	พ<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
NEVER																		
WHITE	26	5.0	120	50	6.7	67	32	5.4	120	28	3.9	120	43	5.3	120	34	5.5	120
BLACK	18	7.4	45	•	•	N<30	22	8.0	45	18	5.7	45	35	8.9	45	25	8.7	45
HISPANIC	21	7.9	38	-		N<30	26	9.1	38	39	7.8	38	34	8.7	38	29	9.3	38
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH					OF EX	(AHINE)		2.4	454	74		454			454	70	2.5	454
MALE	31	2.2	656	58		325	37	2.4	656	36	1.7	656	46	2.3	656	39	2.5	656
FEMALE	27	2.2	639	53	3.1	313	34	2.4	639	34	1.8	639	44	2.3	639	36	2.5	639
WEEKLY														_				
MALE	31	3.2	312	59	4 2	151	35	3.5	312	33	2.5	312	45	3.4	312	38	3.6	312
FEMALE	28	3.0	325	59	4.0	171	35	3.4	325	35	2.5	325	46	3.2	325	38	3.5	325
LESS THAN WEEKLY																		
MALE	24	6.8	63	45	8.6	30	28	7.5	63	30	5.7	63	38	7.7	63	31	7.9	63
FE -	20	7.3	46	•	•	N<30	29	8.8	46	25	7.0	46	27	8.1	46	27	8.9	46
NEVER																		
MALE	23	4.8	120	46	6.6	64	29	5.2	120	25	3.7	120	35	5.2	120	30	5.3	120
FEMALE	25	5.7	92	49	8.1	47	30	6.0	92	29	4.5	92	45	5.9	92	34	6.3	92
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLE						MINEE			-					,-	•		-
	20	• 4	4400	E 4	2 2	607	75		4402	75		4400	,,		4400	70		4400
PUBL I C	29	1.6	1 182	56	2.2	583	35	1.8	1182	35	1.3	1 182	45	1.7	1182	38	1.8	1182
NONPUBL I C	29	5.3	111	53	7.0	54	38	6.0	111	38	4.1	111	49	5.7	111	40	6.1	111
WEEKLY								_										
PUBLIC	30	2.3	578	58	3.0	289	35	2.6	578	34	1.9	578	45	2.5	578	38	2.6	578
NONPUBLIC	25	7.3	58	65	9.8	33	39	8.4	58	36	6.3	58	49	7.7	58	41	8.5	58
LESS THAN WEEKLY																		
PUBL I C	22	5.1	104	40	7.4	51	29	5.9	104	27	4.4	104	33	5.7	104	29	6.0	104
NONPUBL 1 C			N<30		•	N<30		•	N<30			N<30	•	•	N<30		- : :	N<30
NEVER			••															
PUBLIC	24	3.9	187	48	5.5	98	29	4.2	187	27	3.1	187	38	4.2	187	31	4.4	187
NONPUBLIC		٥.,	и<30	•	٠.,٠	N<30		7.5	N<30	• .	٠.:	N<30	- 50	٠.٤	N<30	٠.	*.*	N<30
NONFOBE 16			H -30			H -30			H -20		-	~ -50	-	-	W-20	-	•	W-20

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

	FNDMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ a Interp	. MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR Skills	101
STUTENT WORKS PI	ROBLEMS ALONE	- COMPARIS	ONS 17=2 4 FE	DE 3 TESTS AT	051	
DAIL:/NEVER	1.156	1.408	1.472	2.710 *	1.416	1.398
WEEKLY/NEVER	1.146	1.938	1.322	2.148	1.318	1.298
LT WEEKLY/NEVER	-0 27	-0.71	-0.11	0.207	-1.00	-0.33
COMPARISONS - RA	CE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	TIDNAL ACTIVI	TY (Z=2.81 F	OR 1D TESTS	AT .05)
WH/BL	2.717	3.091 *	2.159	2.850 *	1.945	2.153
WH/HISP	1.868	3.121 *	1 958	1.611	2.117	1.976
BL/HISP	-0.48	0.276	-C.05	-C.B4	0.254	-0.03
WEEKLY						0100
WH/BL	2.94D *	3.891 *	2.415	2.983 *	1.974	2.481
WH/HISP	2.305	4.072 *	1.694	2.383	2.072	1.997
BL/HISP	-0.31	0.029	-0.39	-0.22	0.168	-0.22
LESS THAN WEEK						
WM/BL	0.476		0.434	0.852	0.124	0.379
WH/HISP						
BL/HISP						
HEVER						
WH/BL	0 914		1.072	1.486	0.714	0.933
WH/HISP	0.611		0.604	-1.25	0.835	0.461
BI/HISP	-0 23		-0.32	-2.19	0.088	-0.36
COMPARISONS - GE	NDER BY INSTE	RUCTIONAL AC	TIVITY (Z=2.	5 FOR 4 TESTS	AT .051	
H/F	1.429	1.062	0 901	0.695	0.606	0.798
•		002	0 301	0.033	0.000	0.730
WEEKLY						
H/F	0.750	0.068	-0.04	-0.55	-0.25	0
			••••	0.00	0.23	U
LESS THAN WEEK	LY					
H/F	0.441		-0.01	0.554	0.954	0.361
					0.001	0.001
NEVER						
H/F	-0 21	-0.31	-0.18	-0.74	-1.30	-0.52
COMPARISONS - TY	PE OF SCHOOL	ATTENOEO BY	INSTRUCTION	AL ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-0.10	0.392	-0 43	-0.69	-0.61	
1 007 111 00	0.10	U. 33E	-0 43	-0.09	-0.01	-0.37
WEEKLY						
PUB/NPUB	0.587	-0.66	-0.50	-0 36	-0.51	0.30
		5.00	U. JU	-0 30	-0.31	-0.39
LT WEEKLY						
PUB/NPUB						
NEVER						
PU B/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

1ABLE 4.1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP NATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 11 "NOW OFTEN DO YOU WORK NATHEMATICS PROBLEMS IN SMALL GROUPS?"

	FUNDAM METHOD			DATA ORGANI INTERP			MEASUR	EMENT		NUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS:	(111.5	NUMBERS HIGHER APPLICA	LEVEL		NS: TOTAL SUBSCA		;
	AVG X			AVG X		N	AVG 3		M	AVG X		N	AVG X		N	AVG %		N
HOW OFTER DO YOU WO	HTAM NA	PRCE	LEMS 1								•	••		••				
DAILY	55	5.4	80	78	4.6	109	53	5.3	109	77	5.0	109	62	5.3	109	68	5.7	109
MEEKLY	57	3.9	185	78	3.0	252	57	3.5	252	81	3.1	252	67	3.2	252	72	3.6	252
LESS THAN WEEKLY	42	4.7	147	ÀŠ	3.3	197	60	4.0	197	83	3.4	197	72	3.7	197	75	4.0	197
MEVER	56	2.1	671	80	1.6	927	54	1.9	927	81	1.7	927	66	1.8	927	71	1.9	927
NOT REPORTED	•	•	H-30	•	•	M<30	•	•	N<30	-:	•	M<30	•		M<30	•		N<30
TOTAL WIN SUBSCALE	57	1.6	1094	79	1.2	1505	55	1.5	1505	80	1.3	1505	66	1.4	1505	71	1.5	1505
NOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLE	MS IN	SMALL	GROUPS	BY RA	CE/ET	HN1C1TY	OF EX	CAM I NE	•								
MITE	60	6.7	50	83	5.5	67	61	6.9	67	81	6.0	67	69	6.5	67	73	6.9	67
BLACK	•	•	1430		•	N<30	٠.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	N < 30	•	0.0	N<30	•	٠.,	N<30	•	• • •	M<30
HISPANIC			H<30	•	•	N<30		-	N<30		-	N<30		-	N<30		-	N<30
MEEKLY																		
MITE	62	4.7	122	81	3.4	166	62	4.3	166	84	3.6	166	72	3.9	166	75	4.3	166
BLACK	44	10.5	33	68	8.7	44	35	9.0	44	ñ	8.9	44	49	8.8	.44	58	9.9	44
HISPANIC			N<30	•	· · ·	N<30	•	7.0	M<30	':	0.7	N<30	7.	0.0	N<30	,	7.7	N<30
LESS THAN WEEKLY															H -30			
WITE	65	5.4	110	85	3.7	149	63	4.7	149	85	3.8	149	75	4.2	149	77	4.5	149
BLACK	•		H<30	٠.	•	N<30	~	٠٠٠	M<30	٠.	J.U	N<30		7.2	M<30	''	7	N<30
MISPANIC			N<30		•	N<30			N<30			N<30	-		N<30	-		N<30
MEVER						H 130			M 130			M 130			M 130			M \30
WHITE	59	2.5	485	82	1.8	670	58	2.2	670	82	1.9	670	70	2.1	670	73	2.2	670
BLACK	42	5.7	93	69	4.8	126	35	5.1	126	72	5.2	126	49	5.3	126	59	5.8	126
MISPANIC	47	6.6	73	72	5.3	99	47	5.8	99	76	5.6	90	56	5.7	99	64	6.3	99
	•••	•••	•••			••	•	7.0	••	•••	7.0	••	,,		••	-	0.5	• • •
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLEM	us IN	SMALL	GROUPS	BY GE	NDER C	OF EXAM	MEE										
DAILY				-														
MALE	55	6.6	46	78	6.1	60	59	7.0	60	78	6.8	60	62	7.3	60	69	7.6	60
FEMALE	55	9.3	34	78	7.0	49	44	8.0	49	76	7.4	49	62	7.8	49	66	8.6	49
MEEKLY							• • •		• • •			~-	-		~~			~ ~
MALE	59	5.5	95	77	4.2	129	61	4.9	129	80	4.4	129	66	4.5	129	72	5.0	129
FEMALE	56	5.6	90	79	4.3	123	54	5.1	123	82	4.4	123	68	4.7	123	72	5.1	123
LESS THAN WEEKLY					• •		•	•••			***		•			•	•••	
MALE	66	6.0	82	85	4.2	107	66	5.3	107	84	4.5	107	75	4.9	107	77	5.2	107
FEMALE	58	7.3	65	81	5.2	90	54	6.1	90	82	5.2	90	68	5.6	90	72	6.2	90
MEVER			-	-			•	•••	• • •				•				•••	
MALE	57	3.1	309	81	2.2	434	59	2.7	434	80	2.4	434	70	2.5	434	73	2.7	434
FEMALE	55	3.0	362	79	2.2	493	49	2.6	493	81	2.3	493	63	2.5	493	69	2.7	493
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLEM	es il	SMALL	GROUPS	RY TY	PE OF	SCHOOL	EVANT	HEE AT	TENDS 1								
SAILY				UNUU. U	•		JUNGOL	LAATI	4FF 71	LADO								
PUBLIC	52	5.9	70	77	4.9	99	51	5.6	99	76	5.4	99	61	5.6	99	66	6.0	99
MONPUBLIC	7.		K<30	''-	7.7	N<30	.	7.0	N<30		<i>-</i>	N<30	0;	7.0	N<30	•	0.0	N<30
MEKLY			H -30			M-20			M < 30			M \ JU			H \30			M 130
PUBLIC	57	4.0	172	78	3.1	236	57	3.6	236	81	3.2	236	67	3.3	236	72	3.7	236
MONPUBLIC	7:	7.0	H<30		٠.:	¥<30	<i>-</i> :	J. 0	M<30	٠:	J. E	W<30	٠.	3.3	N<30		J.,	M<30
LESS THAN VEEKLY						# 130			M 130			W 130			W 130			M 130
PUBLIC	61	5.1	129	83	3.5	178	60	4.2	178	83	3.6	178	72	3.8	178	75	4.2	178
MOMPURLIC	•	!	H<30	۵.	٠.,	N<30	٠.	7.2	N<30		J.5	N<30	12	J.0	N<30	"	7.2	N<30
MEAES	-	-	~ ~~	-	_	# \JU	,	-	4/30	-	_	M-20	•	-	# 130	-	-	#\JU
PUBLIC	55	2.3	598	79	1.6	830	53	2.0	830	80	1.8	830	65	1.9	830	70	2.0	830
MONPUBLIC	43	5.9	73	80	5.1	97	60	5.8	97	84	5.0	97	73	5.6	97	75	5.8	97
	~	3.7	,,	•	3.1	71	60	7.0	71	5	J.U	7/	13	7.0	71	13	J.0	71

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.



	FNOMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
STUDENT WORKS PRO DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	OBLEMS IN GRO -0.18 0.768 1 225	UPS - COMPAR -0.39 -0.50 1.067	1ISONS (Z=2.4 -0.30 0.726 1.335	FOR 3 TESTS -0.64 0.227 0.632	AT .05) -0.81 0.216 1.348	-0.50 0.196 0.834
COMPARISONS - RAC DAILY WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCTI	ONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z≖2.5 FOR	4 TESTS AT	05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKL WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER	1.586 Y	1.399	2.714 *	1.278	2.367	1.647
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	2.711 * 1 650 -0.59	2.484 1.808 -0.36	4.064 * 1.801 -1.46	1.773 1.094 -0.44	3.715 * 2.250 -0.97	2.378 1.402 -0.64
COMPARISONS - GEN	DER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.5	FOR 4 TESTS	AT .05)	
DAILY M/F	0.035	-0.03	1.400	0.208	0.037	0.236
WEEKLY M/F	0.405	-0.41	1.034	-0.27	-0.38	0
LESS THAN WEEKL M/F	Y 0.906	0 553	1 537	0.262	0.933	0.705
NEVER M/F	0 635	0.605	2 692	-0 05	1 767	0.959
COMPARISONS - TYP DAILY PUB/NPUB	E OF SCHOOL /	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONAI	L ACTIVITY (Z=1.96 FOR 1	TEST AT .05)
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB	-1 24	-0.15	-1 15	-0.72	-1.32	-0.79

^{*} Statistically significant difference.



TABLE 6.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 7 MHOW OFTEN DO YOU WORK MATHEMATICS PROBLEMS IN SMALL GROUPS?**

	FUNDAM METHOD			DATA ORGANI INTERP			MEASUR	EMENT		MUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS:	1118	HIGHER	S & OP		ONS: TOTAL SUBSCA		;
	AVG 2		M	AVG X		M	AVG 1		×	AVG X		H	AVG X		×	AVG X		¥
HOW OFTEN DO YOU WO			LEMS II					•	-		•	-		•	-			••
DAILY	30	4.7	140	49	5.9	7 5	42	5.0	141	42	4.2	139	38	5.2	141	39	5.2	141
WEEKLY	36	3.5	270	51	4.6	129	50	3.8	270	49	3.0	270	42	3.8	270	45	3.9	270
LESS THAN WEEKLY	47	3.1	375	62	3.9	188	57	3.2	375	58	2.7	373	49	3.3	375	53	3.3	375
NEVER	44	1.5	1511	60	1.9	751	56	1.6	1511	56	1.3	1510	47	1.6	1511	51	1.7	1511
NOT REPORTED	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	W<30	•	•	M<30	•	•	M<30	•	•	N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	43	1.2	2325	58	1.6	1149	54	1.3	2326	55	1.0	2321	46	1.3	2326	50	1.3	2326
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLE	MS IN	SMALL	GROUPS	BY R	CE/ETI	HNICITY	OF EX	CAMINE	•								
WHITE	37	8.3	50	•		N<30	49	8.3	51	46	7.0	49	46	8.9	51	47	8.9	51
BLACK	20	7.3	48	•	-	N<30	33	8.8	48	36	7.1	48	25	8.2	48	28	8.7	48
HISPANIC	18	8.0	35	•	•	N<30	31	9.9	35	35	8.6	35	30	10.0	35	29	10.0	35
WEEKLY																		
WHITE	43	5.4	124	57	6.5	57	57	5.6	124	53	4.2	124	47	5.8	124	51	5.8	124
BLACK	27	6.3	77	48	8.9	38	38	7.1	77	41	5.7	77	31	6.7	77	35	7.1	77
HISPANIC	23	7.1	59	•	•	N<30	37	7.9	59	37	6.7	59	33	7.9	59	34	8.1_	59
LESS THAN WEEKLY																		
WHITE	50	4.0	242	63	4.8	126	60	4.0	242	60	3.3	241	51	4.1	242	56	4.2	242
BLACK	31	7.0	66	52	9.9	30	43	7.8	66	50	6.5	66	37	7.9	66	40	8.0	66
HISPANIC	31	7.8	55	-	•	¥<30	45	8.6	55	50	7.5	54	39	8.6	55	43	8.9	55
NEVER																		
WHITE	49	2.0	911	63	2.4	462	60	2.1	911	60	1.7	911	50	2.1	911	55	2.2	911
BLACK	27	3.2	297	48	4.8	135	42	3.7	297	42	2.9	297	36	3.6	297	38	3.7	297
HISPANIC	34	3.8	237	52	4.7	119	46	4.1	237	44	3.2	236	38	4.1	237	42	4.2	237
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PPORI F	MC 1M	LIAMS	COLLIDS	ev co	LOED /	OF EXAM	INEE										
DAILY	FRODEL		3-0-LL	ukcor 3	DI UC	MUCK (JF CAAA	INCE										
MALE	27	5.8	86	48	7.8	45	41	6.4	87	42	5.2	85	38	6.5	87	39	6.6	87
FEMALE	34	7.9	54	51	9.0	30	43	8.3	54	42	7.1	54	38	8.4	54	40	8.6	54
WEEKLY	• •			• •	7.0	30	7.5	0.5	,,	7.	•••	,,	-	0.4		70	0.0	
MALE	34	4.7	153	51	6.2	71	49	5.0	153	49	3.8	153	41	5.0	153	44	5.1	153
FEMALE	39	5.4	117	52	6.8	58	51	5.8	117	50	4.6	117	42	5.8	117	46	6.0	117
LESS THAM WEEKLY				_									-			-		
MALE	45	4.4	193	60	5.4	100	56	4.5	193	57	3.9	193	47	4.6	193	51	4.7	193
FEMALE	49	4.5	182	64	5.7	88	58	4.6	182	59	3.7	180	50	4.7	182	54	4.8	182
NEVER																		
MALE	43	2.2	736	59	2.7	349	56	2.3	736	55	1.8	736	47	2.3	736	51	2.4	736
FEMALE	45	2.2	775	60	2.7	402	56	2.2	775	57	1.8	774	48	2.3	775	52	2.3	775
HOW OFTEN WORK HATH	PROBLE	MS IN	SKALL	GROUPS	BY TY	PE OF	SCHOOL	EXAMI	NEE AT	TENDS	•							
PUBLIC	27	4.7	127	47	6.1	63	38	5.3	127	39	4.3	126	35	5.4	127	36	5.5	127
HONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•,	•	N<30		•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•		N<30
WEEKLY																		
PUBLIC	3 5	3.6	257	49	4.7	122	49	3.9	257	48	3.0	257	41	3.9	257	44	4.0	257
NONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30
LESS THAN WEEKLY																		
PUBL I C	47	3.3	343	61	4.1	172	57	3.4	343	58	2.8	341	48	3.4	343	52	3.5	343
NONPUBLIC	47	11.2	32	•	•	N<30	57	11.1	32	60	9.2	32	54	11.3	32	56	11.6	32
NEVER			1701			,			48			4705			49			1701
PUBLIC	44	1.6	1396	60	2.0	697	5 5	1.7	1396	56	1.3	1395	47	1.7	1396	51 55	1.7	1396
NONPUBLIC	46	5.7	114	64	7.7	53	61	5.8	114	61	4.4	114	51	5.9	114	55	6.1	114

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 6.2a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU WORK MATH PROBLEMS IN SMALL GROUPS - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNOMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& Interp	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT	
STUDENT WORKS H DAILY/NEVER	-2.98	S IN SMALL GR -1.68	OUPS - COMPA -2.64 *	RISONS (Z=2. -3.10 *	4 FOR 3 TEST	TS AT .05) -2.19	
WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	-2.09 0.686	-1.71 0.458	-1 50 0.194	-2.05 0.672	-1.38 0.382	-1.53 0.401	
COMPARISONS - R	ACE/ETHNIC11	Y BY INSTRUC	TIONAL ACTIV	ITY (Z=2.86	FOR 12 TESTS	S AT .05)	
DAILY VH/BL	1.533						
WH/HISP	1.533		1 298 1.355	1.025	1.762	1.465	
BL/HISP WEEKLY	0 147		0.135	1.045 0.125	1.228 -0.37	1.281 -0.08	
WH/BL	1 863	0 788	2 073	1.775	1.866	1.730	
WH/HISP	2.186		2.008	2.106	1.495	1.649	
BL/HISP *LESS THAN WEE	0.422		0.065	0.468	-0.18	0.055	
WH/BL	2.344	0 991					
WH/HISP	2.196	0 991	1.981 1.582	1.337	1.583	1.747	
BL/HISP	0.028		-0.19	1 187 0	1.282 -0.16	1.306	
NEVER	******		0.13	U	-0.16	-0.25	
WH/BL	5 632 *	2.864 *	4.320 *	5 349 *	3.453 *	4.034	
WH/HISP	3.523 *	2 034	3.058 *	4.316 *	2.638 *	2.866	
BL/HISP	-1.29	-0.67	-0.78	-0.48	-0.42	-0.69	
COMPARISONS - G	ENDER BY INS	TRUCTIONAL AC	TIVITY (Z=2	5 FOR 4 TEST	S AT .05)		
H/F	-0 75	-0 25	-0.10	0	0.046	-0.07	
WEEKLY							
MEERET M/F	-0 61	-0 18	0.20				
FI/ F	-0 01	-0 18	-0 20	-0.25	-0.18	-0.20	
LESS THAN WEED	(LY						
M/F	-0 68	-0.51	-0.34	-0.48	-0.51	-0.44	
		****	•.•.	0.10	0.31	-0.44	
NEVER M/F	-0.81	-0 33	-0.18	-0.70	-0.18	-0.42	
COMPARISONS - TY DAILY PUB/NPUB	PE OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTION	AL ACTIVITY	(Z=2.24 FOR	2 TESTS AT	. 05)
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB							
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB	0		-0.03	-0 26	-0 47	-0.27	
NEVER PUB/NPUB	-0 3 0	-0 5 9	-0 86	-1.08	-0.61	-0.61	

^{*} Statistically significant difference



TABLE 6.3: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1905-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 3 MHOW OFTEN DO YOU WORK MATHEMATICS PROBLEMS IN SMALL GROUPS?

	FUNDAME METHODS			DATA ORGANI INTERP			MEASUR	EMENT		NUMBERS OPERATI KNOWLEG	IONS:	(ILL S	NUMBER HIGHER APPLIC	LEVEL		ONS: TOTAL SUBSCA		;
	AVG X	38	N	AVG X	SE	×	AVG X	SE SE	H	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X		×	AVG X	SE	N
HOW OFTEN DO YOU WO	RK MATH	PROBI	.EM\$ 11	SMALL	GROUP	P\$,,,,,					
DAILY	21	3.0	282	43	4.4	130	27	3.4	282	27	2.5	282	38	3.5	282	29	3.6	282
WEEKLY	26	2.2	581	53	3.1	276	33	2.5	581	30	1.8	581	43	2.5	581	35	2.6	581
LESS YAN WEEKLY	28	3.8	214	48	5.6	108	34	4.2	214	32	3.0	214	41	4.0	214	35	4.2	214
NEVER	31	1.7	1166	60	2.1	611	37	1.8	1166	37	1.3	1166	47	1.7	1166	40	1.9	1166
NOT REPORTED	14	4.1	101	33	7.5	40	16	4.4	101	17	3.4	101	21	4.2	101	18	4.6	101
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	28	1.1	2344	55	1.5	1165	34	1.3	2344	33	0.9	2344	43	1.2	2344	36	1.3	2344
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLEM	IS IN	SMALL	GROUPS	BY RA	CE/ET	KNICITY	OF E	CAM I NE	•								
WHITE	25	4.3	145	52	6.1	71	28	4.9	145	31	3.7	145	41	5.0	145	33	5.1	145
BLACK	15	5.4	68	26	8.1	32	23	6.7	68	19	6.6	66	35	7.2	68	24	6.9	68
HISPANIC	18	6.5	57		•	N<20	24	7.4	57	27	5.5	57	27	7.2	57	23	7.4	57
WEEKLY	_		-						•			•	-	•••	•		•••	•
WHITE	29	3.1	316	61	4.2	150	36	3.5	316	32	2.5	316	46	3.4	316	38	3.6	316
BLACK	19	4.3	134	37	7.0	60	27	5.0	134	21	3.3	134	38	5.2	134	28	5.2	134
HISPANIC	23	5.2	103	34	7.0	54	28	5.7	103	28	4.5	103	39	5.8	103	30	6.0	103
LESS THAN WEEKLY				•	• • • •	,,	-	J.,	103	2.0	4.,	103	37	7.0	103	30	0.0	103
WHITE	31	5.1	129	53	7.4	67	37	5.5	129	35	3.9	129	43	5.2	129	39	5.6	129
BLACK	17	7.6	39		• • • •	N<30	21	8.6	39	15	5.9	39	34	9.2	39	23	8.9	39
HI SPANIC	21	8.7	36			N<30	29	9.7	36	29	7.8	36	33	9.8	36	29	9.9	36
NEVER	• •	٠	-			# -20	٤,	7.1	30	6.7	7.0		"	7.0		27	7.7	30
WHITE	33	2.1	792	64	2.6	415	40	2.2	792	38	1.6	792	50	2.1	792	42	2.3	792
BLACK	21	3.7	185	46	5.3	103	28	4.2	185	32	3.5	185	39	4.3	185	31	4.5	185
HISPANIC	23	4.2	149	52	6.5	74	29	4.9	149	34	3.7	149	40	4.9	149	32	5.1	149
III ST ANI C		7.2	147	76	0.5		.,	4.7	147	-	3.1	147	40	4.7	147	JE	٦.١	147
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PPORI FM	IS IN	CMALL	CROLINGS	BY CE	NDER (OF EXAM	1 456										
DAILY	FACULE		SHALL	GROOPS	P1 GE	MUER (JF EXAM	INCE										
MALE	23	4.0	160	48	5.0	80	26	4.5	160	20	3.5	160	38	, .		30	4.8	140
FEMALE	19	4.4	122	34	6.3	50	27	5.3		29				4.8	160			160
WEEKLY	17		122	34	0.3	70	21	5.3	122	25	3.5	122	37	5.2	122	28	5.3	122
MALE	29	3.2	306	54	, ,	134	33		704	7.	a .	701			701			70/
FEMALE	23	3.1	275	51	4.4	142	33 33	3.5	30€	31	2.4	306	41	3.4	306	35	3.5	306
	23	3.1	2/3	71	4.5	142	33	3.7	275	30	2.7	275	44	3.6	275	35	3.8	275
LESS THAN WEEKLY	20	5.3	108		- ·													
MALE	29 26	5.3	106	40	7.4	57	33	5.8	108	33	4.1	108	41	5.7	108	35	6.0	108
FEMALE	20	5.3	100	54	8.4	51	34	6.0	106	32	4.3	106	42	5.6	106	36	6.0	106
NEVER	72	٠,	£ 74	40		207	70											
MALE FEMALE	32 29	2.4	571 595	62 59	3.0 3.0	297	39	2.6	571	37	1.9	571	48	2.5	571	41	2.7	571
PERALE	K.Y	2.3	242	28	3.0	314	3 5	2.5	595	38	1.9	595	46	2.4	595	39	2.6	595
HOW OFTEN WORK MATH	PROBLEM	S IN	SKALL	GROUPS	BY TY	PE OF	SCHOOL	EXAMI	NEE AT	TENDS *								
DAILY																		
PUBL!C	21	3.2	243	44	4.7	113	26	3.7	243	28	2.8	243	36	3.8	243	28	3.8	243
NOMPUBLIC	21	8.5	37	•	-	M<30	33	10.2	37	26	6.3	37	49	9.9	37	35	10.3	37
WEEKLY																		
PUBLIC	26	2.3	540	51	3.3	257	34	2.6	540	30	1.9	540	42	2.6	540	35	2.7	540
NONPUBLIC	26	8.5	40	•	•	N<30	32	9.5	40	29	6.8	40	44	8.7	40	35	9.8	40
LESS THAN JEEKLY			-					- • •				. •	••		``	-	- • •	••
PUBLIC	28	3.9	201	49	5.8	102	34	4.3	201	32	3.0	201	41	4.1	201	36	4.4	201
NONPUBLIC	-:	•	N<30		٠.٠	N<30	•		N<30			N<30			N<30		•	N<30
NEVER																		7.50
PUBLIC	31	1.7	1057	60	2.3	548	37	1.9	1057	37	1.4	1057	47	1.8	1057	39	1.9	1057
NONPUBLIC	31	5.6	109	62	6.7	63	40	6.1	109	41	4.5	109	51	5.7	109	43	6.2	109
	•		,		•	~	•••	٠.١	107	71	٦.,	107	٠,	٠.,	107	73	٠.٢	107

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 6.3a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU WORK MATH PROBLEMS IN SMALL GROUPS - GRADE 3 Z TESTS FOR DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNDMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& DPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
STUDENT WORKS HAT	TH PROBLEMS	IN SMALL GROU	JPS - COMPAR	ISONS (Z=2.4	FOR 3 TESTS	AT 05)
DAILY/HEVER	-2.73 *	-3.66 *	-2.67 *	-3.43 *	-2.41 *	-2.66
WEEKLY/NEVER	-1.61	-2.02	-1.18	-3.09	-1.49	-1.47
LT WEEKLY/NEVER	-0.70	-2 11	-0 .68	-1.53	-1.37	-0.95
COMPARISONS - RAC		BY INSTRUCT	IONAL ACTIVII	Y (Z=2.86 FC	OR 12 TESTS	AT .05)
WH/BL	1.397	2.542	0.587	2.017	0.777	1.021
WH/HISP	0.894		0.474	0.678	1.664	1.008
BL/HISP	-0.31		-0.07	-1.03	0.767	0.029
WEEKLY						
WH/8L	1 903	2.906 *	1.481	2.698	1.275	1.580
WH/HISP	1.021	3.245 *	1.193	0.840	1.049	1.150
BL/HISP	0.57	0.271	-0.13	-1.22	-0.11	-0 25
LESS THAN WEEKL						
WH/BL	1 558		1 604	2.875 *	0.879	1.516
WH/HISP BL/HISP	1.067 -0.30		0.717	0.730	0.957	0.824
NEVER	-0 30		-0.64	-1.41	0.096	-0.48
WH/8L	2.741	2.969 *	2.458	1.600	2.348	2.228
WH/HISP	2.076	1.699	2.017	1.009	1.796	1.802
BL/HISP	-0.33	-0.66	-0.15	-0.40	-0.26	-0.17
COMPARISONS - GEN	DER BY INST	RUCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.5	FOR 4 TESTS	AT .05)	
M/F	0 688	1.645	-0.05	0.939	0.240	0 335
WEEKLY						
M/F	1.274	0 526	0 039	0.167	-0.66	0
•••		0 320	• 000	0.107	-0.00	U
LESS THAN WEEKL	Y					
M/F	0 398	-1 17	-0.10	0.152	-0.13	-0. 0 8
44						
NEVER						
H/F	0.786	0.914	0.879	-0.37	0 494	0.618
COMPARISONS - TYP	ε of school	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z*2.4 FOR 3	TESTS AT .05)
FU8/NPUB	-0.03		-0 69	0.350	-1.23	-0.58
WEEKLY PU8/NPU8	-0 02		0.121	0.156	-0.21	0 009
LT WEEKLY PU8/NPU8						
NEVER PUB/NPUB	-0 08	-0.25	-0 58	-0 93	-9.74	-0 60

^{*} Statistically significant difference



TABLE 7.1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 11 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU USE A MATHEMATICS MORKBOOK?"

	FUNDAN METHOD	S		DATA ORGANII INTERP	RETAT	ION	MEASUF			NUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS:	(1LL S		S & OP		ONS: TOTAL SUBSCA		;
U. 0	AVG X		N	AVG %	SE	N	AVG 1	SE.	N	AVG %	\$E	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N
HOW OFTEN USE A MATI																		
DAILY	48	4.1	177	71	3.5	244	45	3.7	244	75	3.6	244	54	3.6	244	63	4.0	244
WEEKLY	57	3.3	283	20	2.4	396	54	2.9	396	80	2.6	396		2.8	396	70	3.0	396
LESS THAN WEEKLY	64	4.0	167	82	3.1	217	63	3.7	217	84	3.1	217	76	3.4	217	76	3.7	217
NEVER	58	2.5	460	82	1.8	633	58	2.2	633	82	2.0	633	69	2.1	633	73	2.3	633
NOT REPORTED	-	-	₩<3 Ú	•	•	W<3 0	-	•	N<30	•	•	W<30	-	•	N<30		-	N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	57	1.6	1034	79	1.2	1505	55	1.5	1505	80	1.3	1505	66	1.4	1505	71	1.5	1505
HOW OFTEN USE A MATE	H WORKS	OOK 81	RACE	ETHNIC	177 0	F EXAM	NEE *											
WHITE	53	5.5	101	76	4.3	138	50	4.9	138	77		470			470			475
BLACK	36	9.6	34	60	8.7	46	32	8.5		77 71	4.6	138	58	4.8	138	66	5.2	138
HISPANIC	~	7.0	#<30	62	9.1	43	36		46 43	70	8.6	46		8.5	46	55	9.6	46
MEEKLY		=	M/30	Θž	7.1	43	.00	9.1	43	70	8.9	43	45	8.7	43	56	9.9	43
WHITE	43	, ,	400			27/												
	62	4.0	189	83	2.8	274	58	3.5	274	83	2.9	274	70	3.3	274	74	3.5	274
DLACK		8.5	46	69	7.0	60	36	7.1	40	69	7.8	60	43	8.0	60	56	8.4	60
HISPANIC	46	9.7	36	66	8.8	46	40	9.0	46	72	8.6	46	50	8.6	46	59	9.5	46
LESS THAN WEEKLY							_											
WHITE	66	4.5	138	83	3.4	174	65	4.3	174	85	3.4	174	77	3.8	174	78	4.1	174
BLACK	•	-	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	•	W<30	•	-	N<30
HISPANIC	•	-	N<30	-	•	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	•		M<30	•	-	N<30
NEVER																		
WHITE	60	2.9	341	84	2.0	469	61	2.6	469	84	2.2	469	73	2.4	469	75	2.6	469
BLACK	44	6.9	66	72	5.7	88	36	5.9	68	73	6.2	88	52	6.2	88	60	6.9	88
HISPANIC	50	9.2	41	74	6.2	56	53	7.4	56	78	6.9	56	60	7.4	56	37	7.9	56
HOW OFTEN USE A MATE	WORKE	00K BY	GENDE	R OF E	KAMINE	E												
MALE	52	5.4	88	73	4.5	129	51	5.1	129	75	4.8	129	59	4.9	129	66	5.3	129
FEMALE	43	6.2	89	67	5.3	115	38	5.4	115	74	5.3	115	48	5.5	115	59	6.0	115
WEEKLY		٠.ـ	•	0.	7.5	117	~	7.4	117	(4	J.J	117	40	3.3	113	27	0.0	113
MALE	61	4.6	144	80	3.5	195	59	4.0	195	80	3.7	195	67	3.9	195	72	4.2	195
FEMALE	54	4.9	139	80	3.4	201	49	4.1	201	80	3.6	201	64	3.9	201	69	4.2	201
LESS THAN WEEKLY		4.,	137	•	۶.۹	201	47	4.1	201	00	3.0	201	04	3.9	201	04	4.2	201
MALE	65	5.9	73	83	4.5	101	68	5.3	101	•/	, .	404	-		404			404
FEMALE	62	5.6	64	82	4.2	116	58	5.3	116	84 84	4.5	101	79	4.8	101	79	5.2	101
NEVER	UL.	7.0	74	ΟZ	4.2	110	70	7.3	110	04	4.4	116	74	4.8	116	74	5.2	116
MALE	58	3.6	229	82	2.5	308	62	3.1	308		-	700	74		***	٠,		700
FEMALE	57	3.6	231	81	2.6	325	53	3.1	325	82 82	2.8 2.8	308 325	71 68	2.9 3.0	308 325	74 72	3.2 3.2	308 325
WOLL OFTEN HEE A MATE				00 40										•••			٠	32,
HOW OFTEN USE A MATH	WORKS	OUK BY	TYPE	OF SCHO	XOL EX	CAMINE	ATTEN	DS =										
PUBL1C	46	4.3	165	70	3.6	229	43	3.8	227	74	3.7	229	52	3.3	229	62	4.2	229
NONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30			N<30		•	1<30	٠.	•	N<30
WEEKLY															1.50			H -30
PUBLIC	58	3.5	259	80	2.5	365	55	3.0	365	80	2.7	365	65	2.9	365	71	3.1	365
NONPUBLIC		•	N<30	77	9.6	31	46	10.4	31	84	8.9	31	67	10.3	31	70	11.0	31
LESS THAN WEEKLY				• •	,	٠.	40	10.4	٠.	-	0.7	٦,	01	10.3	31	70	11.0	31
PUBLIC	61	4.5	146	84	3.1	190	62	4.0	190	83	,	400	**		400	74		
NONPUBLIC	٠.	7.5	N<30	٠,	3.1	M<20	02	4.0		బ	3.4	190	77	3.5	190	76	3.9	190
NEVER	•	-	4.20	-	•	M < 20	•	۰	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	-	-	N<30
PUBLIC	57	2.7	403	-2				•										
NONPUBLIC	62	7.3	403 57	82	1.9	564	57	2.4	564	82	2.1	564	69	2.2	564	73	2.4	564
MOMPUBLIC	02	7.3	7	84	5.5	69	64	6.6	69	84	5.8	69	73	6.4	69	76	6.7	69

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match tota 3. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 7 la. HOW OFTEN DO YOU USE A MATH WORKBOOK - GRADE 11 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNDMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	101
USE MATH WORKBOOK DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	COMPARISONS -2.06 -0 07 1 216	(Z=2 4 FOR -2 87 * -0.69 0 169	3 TESTS AT . -2.94 * -1 01 1.259	05) -1.82 -0.65 0.540	-3.67 * -1.20 1.706	-2.25 -0.72 0.737
COMPARISONS - RAC	E/ETHNICITY (BY INSTRUCTI	ONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.77 FO	R 9 TESTS .0	5)
DAILY WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	1 520	1 622 1 324 -0 19	1 845 1.370 -0 32	0.633 0.671 0.040	1.541 1.317 -0.15	1.012 0.875 -0.09
WEEKLY WH/BI WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKL	1 847 1 519 -0 11	1 514 1 343 0 239	2.750 1 848 -0 34	1.635 1 194 -0.24	3.145 * 2 223 -0.57	1.963 1.508 -0.20
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP	2 117 1 085	1 871 1 488	3 760 * 0 957	1.642 0.785	3.057 * 1.666	2.057 0.994
BL/HISP COMPARISONS - GEN	-0 46	-0 19	-1 78	-0.54	-0.75	-0.64
DAILY M/F	1 113	0 846	1 826	0.126	1.514	0.793
WEEKLY M/F	0.954	- 0 .06	1 669	-6 17	0 521	0.354
LESS THAN WEEKL M/F	Y 0.383	0 228	1 333	0 111	0.735	0.594
NEVER M/F	0 098	0 248	2 190	-0 10	0.601	0.485
COMPARISONS - TYP DAILY PUB/NPUB	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB		0 333	0 844	-0 43	-0 24	0.078
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB	-0 60	-0 46	-0 99	-0.38	-0 61	-0 50

^{*} Statistically significant difference

TABLE 7.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 7 HHOM OFTEN DO YOU USE A MATHEMATICS WORKBOOK?

	FUNDAM METHOD AVG 3	S		DATA ORGANI INTERP	RETAT	ION		REMENT		MAMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS: OGE/S		HI GHE	RS & OP R LEVEL CATIONS		TOTAL SUBSC		
HOW OFTEN USE A MATH			N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG :	x s 5	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG :	se 3	N	AVG 2	K SE	N
OAILY			400															
MEEKLY	38 45	2.3	608	53	3.1	306	49	2.5	609	48	2.0	608	42	2.5	609	45	2.6	609
		2.0	858	60	2.6	423	55	2.1	858	56	1.7	857	47	2.2	858	51	2.2	858
LESS THAN WEEKLY	50	3.1	383	64	3.8	195	61	3.1	383	61	2.5	382	52	3.2	383	56	3.3	383
NEVER	40	2.8	446	58	3.4	217	54	3.0	446	54	2.4	444	46	3.0	446	49	3.1	446
NOT REPORTED	30	9.1	30	•	•	N<30	31	9.7	30	43	8.0	30	30	10.1	30	30	10.4	30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	43	1.2	2325	58	1.6	1149	54	1.3	2326	55	1.0	2321	46	1.3	2326	50	1.3	2326
HOW OFTEN USE A MATH	WORKS	OOK 31	RACE	/ETHN1C	ITY O	EXAM	NEE .											
WHITE	45	3.4	303	57	4.5	152	55	3.6	304		2.0	7.7	,-					
BLACK	27	4.5	153	43	6.4	72	37	5.0	153	53 39	2.9	303	47	3.7	304	51	3.7	304
HISPANIC	27	4.8	123	49	6.2	69	40	5.5			4.0	153	33	4.8	153	34	5.1	153
MEEKLY		4.0	123	47	0.2	07	40	2.2	123	42	4.5	123	34	5.5	123	36	5.6	123
WHITE	51	2.8	473	41	3.4	233	- 40											
BLACK	28	4.1	190	64	5.8	233 93	60	2.9	473	61	2.3	472	51	2.9	473	56	3.0	473
HISPANIC	32	4.5	161	51	5.9	79 79	42	4.6	190	44	3.7	190	34	4.5	190	37	4.7	190
LESS THAN WEEKLY	JL	9.7	101	71	2.9	/4	44	5.0	161	44	4.1	161	37	5.0	161	41	5.1	161
WHITE	52	3.7	272											_				
BLACK	31	7.5	55	66	4.5	142	64	3.7	272	64	3.0	272	53	3.9	272	58	3.9	272
HISPANIC	37	9.5	38		•	N<30	45	8.6	55	47	6.0	55	40	8.5	55	41	8.7	55
NEVER	31	9.5	30	•	•	N<30	47	10.2	38	46	8.7	37	36	10.3	38	42	10.4	38
WHITE	43	3.6	277															
BLACK	24	5.5		60	4.1	140	57	3.8	277	57	3.0	276	49	3.8	277	52	3.9	277
HISPANIC	31	7.4	91 64	46	8.5	41 N<30	40 45	6.5 7.7	91 64	41 42	5.3	91 63	31 41	6.3 7.7	91 64	35 42	6.6 8. 0	91 64
HOW OFTEN USE A MATH DAILY MALE	WORKS	00K BY	GENDE	R OF E	KAH" 1E	-	/0	•	770	/-								
FEMALE	39	3.4	279	51	4.5	157	48	3.4	330	47	2.7	329	41	3.4	330	45	3.5	. 30
WEEKLY	3,	3.4	217	71	4.5	149	50	3.7	279	49	3.0	279	43	3.8	279	47	3.8	279
MALE	43	2.8	427	61	3.5	203	55	7.0			٠,							
FEMALE	46	2.9	431	59	3.7	220	56	3.0 3.0	427	57	2.4	426	47	3.0	427	51	3.1	427
LESS THAN WEEKLY	40	2.7	431	79	3.7	220	20	3.0	431	56	γ,	431	46	3.1	431	51	3.1	431
MALE	49	4.7	170	61	5.7	88	61	, -	430			4=4						
FEMALE	51	4.2	213	67	5.0	107	61	4.7	170	58	3.9	170	51	4.8	170	55	4.9	170
NEVER	,	7.6	L 13	O,	٥.٥	107	01	4.2	213	64	3.3	212	52	4.4	213	56	4.4	213
MALE	37	3.7	242	53	4.7	117	53	4.0	242	54		2/2						
FEMALE	43	4.2	204	64	4.9	100	55	4.3	204	55	3.2 3.5	242 202	45 47	4.1	242 204	47 51	4.2 4.5	242 204
HOW OFTEN USE A MATH	WORKB	00K BY	TYPE	OF SCHO	OOL EX	AMI NE E	ATTEN	DS *										
PURLIC	38	2.5	551	52	3.3	273	48	2.4	551									
MONPUBLIC	45	8.1	56	60	9.7	32	59	2.6		47	2.1	551	41	2.7	5 <u>51</u>	44	2.7	551
WEEKLY	4,5	0.1	,,,	•	7.7	32	29	8.0	57	56	6.6	56	50	8.4	57	54	8.5	57
PUBLIC	45	2.1	805	59	2.6	397	55	2.2	805	56	1.8	804	46	2.2	805	51	2 2	805
NONPUBLIC	44	8.9	53	62	10.6	26	60	8.5	53	63	7.2	53	50	8.8	53	54	2.3 9.0	503
LESS THAN WEEKLY							•	0.5	,,	33	, . 2	ور	30	0.0	23	24	y. U	23
PUBLIC	49	3.2	358	63	3.9	180	60	3.2	358	61	2.6	357	51	3.4	358	55	3.4	358
MOMPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•		N<30	•	2.3	N<30	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	J.4	N<30	,,	3.4	
HEVER						4 470			4,20	-	-	4/30	•	-	w <20	•	•	N<30
PUBLIC	39	2.9	408	57	3.5	202	53	3.1	403	53	2.5	406	45	3.1	408	48	7.3	
HONPUBLIC	44	9.5	38	•	•	N<30	57	10.0	38	64	6.9	38	53	10.0	38	55	3.2 10.3	408 38

^{*} Smell subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 7.2a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU USE A MATH WORKBOOK - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNDMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SK!LLS	TOT
USE MATH WORKBOOK DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVFR LT WEEKLY/NEVER	- CUMPAPISO -0.41 1 447 2 421 *	NS (Z=2.4 FC -1.19 0 352 1.165	OR 3 TESTS AT -1.26 0.329 1.674	.05) -1.87 0.821 2.119	-0.89 0.216 1.313	-0.84 0.554 1.574
COMPARISONS - RAC	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	IGNAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.86 FO	R 12 TESTS A	T .05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	3.106 * 3.039 * 0.076	1 701 1 041 -0.65	2.963 * 2.363 -0.39	2.838 1.993 -0.54	2.191 1.935 -0.06	2.672 2.195 -0.26
WEEKLY MH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	4 631 * 3 580 * -0.65	1.924 1.814 -0.07	3.45B * 2.779 -0.39	3.883 * 3.637 * 0	3.175 * 2.363 -0.52	3.302 2.515 -0.49
LESS THAN WEEKL WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	.Y 2.605 1.501 -0 52		1.986 1.498 -0.18	2.619 1.975 0.057	1.387 1.552 0.336	1.796 1.490 -0.03
NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	2.996 * · 1 494 -0.79	1 518	2 199 1 464 -0.40	2.590 2.088 -0.18	2.353 0.856 -0.99	2.180 1.134 -0.64
COMPARISONS - GEN	OER BY INST	RUCTIONAL AC	TIVITY (Z=2.5	FOR 4 TESTS	AT .05)	
M/F	-0 53	0 465	-0.29	-0.61	-0 37	-0.38
WEEKLY M/F	-0 73	0 428	-0.18	0.173	0.092	-0 13
LESS THAN WEEK! M/F	-0 31	-0 77	0.047	-1 11	-0.15	-0.18
NEVER M/F	-1 12	-1 68	-0 45	-0 21	-0.44	-0.59
COMPARISONS - TYPE	PE OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTION	AL ACTIVITY	(Z=2.4 FOR 3	TESTS AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-0.84	-0.80	-1.33	-1 26	-0.94	-1.08
WEEKLY PUB/MPUB	0 054	-0 25	-0 61	-1 01	-0.37	-0.39
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB	-0 48		-0 38	-1 48	-0 81	-0.63

^{*} Statistically significant difference

TABLE 7.3: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 3 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU USE A MATHEMATICS WORKBOOK?"

	FUNDAM METHOD AVG 2	S	¥	OATA ORGANI INTERP AVG 2	RETAT	ION	HEASUR AVG X			NUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS: OGE/S		HIGHEI APPLI	RS & OF R LEVEL CATION:	L S	TOTAL SUJSCA	LES	
HOW OFTEN USE A MATI			-	ATU A	. EE	-	AVG A	SE	H	AVG X	SE.	N	AVG 3	K SE	×	AVG X	SE	N
OAILY	30	1.9	907	60	2.4	458	36	2.1	907	36		~~7		• •				
WEEKLY	29.	1.9	905	55	2.6		36		907	36 35	1.5	907	46	2.0	907	39	2.1	907
LESS THAN WEEKLY	28	5.2	114	37	7.3		32	2.1			1.5	905	45	2.0	905	36	2.1	905
NEVER	22	2.8	324	50	4.0		32 29	5.6	114	23	3.6	114	42	5.6	114	33	5.8	114
NOT REPORTED	13	3.9	94	30	7.2	45	14	3.3	324	27	2.5	324	38	3.2	324	31	3.3	324
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	28	1.1	2344	55	1.5		34	4.2 1.3	94 2344	18 3 3	4.0 0.9	94 2344	18 43	3.9 1.2	94 2344	16 36	4.5 1.3	94 2344
HOW OFTEN USE A MATE	i works	00K B1	RACE	/ETHN1C	ITY O	F EXAM	INEE *											
WHITE	32	2.4	593	63	3.0	303	38	2.6	593	38		593						
BLACK	19	3.9	158	43	5.7		28			36 27	1.8		48	2.4	593	41	2.6	593
HISPANIC	24	4.8	122	52	7.3	62	29	4.6	158	33	3.5	158	39	4.8	158	20	4.8	158
MEEKLY		7.0	122	72	7.3	Oξ	27	5.4	122	23	4.1	122	39	5.3	122	32	5.6	122
WHITE	32	2.4	561	61	3.2	279	39	2 7	561	70								
BLACK	20	3.9	168	35	6.2	80	26	2.7	168	38	1.9	561	49	2.5	561	41	2.7	561
HISPANIC	22	4.5	138	32	6.2	67	30	5.1	138	24 31	3.1	168	39	4.6	168	28	4.6	168
LESS THAN WEEKLY		7.5		JE	0.2	07	30	J. 1	130	31	4.0	138	3 6	5.1	138	30	5.2	138
WHITE	33	8.2	52			M<30	37		52	24								
BLACK		0.2	N<30	_	_	N<30	3/ -	8.7	N<30	24	5.2	52	45	8.4	52	38	8.9	52
HISPANIC	22	8.5	34	-		N<30	22			25	. :	N<30	-		N<30	•	•	N<30
NEVER		0.5	~	•	•	W-20	22	8.8	34	O	6.7	34	40	10.1	34	27	9.8	34
WHITE	24	3.9	182	57	5.5	96	32	4.5	182			443						
BLACK	18	5.4	76	41	8.1	41	23	6.2	76	28	3.3	182	42	4.4	182	34	4.6	182
HISPANIC	17	6.7	52	•	-	N<30	25	7.7	52	24 26	5.1 5.7	76 52	31 30	6.4 7.2	76 52	25 25	6.6 7.8	76 52
HOW OFTEN USE A MATH	WORKB	OOK BY	GENDE	R OF E	XAHINE	EE												
MALE	32	2.6	470	61	3.2	244	38	2.9	470	35	2.1	470	47	2.8	470	40	2.9	470
FEMALE	27	2.6	437	58	3.7	214	35	3.0	437	37	2.1	437	46	2.8	437	38	3.0	437
MEEKLY							-		40.	•		43.	40	2.0	431	30	3.0	431
MALE	31	2.7	452	56	3.7	210	36	2.9	452	37	2.0	452	45	2.8	452	38	3.0	452
FEMALE	28	2.6	453	54	3.5	232	35	2.9	453	34	2.1	453	46	2.8	453	37	2.9	453
LESS THAN WEEKLY									****	•		455	70	2.0	433	٠,	L.,	4,,,
MALE	29	7.1	62	-		# <30	32	7.6	62	25	5.0	62	44	7.6	62	35	7.9	62
FEMALE	26	7.7	52	-	•	N<30	32	8.3	52	21	5.2	52	39	8.2	52	32	8.6	52
NEVER												,-	•	0.2	,,	JE	0.0	72
MALE	21	3.9	168	49	5.7	86	28	4.5	168	24	3.2	168	36	4.4	168	29	4.6	168
FEMALE	22	4.0	156	51	5.7	84	30	4.8	156	29	3.7	156	39	4.7	156	32	4.9	156
HOW OFTEN USE A MATH	WORKBO	OOK BY	TYPE	OF SCHO	OOL EX	(AM I NE E	ATTEN	s *										
PUBLIC	30	1.9	823	59	2.6	411	36	2.1	823	35	1.5	823	46	2.1	823	39	2.2	823
WONPUBLIC	27	6.2	84	63	7.3	47	38	6.9	84	42	5.3	84	51	6.7	84	42	7.1	84
MEEKLY								0.,	•	7.		•	٠,٠	0.7	-	42	7.1	04
PUBLIC	29	1.9	826	56	2.7	402	36	2.2	826	3 5	1.5	826	45	2.1	826	38	2.2	826
MONPUBLIC	29	6.4	76	51	8.8	39	37	7.1	76	36	5.0	76	51	6.4	76	40	7.3	76
LESS THAN WEEKLY			_		•••		•	•••		~	3.0	,,,	,	0.4	10	•0	1.3	10
PUSLIC	27	5.3	105	37	7.4	48	31	5.8	105	25	3.9	105	41	5.8	105	33	6.0	105
NONPUBLIC	•		N<30	-	•	N<30	•	٠.٠	N<30	-	3.7	N<30	7!	2.0	N<30	33	0.0	
NEVÉR									4-20		-	4/30	-	•	# ~ 3 0	•	•	N<30
PUBLIC	21	2.9	295	49	4.2	154	28	3.4	295	26	2.6	295	37	3.3	295	30	3.5	295
NONPUBIL I C	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	3.4	N<30	•	• •	N<30	-	3.3	N <30	30	3.5	N<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 7.3a: HOW OFTEN OO YOU USE A MATH WORKBOOK - GRADE 3 Z TESTS FOR THE OIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNOMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MEKT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	тот
USE MATH WORKBOOK OAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	- COMPARISO 2.325 2.233 1.016	NS (Z=2.4 F0 2.059 1.111 -1.51	R 3 TESTS AT 1.889 1.784 0.522	.05) 3.242 * 3.075 * -0.77	2.240 2.048 0.638	2.075 1.820 0.417
COMPARISONS - RACI DAILY WH/BL	E/ETHNICITY :	BY INSTRUCTION	ONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.81 FO	R 10 TESTS A	
WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	1.430	1.350	1.612	1.146	1.552	2.046 1.498 -0.27
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKLY WH/BL	2.710 2.072 -0 30	3.739 * 4.211 * 0.400	2 445 1 566 -0 53	3.763 * 1.407 -1.47	1.880 2.171 0.349	2.417 1.835 -0.71
WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER	0 915		1.274	-0.16	0.351	0.792
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	1.044 0 986 0 081	1.662	1.193 0.762 -0.24	0 643 0.241 -0.29	1.481 1.506 0.124	1.097 0.935 -0.02
COMPARISONS - GENO DAILY	ER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.5	FOR 4 TESTS	AT .05)	
M/F	1 538	0.426	0.755	-0.40	0.254	0.595
WEEKLY M/F	0 778	0.410	0.243	0.816	-0.27	0.237
LESS THAN WEEKLY M/F	0 277		0.035	0.469	0.473	0.223
NEVER M/F	-0.26	-0.19	-0.25	-0.95	-0.43	-0.40
COMPARISONS - TYPE	OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONAL	ACTIVITY (2	2=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	0 432	-0.56	-0.30	-1.22	-0.81	-0.40
WEEKLY PUB/x:PUB	-0.01	0.555	•0.22	-0.20	-0.85	-0.26
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUR						
NEVER PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 8.1: AVERAGE "ERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 11 "HOW OFTEN DO "OU TAKE MATHEMATICS TESTS?"

	FUNDAM METHOC			DATA ORGANI INTERP			MEASUR	EMENT		NUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS:	(ILLS	NUMBER HIGHER APPLIC			MS: TOTAL SUBSCA		;
	AVG 5	K SE	N	AVG %	SE	N	AVG 3	\$E	N	AVG X		N	AVG X		N	AVG %		N
HOW OFTEN DO YOU TA			5								-			•				
DAILY	52	8.2	50	76	6.8	44	52	8.4	53	72	6.9	50	53	8.8	53	58	8.5	53
VEEKLY	55	1.8	896	62	1.5	750	54	1.7	1192	72	1.7	896	52	1.8	1192	56	1.8	1192
LESS THAP WEEKLY	62	3.0	312	68	2.3	262	63	2.7	460	75	2.7	312	58	2.8	460	63	2.8	460
NEVER	٠.	3.0	N<30	•		H<30	•		N<30		£ . ;	N<30	,,	2.0	N <30	. w	2.0	N<30
NOT REPORTED	_		N<30		_	M<30		_	N<30			N<30						N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	56	1.5	1304	64	1.2	1098	56	1.6	1755	72	1.4		53		N<30	57	1.5	1755
TOTAL WITH SUBSCALE	,,,	1.3	1.304	•	1.2	1070	70	1.5	1/22	12	1.4	1304	23	1.5	1755	21	1.5	1733
HOW OFTEN TAKE MATH	TESTS	BY RAC	E/ETHI	ICITY (OF EXA	MINEE	•											
WHITE	57	10.3	32			N<30	57	10.5	34	76	8.6	32	56	11.0	34	62	10.6	34
BLACK	-:		N<30			M<30	· · ·	10.5	N<30	,,	0.0	N<20	,,	11.0	N<30	02	10.0	M<30
HISPANIC			N<30			N<30			N<30			N<30	-	_	N<30			N<30
MEEKTA			W-20			W-30			M 130			M-20	_	-	W 420	-		W-20
WHITE	59	2.2	598	65	1.8	505	59	2.1	784	74	2.0	598	E.4		70/	40	2 2	784
BLACK	41	4.4	165	54	3.6	138	35				2.0		56	2.2	784	60	2.2	
HISPANIC	48	5.8	102	54	4.8		46	4.0	229	61	4.2	165	36	4.0	229	40	4.2	229
	40	7.0	102	74	4.6	81	40	4.9	142	66	5.3	102	45	5.2	142	49	5.3	142
LESS THAN WEEKLY	45	¥ 7	2/0			240	48	7.0	***	•		24.0						
WHITE	62	3.3	248	68	2.5	210	65	3.0	362	75	3.0	248	59	3.2	362	64	3.2	362
BLACK	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	53	8.6	46	•	•	N<30	47	9.0	46	54	9.2	46
HISPANIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	52	9.9	37	•	•	N<30	51	10.2	37	53	10.5	37
NEVER																		
WHITE	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
BLACK	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HISPANIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HOLL OFTEN TAKE MATH	TECTE	DV CC+	NEA 01															
HOW OFTEN TAKE HATH	15212	B) UCN	DEK O	EXAMI	KEE													
DAILY																		
MALE	•	•	N<30	•	•	K<20	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
FEMALE	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
WEEKLY																		
MALE	58	2.5	470	63	2.0	397	57	2.4	626	71	2.3	470	55	2.5	626	58	2.5	626
FEMALE	52	2.7	426	61	2.3	353	50	2.5	566	72	2.5	426	49	2.6	566	5 3	2.7	566
LESS THAN WEEKLY		_			_													
MALE	64	4.1	160	71	2.9	135	67	3.7	229	76	3.8	160	62	3.9	229	66	4.0	229
FEMALE	59	4.3	152	64	3.6	127	59	3.9	231	74	3.9	152	5 5	4.0	231	59	4.1	231
NEVER																		
MALE	•	•	N<30	-	-	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
FEMALE	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30
HOW OFTEN TAKE MATH	TESTS	BY TYP	E OF S	CHOOL I	EXAMIN	EE AT	TENDS *											
PUBL1C	51	8.4	45	7Ł	7.3	39	50	8.8		70	- .							
NONPUBLIC	,	0.4	N<30	76	7.3		20	0.0	48	70	7.4	45	53	9.2	48	57	9.0	48
	•	•	M<20	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
WEEKLY PUBLIC		1.9		45					465.	_,						_		
	55		824	62	1.6	689	54	1.8	1094	7′	1.8	824	51	1.9	1094	56	1.9	1094
NONPUBLIC	63	6.3	72	68	5.3	61	54	6.1	98	79	5.7	72	56	6.4	98	59	6.4	98
LESS THAN WEEKLY														_			_	
PUBL 1C	62	3.1	275	67	2.4	232	62	2.9	401	74	2.9	275	58	3.0	401	62	3.0	401
NONPUBL I C	60	9.4	37	69	6.8	30	68	7.2	59	78	7.9	37	62	7.7	59	66	7,8	59
NEVER																		
PUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	-	4<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
NONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	พ<3บ	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

22

TABLE 8.1a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU TAKE MATH TESTS - GRADE 11 Z TESTS FOR THE OIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNOMNTL METHOOS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
HOW OFTEN TAKE M OAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	(NONE OF THE CELLS FOR	HESE TESTS SI	HOWED SIGNIFI CATEGORY CONT EPORTED)	CANT OIFFERI AIN LESS TH	ENCES, BUT S AN 30 SUBJEC	INCE THE TS,
COMPARISONS - RAC OAILY WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	CE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	IONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.64 FC	OR 6 TESTS A	1 .05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKL	3.662 * 1.845 -0.91	2.581 2.153 0.116	5 348 * 2.442 -1.75	2.906 * 1.527 -0.72	4.190 * 1.932 -1.28	4.105 1.991 -1.21
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP			1.272 1 268 0 114		1.255 0.816 -0.24	1.028 0 946 0.028
COMPARISONS - GEN OAILY M/F	IOER BY INSTR	RUCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=1 9	6 FOR 1 TEST	AT 05)	
WEEKLY M/F	1 591	0 625	2.016	-0.23	1.634	1 232
LESS THAN WEEKL M/F NEVER	Y					
M/F COMPARISONS - TYP OAILY PUB/NPUB	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB	-1.20	-1 15	-0 01	-1.31	-0.62	-0.52
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB NEVER	0.161	-0.17	-0 77	-0.44	-0.54	-0.48
PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference

TABLE 8.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 MAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 7 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU TAKE MATHEMATICS TESTS?"

	FUNDAM METHOD	s		DATA ORGANI INTERP	RETATI	ON	MEASU			NUMBER OPERAT KHOWLE	IONS: DGE/SI		HIGHER APPLIC	LEVE		TOTAL SUBSCA		-
HOL 01751 50 VOL 14	AVG X		N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG 3	se 3	N	AVG %	SE.	N	AVG X	SE	×	AVG 3	s SE	N
HOW OFTEN DO YOU TA																		
DAILY	34	3.4	286	47	4.2	154	44	3.7	286	45	3.1	286	39	3.7	286	41	3.8	286
WEEKLY	41	1.6	1322	58	2.1	666	54	1.7	1323	55	1.4	1319		1.7	1323	50	1.8	1323
LESS THAN WEEKLY	50	2.4	636	65	3.0	297	60	2.4	636	58	1.9	635	51	2.5	636	55	2.6	636
NEVER	29	9.4	34	•	•	N<30	38	10.3	34	31	7.8	34	37	10.7	34	36	10.6	34
NOT REPORTED	25	7.6	47	•	•	N<30	29	8.1	47	33	6.8	47	28	8.1	47	29	8.3	47
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	43	1.2	2325	58	1.6	1149	54	1.3	2326	55	1.0	2321	46	1.3	2326	50	1.3	2326
HOW OFTEN TAKE MATH	TESTS	BY RAC	E/ETKI	HICITY	OF EXA	MINEE	•											
WHITE	40	5.5	123	54	6.0	68	51	5.8	123	51	5.0	123	44	5.8	123	47	6.0	123
BLACK	24	5.7	89	37	7.7	49	35	6.5	89	36	5.0	123 89	29	6.2	123 89	31	6.5	89
HISPANIC	23	6.3	64	36	9.5	32	33	7.4	64	34	6.1	64	31		64	31		64
WEZKLY	~•	0.0	-	30	7. 3	JL	"	7.4	•	,-	O. 1	04	31	7.5	04	31	7.6	04
WHITE	47	2.3	722	61	2.8	366	58	2.3	723	60				• •				
BLACK	28	3.1	316	49	4.3	155	41	3.6	316	44	1.9	720	49	2.4	723	54	2.4	723
HISPANIC	29	3.8	222	53	5.0	113	46	4.2			2.9	316	34	3.4	316	37	3.6	316
LESS THAN WEEKLY	67	3.0	222	,,	3.0	113	40	4.2	222	43	3.4	221	38	4.2	555	41	4.3	222
WHITE	52	2.8	,,,			227	/-											
BLACK	30		465	67	3.5	223	63	2.8	465	60	3.2	465	53	3.0	465	58	3.0	465
HISPANIC	30 39	6.6	69	56		N<30	43	7.6	69	46	5.9	69	41	7.8	69	40	7.8	69
,,,,,,,,,,	, 24	6.7	83	20	7.7	41	45	7.1	83	51	6.0	82	38	6.9	83	44	7.2	83
NEVFR																		
WHITE	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
BLACK	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HISPANIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
NOT 00 TO TAKE																		
HOW OFTEN TAKE MATH	IESIS	BY GEN	DER OF	EXAMI	MEE													
DAILY								_										
MALE	32	4.6	149	43	5.7	78	42	5.1	149	44	4.4	149	38	5.0	149	40	5.1	149
FEMALE	36	5.0	137	5 3	6.1	76	46	5.3	137	46	4.2	137	41	5.3	137	43	5.5	137
WEEKLY					_													
MALE	39	2.3	654	58	3.0	318	54	2.4	655	54	2.0	653	45	2.5	65\$	48	2.5	655
FEMALE	44	2.3	668	58	2.9	348	54	2.4	668	57	2.0	666	46	2.4	668	51	2.5	668
LESS THAN WEEKLY																		
MALE	49	3.2	337	63	4.1	155	59	3.3	337	58	2.7	337	51	3.4	337	54	3.5	337
FEMALE	51	3.5	299	67	4.5	142	62	3.6	299	59	2.8	298	51	3.8	299	56	3.8	299
NEVER																		
MALE	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30			N<30
FEMALE	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
HOW OFTEN TAKE MATH	TESTS	BY TYP	E OF S	CHOOL (EXAHIN	EE ATT	ENDS *											
PUBLIC	34	3.5	272	48	4.2	146	44	3.8	272	45								
NONPUBLIC		•	N<30	٠.	٠	N<30	•	3.6		45	3.2	272	39	3.8	272	41	3.9	272
WEEKLY			W-20			M-20	·	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	พ<30	•	•	N<30
PUBLIC	41	1.7	1216	57	2 2	440			4344									
NONPUBLIC	42	5.8	106	66	2.2 7.2	610	53	1.8	1216	55	1.5	1213	45	1.8	1216	49	1.9	1216
LESS THAN WEEKLY	42	٥.٥	100	00	1.2	56	62	5.8	107	62	4.7	106	52	6.1	107	56	6.2	107
PUBLIC	49	2.5	E0/	45		272								_				
	54	2.5	586	65		272	60	2.5	586	58	2.0	585	51	2.6	586	55	2.7	586
NONPUBLIC	24	9.0	50	•	•	N<30	61	8.9	50	60	7.1	50	52	9.0	50	58	9.2	50
NEVER	25					•												
PUBLIC	25	9.4	32	54	5.2	84	37	10.5	32	32	7.7	32	33	11.0	32	33	10.7	32
NONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N430	•	•	N<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT



TABLE 8.2a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU TAKE MATH TESTS - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNOMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
HOW OFTEN TAKE MA DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	ATH TESTS - 0.453 1.265 2 139	COMPARISONS	(Z=2.4 FOR 3 0.510 1.498 2.044	TESTS AT .09 1.723 3.105 * 3.436 *	5) 0.221 0.806 1.297	0.507 1.303 1.807
COMPARISONS - RAC	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	IONAL ACTIVIT	TY (Z=2.77 FC	OR 9 TESTS A	T .05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	2.128 2.140 0.129	1.754 1.605 0.065	1.812 1.916 0.222	2.213 2.267 0.279	1.761 1.454 -0.11	1.863 1.735 0.030
WH/BL VH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKL		2.375 1.322 -0.69	3.982 * 2.684 -0.74	4.488 * 4.166 * 0.179	3.614 * 2.261 -0.77	3.752 2.563 -0.63
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	3.147 * 1.853 -0.98	1.296	2.522 2.312 -0.27	2.193 1.445 -0.54	1.492 1.982 0.229	2.073 1.796 -0.31
COMPARISONS - GEN	DER BY INST	RUCTIONAL AC	T'#ITY (Z=2.4	FOR 3 TESTS	AT .05)	
H/F	-0.59	-1 16	-0.46	-0.35	-0.32	-0.43
WEEKLY M/F	-1.59	0.145	-0.14	-1.04	-0.51	-0.61
LESS THAN WEEKL M/F	Y -0.29	-0 78	-0.59	-0.18	-0.11	-0.33
NEVER M/F						
COMPARISONS - TYP DAILY PUB/NPUB	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDEO BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2	2 TESTS AT .05)
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB	-0 06	-1.25	-1.42	-1.52	-1.21	-1.06
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB	-0.52		-0 10	-0.27	-0.14	-0.29
NEVER PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference



TABLE 8.3: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 MAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 3 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU TAKE MATHEMATICS TESTS?"

	FUNDAN METHOD AVG 3	S	N	DATA ORGANI INTERP AVG X	RETATI		MEASUR		N	NUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE AVG X	IONS: DGE/SK	ILLS N	NUMBER NIGHER APPLIC AVG X	LEVEL		ONS: TOTAL SUBSCA AVG X	LES	; N
HOW OFTEN DO YOU TA	KE MATH	TESTS	1															
DAILY	22	4.5	131	49	5.7	66	28	5.1	131	31	3.8	131	37	5.1	131	31	5.3	131
VEEKLY	27	1.7	1049	52	2.4	518		1.9	1049	33	1.4	1049	44	1.9	1049	36	1.9	1049
LESS THAN WEEKLY	33	2.5	519	62	3.2	256	• •	2.7	519	35	1.9	519	48	2.6	519	40	2.8	519
	27	2.3	551	55	3.2	284	34	2.6	551	34	1.9	551	43	2.5	551	36	2.7	551
NEVER									94									94
.NOT REPORTED	14	4.1	94	36	7.6	41	15	4.3		21	3.8	94	19	4.2	. 94	17	4.6	
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	28	1.1	2344	55	1.5	1165	34	1.3	2344	33	0.9	2344	43	1.2	2344	36	1.3	2344
HOW OFTEN TAKE MATH																		
WHITE	26	6.9	62	60	8.2	31	31	7.8	62	33	5.6	62	41	7.4	62	34	7.9	62
BLACK	16	8.0	34	•	•	N<30		9.3	34	28	7.6	34	28	9.8	34	23	9.8	34
HISPANIC	18	8.9	31	•	•	M<30	22	9.7	31	28	8.0	31	28	10.2	31	24	10.3	31
WEEKLY													_					
WHITE	30	2.3	589	58	3.1	292	36	2.6	589	36	1.8	589	47	2.5	589	39	2.6	589
BLACK	20	3.4	227	•2	5.3	107	27	3.8	227	23	2.8	227	41	4.0	227	29	4.0	227
HISPANIC	23	3.9	186	38	5.5	94	30	4.4	186	32	3.3	186	37	4.4	186	31	4.5	186
LESS THAN WEEKLY	23	3.7	100	-	,,,	,,	30	7.7	100	32	3.3	100	31		100	31	4.3	
	35	3.0	365	65	3.8	186	41	3.3	365	36	2.7	365	51	3.1	365	/7	3.4	365
WHITE	24		78			36			303 78		2.3					43		
BLACK		6.0			8.9			6.6		25	4.6	78	36	6.7	78	29	6.9	78
HISPANIC	26	7.1	55	•	•	N<30	27	7.7	55	30	6.3	55	44	7.7	55	32	8.1	55
NEVER																		
WHITE	30	2.9	370	60	4.0	192	37	3.3	370	35	2.4	370	45	3.0	370	39	3.3	370
BLACK	15	4.7	88		7.2	50		6.1	88	28	4.7	88	33	5.8	88	27	6.2	88
HISPANIC	19	5.6	77	42	8.1	37	25	6.4	77	29	4.9	77	32	6.3	77	27	6.6	77
HOW OFTEN TAKE MATH																		
MALE	22	6.1	74	53	6.4	38		6.8	74	29	5.1	74	38	6.9	74	31	7.1	74
FEMALE	23	6.8	57	•	•	N<3 0	28	7.8	57	34	5.8	57	35	7.5	57	30	8.0	57
WEEKLY																		
MALE	29	2.4	521	54	3.4	251	34	2.7	521	33	1.9	521	44	2.7	521	36	2.8	521
FEMALE	25	2.3	528	51	3.3	267	33	2.7	528	33	2.0	528	44	2.6	528	36	2.7	528
LESS THAN WEEKLY										_								
MALE	35	3.6	263	6/	4.3	130	38	3.8	263	35	2.7	263	47	3.6	263	41	3.9	263
FEMALE	30	3.5	256	60	4.9	126		3.9	256	35	2.8	256	49	3.6	256	40	4.0	256
NEVER	•			-	***		-	J.,		•	2.0	270	٠,	3.0	2,0	40	4.0	270
MALE	28	3.2	290	54	4.5	148	36	3.7	290	34	2.6	290	43	3.4	290	37	3.7	290
FEMALE	26	3.4	261	57	4.6	136		3.7	261	37	2.8	261	42	3.5	261	35	3.8	261
HOW OFTEN TAKE MATH				•				J.,	201	-	2.0	201	46	3.,	201	33	3.0	201
DAILY																		
PUBLIC	23	4.7	125	51	5.8	64	28	5.2	125	30	4.0	125	37	5.2	125	31	5.4	125
NONPUBLIC		7.:	N<30	' :	7.0	N<30		7.5	N<30	30	4.0	N<30		٦.٤	N<30	J 1	J	N<30
WEEKLY			M-20			M 130		-	W -20	=	•	W/30	-	_	#/3U	•	•	K-20
	26	1.8	951	51	2.5	148	34	2.0	951			~~				٠.,		~~
PUBLIC	29				2.5	465		3.0		33	1.4	951	44	1.9	951	36	2.0	951
NONPUBLIC	24	5.7	97	60	7.3	52	34	6.3	97	37	4.8	97	50	6.2	97	40	6.5	97
LESS THAN WEEKLY												_						
PUBLIC	33	2.6	467		3.4	228		2.9	467	34	2.0	467		2.7	467	40	2.9	467
NONPUBL 1 C	28	8.0	50	•	•	N<30	42	8.8	50	39	6.4	50	52	7.9	50	42	8.8	50
NEVER	_			_		_	_											
PUBL1C	27	2.4	504	56	3.3	262		2.7	504	34	2.0	504	43	2.6	504	36	2.8	504
NONPUBLIC	26	8.1	47	•	-	M<3 0	34	9.0	47	27	5.8	47	43	8.2	47	34	9.2	47

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS . 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 8.3a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU TAKE MATH TESTS - GRADE 3 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNDMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& Interp	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT	
HOW OFTEN TAKE	MATH TESTS -	COMPARISONS	(Z=2.4 FOR	3 TESTS AT .0	5)		
DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER	-0.92	-0.90	-1.12	-0.60	-1.06	-0.91	
LT WEEKLY/NEVER	-0.13 1 585	-0.82 1.448	-0.24 0.876	-0.21 0.403	0.552 1.462	1 146	
C) HEEKET, HETEK	1 303	1.440	0.070	0.403	1.402	1.146	
COMPARISONS - RA	ACE/ETHNICIT	Y BY INSTRUCT	IONAL ACTIV	ITY (Z=2.86 F	OR 12 TESTS	AT .05)	
WH/BL	0.957		0.910	0.529	1.041	0.890	
WH/HISP	0 665		0.773	0.574	0.992	0.784	
BL/HISP WEEKLY	-0.21		-0 10	0.054	-0.02	-0.07	
WH/BL	2.378	2.562	2 119	3.854 *	1.371	2.038	
WH/HISP BL/HISP	1.575 -0 50	3.139 * 0 546	1.297	1,155	1 947	1.553	
LESS THAN WEEK		0 340	-0 53	-1.92	0.554	-0.28	
WH/BL	1.624	2.393	1.936	2.239	1.908	1.845	
WH/HISP	1 198		1 616	0.947	0.841	1.232	
BL/HISP	-0.17		-0 07	-0 66	-0.69	-0.31	
NEVER WH/BL	2 747	2 102 *					
WH/HISP	1.775	3.190 * 2 083	1.241 1.582	1.343	1.840	1.675	
BL/HISP	-0 54	-0.68	0 315	0 991 -0.24	1.884 0.127	1.632	
	- - -					0.044	
COMPARISONS - GE	NDER BY INST	TRUCTIONAL AC	TIVITY (Z=2	.5 FOR 4 TESTS	AT .05)		
DAILY							
M/F	-0 16		-0 07	-0.71	0.384	0 028	
WEEKLY							
M/F	1 036	0 594	0 264	0	-0.08	0 206	
				•	0.00	0 200	
LESS THAN WEEK		0.030					
M/F	1 141	0 678	0 018	- 0 07	-0.36	0 144	
NEVER							
M/F	0 344	-0.45	0 612	0 077	0.183	0.338	
					0.105	0.550	
COMPARISONS - TY DAILY PUB/NPUB	PE OF SCHOOL	L ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIO	NAL ACTIVITY (Z=2.4 FOR 3	B TESTS AT .05)
MEEN! M							
WEEKLY	0.43						
PUB/NPUB	-0.43	-1.16	-0 12	-0 74	-0.99	-0.64	
LT WEEKLY							
PUB/NPUB	0 666		-0 48	-0 66	-0.57	-0.21	
			· · · · · ·	•	,	0.61	
NEVER	0.120				_		
PUB/NPUB	0 130		0 010	1 245	0	0.197	

^{*} Stacistically significant difference

TABLE 9.1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 11 MINOW OFFEN DO YOU LISTEN TO A MATHEMATICS LESSON EXPLAINED?

	FUNDAK METHOD			DATA ORGANII INTERPI			MEASUR	EMENT		HUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS:	all s	MUMBER NIGHER APPLIC	LEVEL		MS: TOTAL SUBSCA		}
	AVG X	SE	N	AVG %	\$E	N	AVG '	SE	N	AVG %		N	AVG %		N	AVG %	SE	N
HOW OFTEN LISTEN TO			EXPLA															
DAILY	56	1.9	842	81	1.4	1175	57	1.6	1175	82	1.5	1175	68	1.5	1175	72	1.7	1175
WEEKLY	61	3.8	214	78	2.9	275	53	3.5	275	78	3.1	275	65	3.3	275	69	3.6	275
LESS THAN WEEKLY	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	-	•	N<30	-	•	N<30
WEVER	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
NOT REPORTED	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	57	1.6	1094	79	1.2	1505	55	1.5	1505	80	1.3	1505	66	1.4	1505	71	1.5	1505
LISTEN TO MATH LESS	ON EXPL	AINED	BY RAC	E/ETHN	CITY	OF EX	MINEE	•										
WHITE	59	2.2	589	84	1.6	822	61	2.0	822	84	1.7	822	72	1.8	822	75	2.0	822
BLACK	43	4.9	130	70	4.0	179	35	4.2	179	73	4.4	179	49	4.4	179	59	4.9	179
NISPANIC	44	5.6	91	68	5.1	125	42	5.4	125	74	5.1	125	50	5.1	125	61	5.7	125
WEEKLY	• • •			~			76	7.4	123	,,	2.1	123	,,,	3.1	123	0.	2.1	127
WHITE	64	4.3	158	80	3.3	205	56	4.1	205	81	3.5	205	68	3.7	205	71	4.1	205
BLACK	•	7.5	H 430	65	9.4	33	38	10.1	33	69	10.1	33	53	10.5	33	58	11.1	33
NISPANIC			N-30	٠.	7.7	N<30	~	,0.1	N<30	٠,	10.1	N<30	,,	10.5	N<20	70	'':'	N<30
LESS THAN WEEKLY						- 		-		_	-	M-20	_	•	M-CO	-	-	M-20
WITE			N<30			M<30	_	_	N<30			M<30			N<30	_		N<30
BLÄČK			N<30			N<30	-		N<30	_		N<30		-	N<30			N<30
HISPANIC			N<30			N<30	-		N<30	_		N<30	•	-	N<30	-		N<30
NEVER			W -20			M-30	-	_	M/30	_	•	M < 30	•	_	M < 30	-	-	M/20
WHITE			N<30	_		N<30			N<30	_		N<30			u -76			
BLACK			N<30		·	N<30		:	N<30	•	•		•	•	N<30	•	:	N<30
HISPANIC			N<30			M<30		-	M<30		-	N<30 N<30	•	•	N<30 N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30
11.57 7.00.0			M 130			M 130			M 130		-	M/30	-	•	H-20	-	-	M 130
LISTEN TO MATH LESS	ON EXPL	AINED	BY GEN	IDER OF	EXAMI	NEE												
DAILY																		
MALE	58	2.7	407	82	1.9	563	63	2.3	563	82	2.1	563	70	2.2	563	75	2.4	563
FEMALE	55	2.7	435	79	2.0	612	51	2.3	612	81	2.0	612	66	2.2	612	70	2.4	612
WEEKLY																		
MALE	62	5.2	108	75	4.0	144	55	4.7	144	77	4.3	144	67	4.3	144	69	4.8	144
FEMALE	60	5.7	106	80	4.1	131	51	5.2	131	80	4.4	131	63	5.1	131	69	5.3	131
LESS THAN WEEKLY										•	•••					•		
MALE	-	-	N<30	•	•	N<30		-	N<30	-	-	N<30		•	M<30		•	N<30
FEMALE	•	•	N<30	-	-	N<30		•	N<30	-	-	N<30		•	N<30		-	N<30
MEVER																		
MALE	-	-	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30		-	N<30		-	N<30		-	N<30
FEMALE		•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
LISTEN TO MATH LESSO	X EXPL	NED .	8Y 1YF	E OF S	CHOOL	EXAMI	EE ATT	ENDS *	,									
PUBLIC	56	2.0	767	81	1.4	1075	56	1.7	1075	81	1.5	1075	67	1.6	1075	72	1.8	1075
WONPUBLIC	61	5.0	75	84	4.6	100	62	5.5	1075	85	4.8	1075	73	5.4	1075	76	5.6	1075
ÆEKLY	٠.	0.0	.,	•	7.0	100	UE.	ر. ر	100	6,	4.0	100	13	7.4	100	70	7.0	100
PUBLIC	61	4.1	18.	78	3.0	240	53	3.7	240	78	3.3	240	64	3.6	240	69	3.8	240
MONPUBLIC	62	10.0	33	74	9.3	35	56	10.1	35	82	8.5	35	71	9.1	35	72	10.0	35
LESS THAN WEEKLY											,	-	• •				• •	
PUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30		•	N<30	-	•	N<30		•	N<30			N<30
MONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	-	N<30		-	N<30		-	N<30
WEVER									0						50			A .50
PUBLIC	-	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•		N<30		•	#<3 0	•		N<30		-	N<30
NONPUBLIC	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30			N<30			N<30			N<30			N<30
						0			~ ~~			# ~0		-				H -30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 NATHENATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 9.1a: HOW OFTEN 00 YOU LISTEN TO A MATH LESSON EXPLAINED – GRADE 11 Z TESTS FOR THE 01FF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNOMNTL METHOOS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH OROR SKILLS	TOT
LISTEN TO MATH LE OAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	(NONE OF TH	ESE TESTS SH	OWEO SIGNIFIC ATEGORY CONT	CANT DIFFERE AIN LESS THA	NCES, BUT SI N 30 SUBJECT	NCE THE S,
COMPARISONS - RAC	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCTI	ONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.5 FOR	4 TESTS AT	. 05)
OAILY WH/BL WH/HISF BL/HISP WEEKLY WH/BL	3.027 * 2.461 * -0.20	3.077 * 2.926 * 0.339	5.502 * 3.404 * -0.89	2.350 1.845 -0.16	4.935 * 4.029 * -0.25	3.154 2.442 -0.22 1.150
WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKL' WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP	Y					
COMPARISONS - GEN	DER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.24	FOR 2 TESTS	S AT .05)	
H/F	0 745	1.133	3.712 *	0.412	1.293	1.277
WEEKLY M/F	0.221	-0.84	0.625	-0.55	0.703	-0.04
LESS THAN WEEKLY M/F	1					
NEVER M/F						
COMPARISONS - TYPE	OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONAL	. ACTIVITY (2	Z=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-0.85	-0.71	-0 98	-0.65	-1.00	-0.69
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB	-0.14	0 398	-ಬ.30	-0.44	-0.71	-0.27
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 9.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 7 HOW OFTEN DO YOU LISTEN TO A MATHEMATICS LESSON EXPLAINED?**

	FUNDAM METHOD			DATA ORGANI INTERP			MEASUR	EMENT		NUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS:	ILLS	NUMBER: HIGHER APPLIC	LEVEL	ERATIO	NS: TOTAL SUBSCA		
	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	Ħ	AVG X	SE	N	AVG %	\$E	N	AVG %	SE	N
HOW OFTEN LISTEN TO	MATH L	ESSON	EXPLAI	NED														
DAILY	43	1.4	1906	60	1.7	957	56	1.4	1906	56	1.1	1902	47	1.5	1906	51	1.5	1906
WEEKLY	43	3.3	320	54	4.5	153	50	3.5	320	50	2.8	320	44	3.5	320	47	3.6	320
LESS THAN WEEKLY	37	9.4	33	45	14.9	14	47	10.6	33	52	8.6	23	40	10.7	33	44	10.9	33
NEVER	28	8.5	42	34	11.7	18	40	8.8	43	30	7.4	42	39	9.3	43	38	9.2	43
NOT REPORTED	•	•	W<30	•	-	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	#< 3 0	•	-	N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	43	1.2	2325	58	1.6	1149	54	1,3	2326	55	1.0	2321	46	1.3	23 26	50	1.3	2326
LISTEN TO MATH LESS	ON EXPL	AINED	BY RAC	E/ETHN	ICITY	OF EX	AM I NEE	•										
WHITE	48	1.8	1109	64	2.2	561	60	1.9	1109	60	1.5	1107	51	1.9	1109	55	2.0	1109
BLACK	27	2.7	427	47	3.8	202	41	3.0	427	43	2.4	427	34	3.0	427	37	3.1	427
HISPANIC	32	3.3	297	53	4.2	155	45	3.6	297	47	3.0	295	37	3.6	297	41	3.7	297
MEEKLY	J L	3.3		-	7.5		٠,	3.0		٠,	3.0	2,,	٠.	3.0	.,,	٠.	•	
WHITE	49	4.5	180	59	5.9	91	54	4.6	180	56	3.6	180	48	4.7	180	51	4.8	180
BLACK	27	7.6	53	-	7.7	N<30	36	8.7	53	37	7.3	53	33	8.2	53	35	8.7	53
HISPANIC	28	6.4	70		-	N<30		7.4	70	31	5.3	70	36	7.4	70	36	7.5	70
LESS THAN WEEKLY	20	0.4	,,,	•	-	H-30	•	7.4	70	31	7.3	70	30	7.4	70		1.3	
WHITE	38	12.6	17	39	20.6	6	50	14.9	17	53	12.0	17	41	15.1	17	46	15.4	17
	30	12.0	N:30	34	20.0	M<30		14.9	N<30	23	12.0	• • •		15.1		*0	13.4	M<30
BLACK	•	•		•	•		•			•	•	N<30	•	•	N<20	:	:	
HISPANIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N -30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	-	M<30
NEVER			27	70		••		44.0	~								42.7	21
WHITE	3 3	12.0	23	32	14.4	10	49	11.9	24	31	9.0	23	45	12.5	24	44	12.3	24
BLACK	•	•	N<30	:	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	-	N<30	•	-	NGC	-	•	N<30
HISPANIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
LISTEN TO MATH LESS	OM EXPL	AINED	BY GEN	DER OF	EXAMI	NEE												
MALE	42	1.9	947	59	2.4	457	55	2.0	947	55	1.6	946	46	2.0	947	50	2.1	947
FEMALE	45	1.9	959	61	2.4	500		2.0	959	57	1.6	956	47	2.1	959	52	2.1	959
MEEKLY	•,	1.7	7,77	01	6.4	300	,,,	2.0	7,77	21	1.0	730	•1	2.,	727	72	2.1	727
MALE	40	4.3	171	54	5.9	84	49	4.7	171	51	3.9	171	42	, ,	171	46	4.8	171
FEMALE	46	4.9	149	55	6.9	69		5.1	149	49		149	47	4.7		49	5.3	149
	40	4.7	147	23	0.9	07	72	2.1	147	49	3.9	149	47	5.1	149	49	5.3	147
LESS THAN WEEKLY			N<30			N<30			m<30		_					_	_	70
	•	Ċ			•			•		•	•	N<30	:	-	N<30	:	:	N<30
FE., LE	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	M<30
NEVER							.,	40 5	70									70
MALE	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30		10.5	30	-	-	N<30	42	11.4	30	41	11.1	30
FEMALE	•	-	N<30	-	•	N<36	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	-	N<30
LISTEN TO MATH LESS	ON EXPL	AINED	BY TYP	E OF S	CHOOL	EXAMI	NEE ATT	ENDS 4	•									
PUBLIC	43	1.4	1756	59	1.7	880	55	1.5	1756	56	1.2	1752	47	<u>5</u>	1756	51	1.5	1756
NONPUBLIC	45	5.1	149	66	6.2	76		5.1	149	62	4.1	149	50	5.7	149	55	5.4	149
WEEKLY	**										•••		-	•	.47			
PULIC	42	3.4	301	53	4.6	144	49	3.6	301	50	2.9	301	43	3.6	301	46	3.7	301
NONPUBLIC	-	J. 7	N<30		7.0	N<30	- 7	0	N<30		2.7	N<30	•	3.0	N<30	•	3.7	N<30
LESS THAN WEEKLY	-	-	M 420	-	-	# ~ 30	-	-	M 130	-	-	M /30	-	-	M 430	•	-	# /3U
PUBLIC	36	9.3	32	40	15.1	13	46	10.7	32	49	8.7	32	38	10.8	32	43	11.0	32
	- 30	7.3	N<30	•	12.1	N<30		10.7	N<30	97	0.7		30	10.6		•3	11.0	
NONPUBLIC	•	•	M-20	•	-	M - 20	•	•	M - 30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30
NEVER	20		39	•		16	35		39	-7			-	40.			40.0	,
PUBLIC	28	8.7	• •	34	11.9			9.7		27	7.7	39	35	10.2	39	33	10.0	39
WONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS . 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 9.2a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU LISTEN TO A MATH LESSON EXPLAINED - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNDMNTL METHODS	ORGN1Z& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
LISTEN TO MATH LE DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	SSON EXPLAIN 1.740 1.556 0 656	ED COMPARISO 2 149 1.559 0.573	NS (Z=2.4 FO 1.708 1.016 0.471	R 3 TESTS AT 3.520 * 2.597 * 1.969	.05) 0.803 0.491 0.056	1.359 0.900 0.435
COMPARISONS - RAC	E/ETHNICITY I	BY INSTRUCTI	ONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2 64 F0	R 6 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	6.620 * 4 443 * -1.05	3.659 * 2.192 -1.04	5 572 * 3.824 * -0.90	6.023 * 3 896 * -0.98	4.633 * 3.267 * -0.64	5.147 3.365 -0.94
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKL	2.485 2.680 * -0.11 Y		1.567 1.527 -0.18	2.365 3.777 * 0.565	1.609 1.382 -0 28	1.603 1.713 -0.05
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP						
COMPARISONS - GEN	DER BY INSTRE	UCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2 2	4 FOR 2 TEST	S AT .05)	
M/F	-1 28	-0.44	-0.38	-1.04	-0.31	-0.64
WEEKLY M/F	-0 85	-0.08	-0.46	0.346	-0.67	-0.47
LESS THAN WEEKL	Y					
NEVER M/F						
COMPARISONS - TYPE	E OF SCHOOL A	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONAL	L ACTIVITY (Z=1.96 FOR 1	TEST AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-0 34	-1.03	-0 94	-1.53	-0.65	-0.73
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABL® 9.3: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NASP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES: GRADE 3 "HOW OFTEN DO YOU LISTEN TO A MATHEMATICS LESSON EXPLAINED?"

	FUNDAI ME THO	MENTAL DS		DATA ORGANI INTERP			MEASU	REMENT		NUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	IONS:	Kitts	MUMBER MIGHER APPLIC	LEVE	-		ACROS	s
	AVG S			AVG X	SE	2	AVG	X SE	N	AVG X			AVG 3			AVG		N
NOW OFTEN LISTEN TO				INED							•	-	A10 A		-	AVG	~ 3E	
DAILY	28			56	1.8	874	34	1.4	1792	34	1.0	1792	44	1.4	1792	37	1.5	1792
WEEKLY	32	3.1	359	58	3.6	190	37	3.3	359	34	2.4	359		3.1	359	37 39		
LESS THAN WEEKLY	23	9.0	36		•	N<30	30	10.1	36	\widetilde{z}	7.3	36		9.8			3.4	359
NEVER	22	5.4	88	36	7.2	52	30	5.9		30	4.7				36	31	10.3	36
NOT REPORTED	9		69	26	7.8	33	9	4.4	69			88	34	5.4	88	30	6.1	88
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	28	1.1	2344	55	1.5		34	1.3		14 33	4.4 0.9	69 2344	14 43	4.3	69 2344	12 36	4.8 1.3	69 2344
LISTEN TO MATH LESS!	ON EXP	LAINED	BY RAI	CE/ETHN	ICITY	OF EX	MINEE	•					_					2014
WHITE	30		4443															
		1.7		61	2.3	554	37	1.9	1112	36	1.3	1712	47	1.8	1112	40	1.9	•
BLACK	19	2.6	360	40	4.0	178	27	3.0	360	26	2.3	360	37	3.1	360	29	3.2	
HISPANIC	22	3.3	256	43	4.9	116	28	3.6	256	29	2.7	256	37	3.7	25(-	30	3.8	256
MEEKLY													•	.,.,		30	3.0	2,00
WHITE	35	3.9	236	62	4.4	129	40	4.2	236	37	3.0	236	49	3.9	236	42		236
BLACK	19	7.4	47		•	N<30	23	8.0	47	15	4.9	47	40	8.7	47		4.2	
HISPANIC	22	6.5	61	36	8.5	1.	29	7.5	61	35	5.9	61	39			26	8.6	47
LESS THAN WEEKLY			-		•••	•	.,	1.5	01	3,	3.7	01	24	7.3	61	32	7.8	61
WHITE			N<30			M<30												
BLACK			N<30	_	_	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30
HISPANIC			N<30		-		•	•	N<30	-	-	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30
NEVER		-	W-20	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	-	N<30
WHITE	29		••															
BLACK	24	9.3	38	•	•	N<30	41	9.5	38	39	6.4	38	45	٠.	38	39	9.8	38
		•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	-	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	-:		N<30
HI SPANIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	M<30	•		N<30	•		N<30			N<30
																		M -20
LISTEN TO MATH LESSO	M EXPL	AINED	BY GEN	DER OF	EXAM	NEE												
DAILY																		
MALE	29	1.9	831	57	2.6	421	35	2.1	881	33	1.5	881	44	2.0				
FEMALE	26	1.8	911	55	2.6	453	34	2.0	911	34	1.5		74	2.0	881	37	2.1	881
WEEKLY						475	<i></i>	2.0	711	34	1.5	911	44	1.9	911	36	2.1	911
MALE	32	4.0	212	57	4.5	116	38	4.3	242	•/*								
FEMALE	31	4.7	147	59	5.8	74	36		212	36	3.0	212	47	4.1	212	40	4.4	212
LESS THAN WEEKLY	•	4	177	7,	٥.٥	14	30	5.1	147	32	3.9	147	46	4.8	147	39	5.2	147
MALE		_	N<30															
FEMALE			N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<3:)	•	-	N<30	•	-	N<30	-	•	N<30
NEVER	-	•	M<20	•	•	N<30	•	•	พ<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	-		N<30
MALE	24																	
FEMALE	26 19	7.8 7.7	45 42	:	:	N<30 N<30	28 32	8.1 8.5	46 42	32 29	6.4	46 42	32 35	7.7 7.7	13	30 29	8.5 8.8	46 42
LISTEN TO MATH LESSO	N FYDI	A L'IFD	R' TYD	E 05 cc		F) 1111	 		7-	•	0.,	46	3)		42	27	0.0	42
DAILY		A1.1CD	J. 11P	c or sc	RUUL	C. MIN	EE AII	FWD2 -										
PUBL1C	28		1/20					_										
KUNPUBLIC	26	1.3	1629	56	1.9	788	34	1.5	1629	33	1.1	1629	44	1.5	1629	37	1.5	1629
	20	4.3	160	61	5.6	85	36	4.9	160	38	3.6	160	48	4.7	160	39	5.1	160
WEEKLY	_													•••		•		100
PUBLIC	31	3.2	325	59	3.7	173	37	3.3	325	36	2.5	325	46	3.3	325	39	3.5	325
NONPUBLIC	37	10.2	34	•	•	N<30	39	10.5	34	23	7.2	34	51	9.6	34	41	10.8	34
LESS THAN WEEKLY							-,					54) (7.0	34	•1	10.6	34
PERLIC	24	9.6	33			N<30	30	10.5	33	24	7 4	77				36	40.6	
NONPUBLIC		•	N<30		_	N<30	30	10.3		24	7.6	33	42	10.5	33	32	10.9	33
NEVER			4.20	-	-	M - 20	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	-	-	N<30
PUBLIC	21	5.5	84	74		FA	-		٠.									
NGWPUBLIC	• •	ر . ر	N<30	36	7.3	50	29	6.0	84	29	4.9	84	32	5.6	84	29	6.2	84
HOM! ODE! C	-	•	4420	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	-	N<30	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussio.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 9.3a: HOW OFTEN DO YOU LISTEN To A MATH LESSON EXPLAINED - GRADE 3 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS

	FNOMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	тот
LISTEN TO MATH LE DAILY/NEVER WEEKLY/NEVER LT WEEKLY/NEVER	SSON EXPLAIN 0.982 1 584 0.037	ED COMPARISO 2.694 * 2.728 *	NS (Z=2.4 FO) 0.744 1.069 0.034	R 3 TESTS AT 0.772 0.799 -0.77	.05) 1.868 2.019 0.490	1.116 1.379 0 583
COMPARISONS - RAC	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCTI	ONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2 64 FO	R 6 TESTS AT	.05)
DAILY WH/C_ WH/HISP BL/HISP WEEKLY	3.564 * 2.203 -0 69	4.525 * 3 448 * -0.34	2.754 * 2.223 -0.14	3.634 * 2.101 -0.89	2.741 * 2.535 0.104	2.929 2.320 -0.20
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP LESS THAN WEEKI	1 944 1.707 -0 32 Y	2 766 *	1.902 1 259 -0.58	3.845 * 0.300 -2.61	0.878 1.133 0.087	1.677 1.209 -0.46
WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP NEVER WH/BL WH/HISP BL/HISP						
CCMPARISONS - GEN	DER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.4	FOR 3 TESTS	AT .05)	
H/F	1 318	0.466	0 484	-0.38	-0.10	0.270
WEEKLY M/F	0 161	-0 21	0.208	0 690	0.094	0.161
LESS THAN WEEKL M/F	Υ.					
NEVER M/F	0 567		-0 35	0.385	-0 28	0.081
COMPARISONS - TYP	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
DAILY PUB/NPUB	0 462	-0 83	-0.32	-1 30	-0 83	-0 45
WEEKLY PUB/NPUB	-0 55		-0.20	1.658	-0 43	-0.12
LT WEEKLY PUB/NPUB						
NEVER PUB/NPU6						

^{*} Statistically significant difference

TABLE 10.1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 11

"010	YOU EVER	STUO	MATH	THROUG	H COMP	UTER	INSTRUC	TION?	JUNEE	J. WICH	OC 11							
				OATA						NUMBER:			NUMBER:	S & OF	ERATIO	INS:		
	FUNDAME				ZATION					OPERAT			HIGHER			TOTAL		ذ
	METHOOS				RETATI		MEASURI			KNOWLE			APPLIC			SUBSCA		
ATA HALL EVEN CYLLLY	AVG X	\$E	SOMBIT.	AV6 X		N N	AVG X	ŝΕ	×	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N
OID YOU EVER STULY YES	66	2.5	430	EK 1NS 77					430									
NO	64	1.5	1341	79	2.0	430 1339	47 46	2. 6 1.5	430 1341	66 65	2.7 1.6	430	66 63	2.6	430	64	2.9	430
NOT REPORTED	45	8.0	36	50	7.1	36	30	8.6	36	45	9.1	1341 36		1.5 9.1	1341 36	62 42	1.7 9.7	1341 36
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCAL		1.3	1807	78	1.0	1805	46	1.3	1807	65	1.3	1807	63	1.3	1807	62	1.4	1807
					• • • •					•	4.5	100,		4.5	1007	UL.	• • •	1007
STUDY MATH THROUGH YES	COMPUTER	INS'	TRUCT10	N 8Y R	ACE/ET	HN1C1	TY OF E	CAMINE	Ε *									
WHITE	71	2.9	288	80	2.4	288	53	3.2	289	71	3.2	288	72	3.1	288	69	3.4	288
BLACK	54	6.7	82	66	5.1	82	23	5.8	82	52	6.9	82		6.7	82	48	7.2	82
HISPANIC No	44	8.7	41	70	6.4	41	24	7.5	41	46	9.7	41	45	9.6	41	44	10.1	41
WHITE	67	1.7	961	82	1.3	95 9	50	1.8	961	68	1.8	961	66	1.8	961	66	1.9	961
BLACK	52	3.8	206	6 9	3.4	206	25	3.6	206	53	4.3	206	44	4.2	206	47	4.5	206
H1SPAN1C	50	5.0	131	65	4.2	131	31	4.5	131	50	5.2	131	50	5.1	131	49	5.5	131
STUDY MATH THROUGH	COMPUTER	INST	RUCT 10	N BY S	Ex OF	EXAHII	NEE *											
KALE	67	3 2	249	77	2.5	249	48	3.4	249	65	3.6	249	66	3.4	249	64	3.7	249
FEMALE	65	4.1	181	77	3.4	181	45	3.9	181	68	4.2	181	66	4.1	181	64	4.5	181
NO														•		•	***	
MALE	65	2 1	657	78	1.7	656	48	2.1	657	65	2.2	657	66	2.1	657	64	2.3	657
FEMALE	6 3	2.1	684	80	1.6	68 3	43	2.1	684	66	2.2	684	59	2.2	684	61	2.4	684
STUDY MATH THROUGH	COMPUTER	INST	RUCTIO	N BY T	YPE OF	SCHOO	DL EXAMI	NEE A	TTENOS	s *								
PUBLIC	65	2.7	379	75	2.2	379	47	2.7	3 79	65	2.9	379	65	2.8	3 79	63	3.0	379
MONPUBLIC	72	6 8	51	86	5.2	51	46	7.7	51	73	7.8	51	70	7.4	51	69	8.4	51
NO .						••			٠.			٠.	. •		J.	03	V.4	31
PUBLIC	63	16	1218	79	1.2	1216	44	1.6	1218	64	1.7	1218	62	1.6	1218	61	1.8	1218
NONPUBLIC	74	4 4	123	79	4.1	123	60	5.1	123	73	4.9	123	69	4.6	123	70	5.3	123

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE. NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 10.1A - GRADE 11 2 TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

STUDY MATH THROUGH COMPUTER INSTRUCTION - COMPARISONS	0T 422
COMPARISONS - RACE, ETHNICITY BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITY (Z=2.64 FOR 6 ESTS AT .09	5)
	667
	398
	347
NO	
WH/BL 3.467 * 3.457 * 6.115 * 3.313 * 5.022 * 3.3	795
	943
	.22
COMPARISONS - GENDER BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2 TESTS AT .05)	
YES	
M/F 0.290 0.023 0.697 -0.45 0.093 -0	.01
NO	
M/F 0.849 -0.81 1.960 -0.22 2.177 0.	720
2.75	.CT.C AT .OE.\
COMPARISONS - TYPE OF SCHOOL ATTEMDED BY INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2 TE	315 AI .US)
YES PUB/NPUB -0.91 -1.88 0.036 -0.87 -0.60 -0	.63
NO	
	.60

^{*} Statistically significant difference.



TABLE 10 2- AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 7 "DIO YOU EVER STUDY MATH THROUGH COMPUTER INSTRUCTION?"

D10 100	SAFK	21001			H LOMP	UIEK .	THZIKOC	1 TON?										
E	JNDAHE	NTAL		OATA	747104					NUMBERS			NUMBER					
	THODS			ORGANI. INTERP			MEASUR	CMENT		OPERATI		7110	HIGHER			TOTAL A		
	NG X	SĒ	N	AVG X		N N	AVG %		N	KNOWLEE AVG X	BE/SK	, ILLS	APPLIC AVG X		N	SUBSCAL		
DIO YOU EVER STUDY HAT							A40 %	35	-	AVU A	36	п	AAG Y	. SE		AVG X	SE	N
YES	5.3	2.2	814	70	1.9	814	53	2.2	814	66	2.1	814	42	2 1	014	58	2.3	014
NO	54	1.7	1346	69	1.5	1346	50	1.7	1346		1.7	1346	39	2.1	814 1346	56	1.8	814 1346
NOT REPORTED	41	6 4	87	56	5.9	87	44	6.6	87	56	6.3	87	37	6.2	87	48	6.8	87
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	53	1.3	2247	69	1.1	2247	51	1.3	2247	65	1.3	2247	40	1.3	2247	56	1.4	2247
STUDY MATH THROUGH CON	(PUTER	INST	RUCT 10	N BY R	ACE/ET	HNICI	TY OF E	XAMINE	E *									
WHITE	55	29	462	75	2.4	462	58	2.9	462	69	2.8	462	46	2 0	452	61	2.0	400
BLACK	47	4.7	186	59	4.4	186	38	4.6	186		4.7	186	29	2.8 4.1	462 186	61 47	3.0 4.9	462 186
HISPANIC	47	5 5	126	60	5.0	126	44	5.6	126		5.5	126	34	5.1	126	51	5.8	126
NO				•••	5.0	110	77	3.0	120	00	3.3	120	34	3.1	120	51	3.9	120
WHITE	57	2.2	304	73	1.9	804	55	2.2	804	68	2.1	804	43	2.1	804	59	2.3	804
BLACK	46	3.9	262	58	3.7	262	35	3 8	262	56	4.0	262	27	3.5	262	44	4.1	262
HISPANIC	43	4.1	233	58	3.9	233	40	4.1	233	55	4.3	233	28	3.7	233	46	4.3	233
STUDY MATH THROUGH CON	IPUTER	INST	RUCTIO	N BY S	EX OF	EXAHII	NEE *											
NALE	51	3 0	436	69	2.5	436	52	3.0	436	64	2.9	436	43	2.9	436	56	3.1	436
FEMALE	56	3.3	378	72	2.8	378	54	3.2	378	69	3.1	378	41	3.1	378	59	3.3	378
NO								• • •		••	٠	0,0	7.	3.1	370	33	3.3	3/0
MALE	54	2.5	631	71	2.1	631	54	2.5	631	65	2.4	631	40	2.3	631	57	2.6	631
FEMALE	53	2 4	715	68	2.1	715	47	2.4	715	65	2.3	715	37	2.2	715	54	2.5	715
STUDY MATH THROUGH CON	IPUTER	INST	RUCTIO	N BY T	10 34Y	SCHOO	OL EXAM	INEE A	TTENO	s *								
PUBLIC	53	2.3	758	70	2.0	758	52	2.3	758	66	2.2	758	41	2.2	758	57	2.4	750
NONPUBLIC	53	8.7	55	78	6.8	55	54	7.8	55	73	7.7	55	53	8.3	55	65	8 4	758 55
H		_ • •	•						- 55	,,	. ,	33	33	0.5	33	03	0 4	22
ru IC	53	18	1235	68	16	1235	50	1.8	1235	64	1.8	1235	38	1.7	1235	55	1.9	1235
NONPUBLIC	57	6 1	110	77	5.1	110	56	6 1	110	72	5.6	110	45	5.7	110	62	6.2	110
										• •			7.0	.,	-10	OL.	U. L	110

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT



TABLE 10.2A - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 HEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

STUDY MATH THROU	FNOMNTL METHODS JGH COMPUTER	ORGNIZA INTERP IPSTRUCTION -	MEASURE- MENT - COMPARISONS	NUMBERS& OPRATIS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	τοτ
YES/NO	-0.21	0.543	1.047	0 7	1.282	0.661
COMPARISONS - RA	CE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	IONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.64 F	OR 4 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL	1.579	3.149 *	3.727 *	2.059	3.227 *	2.502
WH/HISP	1.265	2.789 *	2.242	0.869	1.926	1.485
BL/HISP	-0.11	-0.04	-0.84	-0.7 9	-0.74	-0.60
NO						
WH/BL	2.508	3.672 *	4.677 *	2.626	3.757 *	3.197
WH/HISP	3.033 *	3.468 *	3.223 *	2.600	3.417 *	2.820
BL/HI SP	0.517	-0.07	-1.00	0.068	~0.13	-0.20
COMPARISONS - GE	ENDER BY INST	RUCTIONAL ACT	TIVITY (Z=2.2	4 FOR 2 TES	rs at .05)	
M/F	-1.10	-0.79	-0.41	-1.17	0.403	-0.68
NO						
H/F	0.405	0.941	1.790	0.148	0.833	0.703
COMPANISONS - T	/DE DE CC11001	ATTENDED BY	I PETO ETTOMA	ACTIVITY	(3-2 2 4 50 0 2	
COMPARISONS - TY	THE UP SCHOOL	ATTEMBED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACITATIT	(2=2.24 FUK 2	(16313 AT .03)
PUB/NPUB	0.011	-1.21	-1.38	-0.96	-1.40	-0.93
NO						
PUB/NPUB	-0.61	-1.56	-1.04	-1.39	-1.21	-1.15
. 5-7 55	5.51				****	****

^{*} Statistically significant difference



TABLE 11 1: AVERAGE PERCENT RECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 11 "DIO YOU EVER US. COMPUTER TO SOLVE A MATH PROBLEM?"

D10 Y0	U EVER	USc	COMPL) ZOFA	E A MA	TH PROB	LEM?"										
		a .		OATA						NUMBERS			NUMBERS		ERAT I			
	FUNDAN				IZAT 10					OPERAT I			HIGHER				ACROSS	
	METHOO				PRETAT		MEASUR			KNOWLED			APPLICA			SUBSCA		
010 4011 51150 1105 4 6	AVG %	SE	N	AVG 2		N	AV6 %	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N
OLO YOU EVER USE A C					PROBL													
YES	67	1.7	945	79	1.4	944	50	1.8	945	69	1.8	945	67	1.8	945	66	1.9	945
NO DECORATED	62	1.9	838	76	1.5		41	1.9	838	61	2.0	838	59	2.0	838	59	2.2	838
NOT REPORTED	-		N<30			N<30	-	-	N<30	-		N<30		-	N<30	-		N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	64	1.3	1807	78	1.0	1805	46	1.3	1807	65	1.3	1807	63	1.3	1807	62	1.4	1807
USE A COMPUTER TO SO	LVE A	MATH F	PROBLEM	BY R	ACE/ET	HNICIT	OF FX	AMINEF										
YES								,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,										
WHITE	70	2.0	655	83	1.6	654	55	2.2	655	72	2.1	655	71	2.1	655	70	2.3	655
BLACK	55	4.4	170	68	3.6		27	4.0	170	54	4.7	170	47	4.6	170	49	4.9	170
HISPANIC	56	6.4	78	74	5.0	78	36	6.0	78	58	6.6	78	53	6.7	78	54	7.0	78
NO					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•		. •	•••			-	•.,		•	,	,,
WHITE	65	2.2	600	80	1.7	599	45	2.3	600	65	2.4	600	63	2.3	600	63	2.5	600
BLACK	51	5.1	123	69	4.5	123	21	4.7	123	51	5.7	123	43	5.4	123	46	5.9	123
HISPANIC	42	5.8	95	59	5.0	95	23	5.0	95	41	6.2	95	43	6.0	95	41	6.5	95
A COMPUTED TO SO																		
USE A COMPUTER TO SO	LVE A	MAIH P	ROBLEM	BY SE	X OF	EXAMINI	E "											
YES																		
MALE	68	2.2	535	79	1.8	535	52	2.3	535	69	2.4	535	70	2.3	535	67	2.5	535
FEMALE	65	2.7	410	80	2.1	409	46	2.7	410	69	2.8	410	63	2.7	410	64	3.0	410
NO																		
MALE	62	2.8	381	76	2.2	380	43	2.8	381	59	3.0	381	60	2.9	381	58	3.2	381
FEMALE	61	2.6	457	77	2.1	457	39	2.6	457	63	2.7	457	58	2.7	457	59	2.9	457
USE A COMPUTER TO SO	I VF A I	MATH P	PORI FM	RY TY	DE DE	SCHOOL	EVAMI	MEE AT	TEMOS									
YES			KOULLI	01 11	, L 01	SCHOOL	. CAAPIII	HEE AI	I ENUS									
PUBLIC	66	1.8	843	79	1.4	842	49	1.9	843	68	19	843	66	1.9	942		2.0	040
NONPUBLIC	73	4.9	102	84	4.0	102	57	5.4	102	77	5.3	102	75	5.1	843	65 73	2.0	843
NO		5	102	7	4.0	102	٠,	3.4	102	"	J.J	102	/3	5.1	102	/3	5.7	102
PUBLIC	60	2 0	765	76	1.6	764	39	2.0	7 6 5	60	2.1	765	58	2.1	765	58	2.3	765
NONPUBLIC	74	5.8	73	78	5.4	73	56	6.7	73	68	6.6	73	62	6.0	73	50 6 6	7.1	765 73
	• •				. ·	, 5	30	0.7	,,	00	0.0	73	02	0.0	13	90	7.1	13

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 11.1A - GRADE 11 2 TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

USE A COMPUTER TO				NUMBERS& OPRATIS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	YOT
YES/NO	2.126 *	1.577	3.400 *	2.875 *	3.120 *	2.531
COMPARISONS - RAC	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCTI	ONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.64 FC	R 6 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL	3.189 *	3.783 *	6.209 *	3.544 *	4.808 *	3.833
WH/HISP	2.169	1.616	2.911 *	2.079	2.558	2.086
BL/HISP	-0.09	-1.04	-1.36	-0.48	-0.77	-0.62
NO *						
WH/BL	2.618	2.215	4.581 *	2.193	3.462 *	2.625
WH/HISP	3.738 *	3.922 *	4.097 *	3.547 *	3.033 *	3.054
BL/HISP	1.127	1.497	-0.17	1.198	-0.08	0.509
COMPARISONS - GEN	DER BY INSTR	RUCTIONAL ACT	TIVITY (Z=2.2	4 FOR 2 TEST	S AT .05)	
M/F	0.833	-0.39	1.612	0.246	1.764	0.820
NO						
K/F	0 318	-0.29	0.875	-1.08	0.689	-0.13
COMPARISONS - TYP	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
YES					•	
PUB/NPUB	-1.21	-1.10	-1.34	-1.53	-1.60	-1.30
NO						
PUB/NPUB	-2.21	-0.33	-2.38 *	-1.09	-0.64	-1.18

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 11.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 7

"OID YOU EN	/ER	USE			SOLVE	A HA	TH PROBL	EH?"										
				OATA						NUMBERS			NUMBERS	& OP	ERATIO	ONS:		
FUNC	DAME	NTAL		ORGANI	ZATION	&				OPERATI	ONS:		HIGHER	LEVEL		TOTAL A	CROSS	,
METH	100S	,		INTERF	RETATI	ON	HEASURE	MENT		KNOWLED	GE/SK	21115	APPLICA			SUBSCAL	ES	
AVO	3 X	SE		AVG 2		N	AVG %	SÉ	N	AVG X	SE	Ň	AVG %	SE	N	AVG %	SE	N
DID YOU EVER USE A COMPL			SOLVË A				N10 #	32	"		-	.,	NIO #	JL	"		•-	••
	54	1.7	1388	72	1.4		53	1.7	1388	67	1 6	1200	42		1200	58	1.7	1388
	52	2.3		67		1388					1.6	1388		1.6	1388	54		779
	36			45	2.0	779	49	2.3	779	63	2.2	779	37	2.1	779		2.4	
		6.7	80		6.5	80	35	6.6	80	48	6.9	80		6.1	80	39	7.1	80
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	53	1.3	2247	69	1.1	2247	51	1.3	2247	65	1.3	2247	40	1.3	2247	56	1.4	2247
USE A COMPUTER TO SOLVE		ATU	00001 54	DV D4	CE /ETU	W1C171	V 05 541											
YES	•	WIR	PRUDLEM	אום ו	CE/EIN	MICII	UP EAA	MINEE	• "									
WHITE 5	57	2.2	851	76	1.7	851	58	2.1	851	70	2.0	851	46	2.1	851	€2	2.2	851
BLACK	17	3.8	276	59	3.6	276	37	3.8	276	57	3.9	276	29	3.4	276	46	4.0	276
HISPANIC	16	4.4	201	59	4.1	201	42	4.3	201	60	4.5	201	32	4 0	201	49	4.6	201
NO	_						-							, ,				
	56	3 1	425	70	2.6	425	54	3.1	425	66	3.0	425		2.9	425	57	3.2	425
	15	4.7	172	58	4.6	172	35	4.6	172	56	5.0	172	28	4.3	172	45	5.1	172
HISPANIC 4	13	5.0	152	58	4 7	152	41	5.1	152	57	5.3	152	28	4.6	152	46	5.4	152
USE A COMPUTER TO SOLVE	A 14	ATU	00001 EM		v ac -	~ 444 9 44												
YES	A F	AIB	PRUBLEM	שנים ו	Y OF F	XAMINI	LL -											
MALE 5	54	2.?	721	72	2.0	721	54	2.3	721	66	2.2	721	43	2.2	721	58	2.4	721
FEMALE 5	54	2.5	667	72	2.1	667	52	2 4	667	68	2.3	667	41	2.3	667	58	2.5	667
NO							-	•	•••	•••		007	7.	1.5	007	50		00,
	50	3.4	341	67	2.9	341	51 47	3.4	341	62	3.4	341	37	3.2	341	54	3.5	341
FEMALE 5	54	3 1	438	66	2.8	438	47	3.0	438	64	3.0	438	36	2.8	438	54	3.2	438
USE A COMPUTER TO SOLVE	A 14	ATH :	DONRI FM	0V TV	מנ מנ	CCUANI	EVANTA	CC AT	TENDS									
YES	^ 1	W110	rkobiln	Q 1 11	rt ur	3 CRUUI	. EXAMIN	EE AI	IERUS									
PUBLIC 5	54	18	1282	71	15	1282	53	1.7	1282	66	1.7	1282	41	1.7	1282	58	1.3	1282
NONPUBLIC 5	57	6.3	104	79	50	104	62	6.0	104	74	5.6	104	52	5.9	104	66	6.1	104
NO									'							• •	- · ·	407
PUBLIC 5	2	2 4	719	66	2.1	719	49	2.3	719	63	2.3	719	36	2.2	719	54	2.5	719
	2	8.3	60	72	7.2	6Ŏ	51	8.2	60	66	7.9	60	38	7.9	60	56	8 5	60
	_					•	31		•	30		00	30	,	00	30	5 5	30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS 1985-85 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 11.2A - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

	FNDHNTL METHODS	ORGNIZA INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATHS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	101
USE A COMPUTER TO	SOLVE A MAT	H PROBLEM -	COMPARISONS			• • •
YES/NO	0.741	2.113 *	1.531	1.334	2.177	1.470
COMPARISONS - RACI	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	IONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.64 FO	R 6 TESTS AT	.05)
VH/BL	2.301	4.380 *	4.834 *	3.025 *	4.280 *	3.506
WH/HISP	2.252	3.823 *	3.288 *	2.084	3.067 *	2.535
BL/HISP	0.154	-0.10	-0.87	-0.52	-0.62	-0.50
NO					V.UL	****
VH/BL	1.946	2.270	3.391 *	1.705	2.475	2.144
VH/HISP	2.142	2.177	2.087	1.545	2.343	1.784
SL/HISP	0.245	-0.03	-0.92	-0.06	0	-0.21
COMPARISONS - GENO YES	DER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL ACT	TIVITY (Z=2.2	4 FOR 2 TEST	S AT .05)	
M/F	0.029	0	0.748	-0.49	0.683	0.115
NO						
H/F	-0.85	0.174	0.791	-0.33	0.093	-0.02
COMPARISONS - TYPE	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (7±2.24 FNR 2	TECTS AT OS)
YES				- /		16313 AT . 03)
PU8/'." 18	-0.41	-1.42	-1.49	-1.42	-1.70	-1.28
NO						
PUB/NPUB	-0.02	-0.80	-0.30	-0.43	-0.21	-0.31
•			vv	V.73	-0.21	-0.31

^{*} Statistically significant difference.



TABLE 12.1. AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1925-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 11

"010 Y 0U	EVER	USL A	COMP	JTER TO	PLAY	a game	E?"											
				OATA						NUMBERS			NUM8ERS		ERATIO			
FU	MAGN	ENTAL		ORGAN12						OPERATI			HIGHER	LEVEL		TOTAL A		;
ME	THOO:	S		INTERP	RETATI	ON	MEASURE	MENT		KNOWLE	IGE/SK	ILLS	APPLICA	TIONS		SUBSCAL		
A	VG X	SE	N	AVG %	SE	N	AVG %	SE	N	AVG X	5E	N	AVG X	5E	N	AVG %	S.F.	N
010 YOU EVER USE A COM	PUTE	R TO P	LAY A	GAME?														
YES	65	1.3	1653	79	1.0	1651	47	1.4	1653	67	1.4	1653	65	1.4	1653	64	1.5	1653
NO	52	5.0	133	70	3.8	133	28	4.3	133	52	5.1	133	46	5.0	133	48	5.5	133
NOT REPORTED	-	-	N<30	-		N<30		-	N<30	-	_	N<30	-	-	N<30	•	-	N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	64	1.3	1807	78	1.0	1805	46	1.3	1807	65	1.3	1807	63	1.3	1807	62	1.4	1807
TOTAL WITH SOUSCALL	•	1.5	1007	, 0	1.0	1003	70	1.5	1007	03	1.3	1007	ω.	1.5	1007	UL.	•	1007
USE A COMPUTER TO PLAY	A G	AME BY	RACE	ETHN1C	ITY OF	EXAM	INEE *											
YES	69	1.5	1100	01		1107	En		1100	70		1189	68	1.6	1189	67	1.7	1189
WHITE	54	3.5	1189 264	81	1.2	1187	52	1.6	1189	70 54	1.6	264	47	3.7	264	49	4.0	264
8LACK				69	2.9	264	25	3.2	264								5.3	
HISPANIC	48	4.7	144	68	3.8	144	29	4.2	144	51	5.0	144	50	5.0	144	49	3.3	144
NO																		••
WHITE	56	6.9	71	79	4.8	71	à1	6.0	71	57	69	71	52	6.8	. 71	54	7.5	71
BLACK	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<3.	•	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30
HISPANIC	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30
USE A COMPUTER TO PLAY	A G	AME BY	SEX (of Exam	INEE *													
MALE	67	1.8	847	78	1.4	845	50	1.9	847	66	1.9	847	67	1.8	847	65	2.0	847
FEMALE	64	1.9	806	79	1.5	805	44	2.0	806	67	2.0	806	62	2.0	806	62	2.2	806
NO					• • •													
MALE	53	7.0	68	69	5.5	68	31	6.4	68	51	69	F.8	47	7.1	68	48	7.6	68
FEMALE	51	7.0	65	71	5.2	65	25	5.8	65	53	7.5	65	44	6.9	65	48	7.9	65
						•			• -			• • •						
USE A COMPUTER TO PLAY YES	A G	AME BY	TYPE	OF 5CH	OOL EX	AMINE	ATTENO	s *										
PU8L1C	65	1.4	1489	78	1.1	1487	46	1.4	1489	66	1.5	1489	64	1 4	1489	63	1.6	1489
NONPU8L1C	72	3.9	164	81	3.5	164	57	4.4	164	73	4.3	164	70	4.0	164	70	4.6	164
NO			304	••	•		•									, •	.,.	•••
PU8L1C	48	5 4	121	69	4.1	121	25	4.5	121	50	5.3	121	44	5.2	121	46	5.8	121
NONPUBLIC	_	-	N<30	-	-	N<30		7.0	N<30	-	-	N<30	-		N<30	-		N-30
																		,

^{*} Small sub-ategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 12.1A - GRADE 11 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 HEANS (Z*1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

USE A COMPUTER TO YES/NO	FNOMNTL METHODS PLAY A GAME 2.554 *	ORGNIZA INTERP - COMPARISO 2.294 *	MEASURE- MENT NS 4.242 *	NUMBERS& OPRATHS	HGH ORDR SKILLS 3.675 *	TOT 2.751
					3.0/3	2.731
COMPARISONS - RAC	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT:	OHAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.4 FOR	3 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL	3.820 *	3.975 ×	7.234 *	3.728 *	5.305 *	4.199
WH/HISP	≠.078 *	3.289 *	4.881 *	3.619 *	3.484 *	3.343
BL/HISP	0.985	0.145	-0.74	0.569	-0.51	0.045
NO			****	0.507	0.51	0.043
WH/BL						
WH/HISP						
BL/HISP						
COMPARISONS - GEN	DER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.2	4 FOR 2 TEST	S AT .05)	
M/F	1.069	-0.28	2.031	-0.14	2.102	0.840
₩ 7						
M/F	0.232	-0.26	0.687	-0.16	0.212	-0.01
COMPARISONS - TYPE	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONAL	L ACTIVITY (?=1.96 FOR 1	TEST AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-1.77	-0.74	-2.41 *	-1.62	-1.34	-1.50
NO PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistical significant difference.

TABLE 12.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 7 "010 YOU EVER USE A COMPUTER TO PLAY A GAME?"

MĚ	NOAMI THOO:	ENTAL S	COMP	DATA ORGANI INTERP	ZAT 1 ON RETAT 1	& ON	MEASURE			NUMBER OPERAT KNOWLE	10NS: DGE/SK		NUMBERS HIGHER APPLICA	LEVEL ATIONS		TOTAL SUBSCA	LES	
	VG_X	SE	N.	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE.	N	AVG %	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N
DIO YOU EVER USE A COM			LAY A	GAME?														
YES	54	1.4	2023	71	1.2	2023	53	1.4	2023	66	1.4	2023	41	1.3	2023	57	1.4	2023
NO	47	5.0	153	58	4.7	153	43	5.0	153	58	5.2	153	31	4.6	153	48	5.3	153
NOT REPORTED	39	7.1	71	44	6.9	71	30	7.0	71	41	7.3	71	24	6.7	71	35	7.5	71
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	53	1.3	2247	69	1.1	2247	51	1.3	2247	6 5	1.3	2247	40	1.3	2247	56	1.4	2247
USE A COMPUTER TO PLAY	A G	AME 8Y	RACE	/ETHN1C	ITY OF	EXAH	INEE *											
WHITE	56	1.8	1212	75	1.5	1212	57	1.8	1212	69	1.7	1212	45	1.7	1212	61	1.8	1212
8LACK	47	3.1	408	59	3.0	408	37	3.1	408	57	3.2	408		2.8	408	46	3.3	408
_H1SPAN1C	46	3.5	319	60	3.2	319	43	3.5	319	59	3.6	319	32	3.2	319	49	3.7	319
NO						_								_				
WHITE	55	7.7	70	65	6.6	70	48	7.5	70	63	7.5	70		7.1	70	54	7.9	70
8LACK	37	9.7	36	45	10.5	36	27	9.7	36	46	10.7	36		8.5	36	35	10.5	36
HISPANIC	32	8 7	42	45	9.6	42	34	9.5	42	52	10.2	42	20	8.2	42	39	10.2	42
USE A COMPUTER TO PLAY	A G	AME BY	SEX (OF EXAM	INEE *	•												
MALE	54	2.0	999	71	1.7	999	54	2.0	999	65	1.9	999	42	1.9	999	58	2.0	999
FEMALE NO	54	2.0	1024	70	1.7	1024	51	2.0	1024	67	1.9	1024	40	1.9	1024	57	2.0	1024
MALE	44	7.2	71	56	6.5	71	43	7.2	71	56	7.5	71	29	5.4	71	47	7.6	71
FEMALE	50	6.9	82	61	6.8	82	42	7.1	82	60	7.1	82	33	6.6	82	49	7.4	82
LISE A COMPUTER TO PLAY	A G	AME 8Y	TYPE	OF SCH	OOL EX	AM I NE	E ATTENO	s *										
PUBLIC	54	1.5	1866	70	1.2	1866	52	1.4	1866	66	1.4	1866	40	1 4	1866	57	1.5	1866
NONPUBLIC	56	5.1	156	76	4.2	15€	59	4.9	156	72	4.7	156	48	4.9	156	63	5.1	156
NO																		
PUBLIC	47	52	143	57	4.9	143	41	5.2	143	57	5.4	143		4.8	143	47	5.5	143
NONPUBLIC	-	-	N<	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	•	-	N<30

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF . ATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT



TABLE 12.2A - GRADE 7 2 TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 1 TEST AT .05)

LICE A CONGRESS TO	FNONNTL METHODS	ORGNIZA INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATIS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	101
USE A COMPUTER TO YES/NO	1.309	2.551 *	JNS 1.872	1,478	2.015 *	1.655
COMAPRISONS - RAC	E/ETHN1CITY	BY INSTRUCT	IOHAL ACTIVIT	TY (Z=2.64 F	OR 6 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL	2.710 *	4.508 *	5.701 *	3.206 *	4.713 *	3.836
VH/HISP	2.715 *	4.074 *	3.724 *	2.450	3.516 *	2.890
BL/HISP	0.192	-0.13	-1.22	-0.41	-0.63	-0.52
NO				••••	V.05	V. JE
WH 8L	1.433	1.671	1.737	1.327	1.627	1.447
VH/HISP	1.977	1.761	1.196	0.882	1.578	1,190
BL/HISP	0.399	-0.01	-0.50	-0.41	-0.07	-0.25
COMPARISONS - GEN	IDER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL ACT	TIVITY (Z=2.2	24 FOR 2 TES	TS AT .05)	
M/F	-0.17	0.418	1.116	-0.58	0.865	0.173
NO						
H/F	-0.55	-0.56	0.128	-0.34	-0.42	-0.22
COMPARISONS - TYP	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY	(Z=1.96 FDR 1	TEST AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-0.37	-1.36	-1.28	-1.26	-1.60	-1.14
NO PUB/NPUB						

^{*} Statistically significant difference.



TABLE 13.1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRACE 11 "DID YOU EVER USE A COMPUTER TO SOLVE A LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEM?"

DIG TOO EVE	K USE A	OATA	SOUNT W	INEAK PK	UUKUM	IING PI	NUMBER:			NUMBER	S & OP	ERATIO	NS:		
FUNDA	MENTAL		ZATION &				OPERAT			HIGHER			TOTAL /	ACROSS	
METHO	DS	INTERP	RETATION	MEASUR	EMENT		KNOWLE	GE/SK	ILLS	APPLIC	ATIONS		SUBSCA	LES	
AVG :	K SE	N AVG X				N	AVG X	SE	N	AV6 X	SE	N	AVG %	SE	N
OID YOU EVER USE A COMPUT		OLVE A LINEA													
YES 71	2.7	329 81	2.2 32		3.0	329	71	2.9	329	72	2.8	329	69	3.1	329
NO 63	1.5	1391 77	1.2 138		1.5	1391	64	1.6	1391	61	1.5	1391	61	1.7	1391
NOT REPORTED 58	5.9	87 72	4.7 8		5.8	87	60	5.8	87	61	5.7	87	5 9	6.2	87
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE 64	1.3	1807 78	1.0 180	5 46	1.3	18D7	65	1.3	1807	63	1.3	1807	62	1.4	1807
USE A COMPUTER TO SOLVE A	LINEAR	PROGRAMMING	PROBLEM E	" RACE/E	THNICI	TY OF	EXAMIN	EE *							
WHITE 75	3.1	229 85	2.4 22	9 57	3.6	229	74	3.4	229	77	3.3	229	73	3.6	229
BLACK 60	7.5	53 72		3 33	7.4	53	59	8.3	53	51	8.3	53	54	8.8	53
HISPANIC 57	9.2	52 62		2 36	9.3	32	53	10.1	32		10.4	32	50	10.7	32
NO	• • • •	J. J.			٥.٠	-	-					-			
WHITE 66	1.7	977 80	1.3 97		1.8	977	68	1.8	977	65	1.8	977	65	1.9	977
BLACK 51	3.8	231 68	3.1 23		3.4	231	52	4.1	231	44	3.9	231	47	4.3	231
HISPANIC 47	4.9	137 67	4.0 13	7 27	4.3	137	48	5.2	137	48	5.0	137	47	5.5	137
USE A COMPUTER TO SOLVE A	LINEAR	PROGRAMMING	PROBLEM E	Y SEX OF	EXAMI	NEE *									
MALE 71	3.5	188 81	2.7 18	8 52	3.8	188	70	3.8	188	73	3.7	188	69	4.1	188
FEMALE 70	4 4	141 80	3.6 14	1 50	4.6	141	72	4.5	141	70	4.4	141	69	4.9	141
NO															
MALE 64	2.0	694 76	1.6 69		2.1	694	63	2.2	694	64	2.1	694	62	2.3	694
FEMALE 62	2.1	697 78	1.7 69	6 41	2.1	697	65	2.2	697	58	2.2	697	60	2.4	697
USE A COMPUTER TO SOLVE A	LINEAR	PROGRAMMING	PROBLEM E	Y TYPE O	F SCHO	OL EX	MINEE	ATTEND	s *						
PUBLIC 71	2.9	293 79	2.3 29	3 50	3.1	293	70	3.1	293	71	3.0	293	68	3.3	293
NONPUBLIC 70		36 90		6 60	9.0	36	77	8.5	36		8.3	36	75	9.1	36
NO															
PUBLIC 62		1258 77	1.2 125		1.5	1258	63	1.0	1258		1.6	1258	60	1.7	1258
NONPUBLIC 74	4.3	133 78	4.0 13	3 54	4.9	133	71	4.8	133	66	4.6	133	68	5.2	133

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SCURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 13.1A ~ GRADE 11 2 TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

	FNOMNTL	ORGNIZ&	MEASURE-	NUMBERS&	HGH ORDR	
	METHODS	INTERP	MENT	OPRATINS	SKILLS	101
USE A COMPUTER TO						
YES/NO	2.452 *	1.384	2.273 *	2.084 *	3.434 *	2.203
COMPARISONS ~ RAC YES	E/EIHNICI IA	BY INSTRUCTI	ONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.64 FO	R 6 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL	1.869	1.707	2.832 *	1.724	2.858 *	2.009
WH/HISP	1.825	2.855 *	2.023	1,978	2.463	2.011
BL/HISP	0.218	1.023	-0.26	0.427	0.090	0.252
NO				01421	0.070	01272
WH/BL	3.605 *	3.575 *	6.652 *	3.375 *	4.840 *	3.846
WH/HISP	3.823 *	3.083 *	4.610 *	3.549 *	3.214 *	3.108
BL/HISP	0.794	0.176	-0.72	0.636	-0.57	-0.01
COMPARISONS - GEN	DER BY INSTI	RUCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (Z=2.2	4 FOR 2 TEST	S AT .05)	
M/F	0.231	0.222	0.249	-0.30	0.452	0.062
.,,,	0.20.	0.222	0.247	0.50	0.432	0.002
NO						
H/F	0.861	-0.77	1.905	-0.51	1.723	0.484
COMPARISONS - TYP	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	0.095	-1.74	-1.01	-0.69	~0.92	-0.76
NO						
PUB/NPUB	-2.64 *	-0.31	-2.33 *	-1.56	-1.07	-1.43

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 13.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 7 "OID YOU EVER USE A COMPUTER TO SOLVE A LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEM?"

	FILLIOA			DATA	74710					NUMBER			NUMBER					
	METHO	MENTAL		ORGANI:			MEASUR	CUCUT		OPERAT		4711C	HIGHER			TOTAL A		,
	AVG		N	AVG %	KEIAI. SE	IUR N	AVG X	SE	N	KNOWLE AVG %			APPLIC			SUBSCAL		
DIO YOU EVER US			OLVE A				NG PROBI		п	AVG X	36	N	AVG %	SE	H	AVG %	SE	N
YES	53		297	65	3.2	297	50	3.6	297	64	3.5	297	38		207	55	2 7	207
NO	53		1598	70	1.4	1598		1.6	1598	65	1.5	1598	40	3.3 1.5	297 1598	55 56	3.7 1.6	297
NOT REPORTED	50		352	68	2.8	352	50	3.3	352	64	3.2	352	40	3.2	352	55	3.4	1598 352
TOTAL W/IN SUBS			2247	69	1.1	2247	51	1.3	2247	65	1.3	2247	40	1.3	2247	56	1.4	2247
10 1710 07 111 0000		•	224,	00	• • •	224/	٠.	1.5	244/	05	1.3	2241	•0	1.3	224/	30	1.4	2247
USE A COMPUTER	TO SOLVE A	LINEAR	PROGR	RAMMING	PROBI	EM BY	RACE/ET	THN IC	ITY OF	EXAMIN	EE *							
WHITE	58	5 2	144	72	4.4	144	58	5.2	144	70	4.9	144	4.4	4.0	144	C1	E 2	144
BLACK	44	7.1	*77	54	6.6	177	37	6.9	177	52	7.5	77	44 25	4.9 6.4	144 77	61 43	5.3 7.5	144 77
HISPANIC	42		63	50	7.8	63	35	7.6	63	54	8.2	63	26	6.9	63	43	8.2	63
NO	•	,	-	•••	,	•		,	05	54	0.2	03	20	0.3	63	73	0.2	03
WHITE	57	2.1	945	74	1.7	945	56	2.0	945	68	2.0	945	44	2.0	945	60	2.1	945
BLACK	46		323	57	3.4	323	35	3.5	323	57	3.6	323	28	3.2	323	45	3.7	323
HISPANIC	45		269	61	3.5	269		3.8	269	59	3.9	269	32	3.5	269	49	4.0	269
										•••	0.0		52	0.5	203	45	4.0	203
USE A COMPUTER YES	TO SOLVE A	LINEAR	PROGR	PAMM1 NG	PROBL	EM BY	SEX OF	EXAM	NEE *									
MALE	53	4.5	190	66	3.9	190	5?	4.5	190	64	4.4	190	40	4.2	190	56	4.6	190
FEMALE	53		107	64	5.7	107	47	6.1	107	65	5.9	107	34	5.6	107	54	6.4	107
NO				-	•••	•••	• • •	٠	•••	•	0.5	,	54	3.0	107	34	0.4	107
MALE	53	2.3	751	71	1.9	751	54	2.3	751	65	2.2	751	41	2.2	751	57	2.4	751
FEMAL E	53	2.2	847	69	1.9	847	50	2.2	847	66	2.1	847	39	2.1	847	56	2.3	847
_															• • • •			047
USE A COMPUTER YES	TO SOLVE A	LINEAR	PROGR	AMMING	PR08L	EM BY	TYPE OF	SCHO	OL EX	MINEE /	ATTEND	\$ *						
PU8LIC	52	3.8	270	65	3.4	270	48	3.8	270	63	3.8	270	36	3 5	270	53	4.0	270
NONPUL C	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	7.0	N<30
NO						•									.1-50			11-00
PUBLIC	54	1.7	1477	70	1.4	1477	51	1.6	1477	65	1.6	1477	39	1.6	1477	56	1.7	1477
NONPUBLIC	56	59	119	77	4.9	119	57	5.7	119	70	5.5	119	46	5.7	119	62	5 9	119
										-								

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE. NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 13.2A - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

	FNOMHTL	ORGNIZ&	MEASURE-	NUMBERS&	HGH ORDR	
	METHOOS	INTERP	MENT	OPRATHS	SKILLS	TOT
USE A COMPUTER TO	SOLVE A LI	NEAR PROGRAM	HING PROBLEM	- COMPARISON	IS	
YES/NO	-0.10	-1.28	-0.28	-0.20	-0.43	-0.34
COMPARISONS - RAC	Œ/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	IONAL ACTIVI	TY (Z=2.64 FC	R 6 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL	1.654	2.319	2.363	2.066	2.394	2.027
WH/HISP	1.777	2.494	2.476	1.680	2.116	1.875
BL/HISP	0.164	0.383	0.223	-0.22	-0.15	-0.01
NO .						
WH/BL	2.480	4.347 *	5.206 *	2.668 *	4.135 *	3.442
WH/HISP	2.77: *	3.278 *	2.887 *	2.088	2.941 *	2.451
BL/HISP	0.367	-0.77	-1.63	-0.35	-0.73	-0.65
COMPARISONS - GEI	NOER BY INST	RUCTIONAL ACT	TIVITY (Z=2.	24 FOR 2 TEST	S AT .05)	
H/F	-0.05	0.275	0.756	-0.17	0.856	0.229
NO						
M/F	-0.03	0.514	1.276	-0.25	0.967	0.368
COMPARISONS - TYPES PUB/NPUB	PE OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTION	AL ACTIVITY	(Z*1.96 FOR	I TEST AT .05)
NO PUB/NPUB	-0.32	-1.37	-0.93	-0.94	-1.14	-0.89

^{*} Statistically significant difference.



TABLE 14.1 - AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 11 "010 YOU EVER USE A COMPUTER TO PERFORM STATISTICAL ANALYSIS?"

OATA

				DATA						NUMBERS	8		NUMBERS	S & OF	ERATIO	ONS:		
	FUNDA			ORGANI						OPERATI	: 2NO		HIGHER	LEVEL		TOTAL A	ACROSS	;
	METHO			INTERP		ION	MEASURI	EMENT		KNOWLED	GE/SI	KILLS	APPLICA			SUBSCAL		
	AVG 2		N.	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N
DID YOU EVER USE A			PERFORI				YS1S?											
YES	69	2.0	625	81	1.6	624	51	2.1	625	70	2.2	625	69	2.1	625	67	2.3	625
NO	62	1.6	1120	76	1.3	1119	42	1.7	1120	63	1.7	1120	60	1.7	1120	60	1.8	1120
NOT REPORTED	47	7.4	62	71	5.3	62	39	6.6	62	53	7.2	62	56	7.0	62	53	7.6	62
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	64	1.3	1807	78	1.0	1805	46	1.3	1807	65	1.3	1807	63	1.3	1807	62	1.4	1807
USE A COMPUTER TO P	ERFORM	STATIS	STICAL	AWALVS	IS AV	DACE/	THATCIT	V 0E	CVAUIA	155 *								
YES		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		MINELD		MACE /		ı or	CVVVIII	ILL								
WHITE	71	2.3	461	84	1.8	460	55	2.5	461	72	2.5	461	7.			70		
BLACK	58	5.9	90	68	5.2	90	28	5.4	90	58 58	6.6	461	71	2.4	461	70	2.7	451
HISPANIC	59	7.5	51	72	5.8	51	31	7.0	51	56	8.2	90	51	6.4	90	52	6.9	90
NO	•		31	12	3.0	31	31	7.0	21	20	0.2	51	53	8.3	51	53	8.8	51
WHITE	67	1.9	765	79	1.5	764	48	2.0	765	67		700		• •	305			
BLACK	51	4.0	201	6 9	3.3	201				67	2.1	765	65	2.0	765	64	2.2	765
HISPANIC	43	5.4	115	63	4.5	115	23 27	3.7 4.8	201 115	51 46	4.4	201	43	4.2	201	46	4.6	201
	45	5.4	113	03	4.3	115	21	4.0	113	46	5.6	115	45	5.5	115	44	5.9	115
USE A COMPUTER TO P	FREARM	STATIS	TICAL	AMAL VS1	SR	CEA UE	EXAMI	cc *										
YES		3	,,,,	MINCIPI	3 51	JLA UI	COMMIN	LL										
MALE	71	2.6	342	82	2.0	342	55	2 0	242	71	• •							
FEMALE	66	3 2	283	79	2.6	782	47	2.8	342	71	2.9	342	72	2.8	342	70	3.1	342
NO	-	3 2	203	13	2.0	702	•/	3.2	283	6 9	3.3	283	64	3.3	283	65	3.6	283
MALE	63	2 3	555	75	1.9	553												
FEMALE	62	2.3	565	77	1.9	565	44 41	2.3	555	62	2.5	555	62	2.4	555	60	2.6	555
1 Cronce	υĻ	2.3	363	"	1.9	202	41	2.3	565	64	2.5	565	58	2.4	565	60	2.6	565
USE A COMPUTER TO PI	FREARM	CTATIC	TICAL	AMAI VCT	C RV	TYPE O	C COUNT		MINEE	ATTEMAC								
YES	Litti Oitti	317113	IIICAL	MUNETAL	3 01	TIPE U	ir sunou	LEW	MINEE	WI I FMO2	-							
PUBLIC	68	2.2	561	80	1.7	560	49	2 2	FC1	60								
NONPUBL 1C	73	5.5	64	85	5.1	64	65	2.2 6.9	561 64	6 9 77	2.3	561	67	2.3	561	66	2.5	561
NO	,,	3.3	04	03	3.1	04	00	0.9	04	"	6.4	64	77	6.4	64	75	7.0	64
PUBLIC	61	1.7	1011	76	1.4	1010	41		1011	**			••					
NONPUBLIC	73	4.9	1011	78	1.4	1010 109	4 <u>1</u> 51	1.7	1011	62	1.8	1011	60	1.8	1011	59	1.9	1011
	73	7.3	103	70	4.4	109	51	5.5	109	71	5.3	109	64	5.0	109	67	5.8	109

^{*} Smill subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EQUICATIONAL PROGRESS 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 14.1A - GRADE 11 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

	FNDMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATINS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
USE A COMPUTER T					SKILLS	101
YES/NO	2.494 *	2.302 *	3.262 *	2.573 *	3.093 *	2.484
123/110	2.474 "	2.302	J.202	2.5/5	3.073	2.404
COMPARISONS - RA	CE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUC	FIONAL ACTIVI	TY (Z=2.64 F	OR 6 TESTS A	T .05)
VH/BL	2.030	2.962 *	4.479 *	2.042	2.981 *	2.369
VH/HISP	1.427	1.949	3.185 *	1.872	2.089	1.814
BL/H1SP	-0.17	-0.58	-0.37	0.152	-0.22	-0.07
NO	••••	0.00	0.0.		0.11	
WH/BL	3.453 *	2.839 *	5.852 *	3.305 *	4.722 *	3.665
WH/HISP	4.129 *	3.431 *	3.901 *	3.561 *	3.288 *	3.146
BL/HISP	1.210	1.056	-0.70	0.744	-0.40	0.160
COMPARISONS - GE	NOER BY INST	RUCTIONAL A	CTIVITY (Z=2.	24 FOR 2 TES	TS AT .05)	
YE S						
M/F	1.330	0.848	1.864	0.453	1.877	1.058
NO						
M/F	0.368	-0.94	0.724	-0.80	1.009	-0.02
	~ ~ ~~					
COMPARISONS - TY YES	PE OF SCHOOL	. ATTEMOED B	Y INSTRUCTION	ACTIVITY	(Z=2.24 FOR	2 TESTS AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-0.72	-0.93	-2.11	-1.09	-1.45	-1.17
NO						
PUB/NPUB	-2.29 *	-0.34	1.73	-1.55	-0.78	-1.29

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 14.2. AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 7 "DID YOU EVER USE A COMPUTER TO PERFORM STATISTICAL ANALYSIS?"

010 100 21		A COLIF	DATA	Croke 3	W11211C	1L AII	WC1313									
CHAD	AMENTAL							NUMBERS			NUMBER					
		,	ORGANIZAT					OPERATI			HIGHER				ACROSS	
METH			INTERPRETA		MEASUR			KNOWLED			APPLIC	at I ons	;	SUBSCA	LES	
AVG				SE N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SĔ	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG %	SE	N
DIO YOU EVER USE A COMPU		PERFOR		CAL ANAL	YSIS?											
	6 2.9	475	72 2.	.4 475	5 57	2.8	475	68	2.7	475	44	2.8	475	60	2.9	475
NO 5	2 1.7	1445	69 1	4 1445	5 50	1.7	1445	65	1.6	1445	39	1.6	1445	55	1.7	1445
NOT REPORTED 5	0 3.4	321	67 3			3.4	327	62	3.4	327	38	3.3	327	54	3.6	327
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE 5			69 1			1.3	2247	65	1.3	2247	40	1.3	2247			
	• • •		05 1	1 2247	31	1.3	2241	03	1.3	2241	40	1.3	2241	56	1.4	2247
USE A COMPUTER TO PERFOR	TATE M	STICAL	AMALVETE	V DACE	FIUNICI	rv 05	EVANT	ucc *								
YES	. JIAIL	JIIONE	ANALISIS () MACE)	LINNICI	II OF	EAAHII	NEC								
WHITE 5	8 3.8	284	76 3.	.0 284	62	3.6	284	71	3.5	284	47	3.6	284	63	3.8	284
BLACK 4	76.6	91	59 6.	1 91	40	6.7	91	57	6.8	91	33	6.0	91	47	7.0	91
HISPANIC 5	0 6.9	82	57 6.	.3 82	47	6.9	82	60	7.0	82	35	6.5	82	51	7.2	82
NO						0.5	0.	•	,	O.	33	0.5	02	21	7.2	02
WHITE 5	6 2.2	832	73 1	8 832	54	2.2	832	67	2.1	832	43	2.1	832		2 2	022
BLACK 4			58 3			3.5	307	56	3.7	307	27	3.2		59	2.2	832
HISPANIC 4			60 3.			4.0	243	59	4.1	249	30		307	45	3 8	307
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		E43	00 5.	, ,	72	4.0	27.3	25	4.1	249	30	3.6	249	48	4.2	249
USE A COMPUTER TO PERFOR	M STATE	STICAL	ANALYSIS E	Y SEX O	F EXAMIN	EE *										
YES																
MALE 5	4 38	279	71 3	1 279	58	3.7	279	67	3.6	279	45	3.6	279	60	3.8	279
FEMALE 5	8 4.5		73 3.			4 4	196	69	4.3	196	43	4.3	196	60	4.6	
NO				•		7 7	130	03	4.3	130	73	4.3	190	60	4.6	195
MALE 5	3 2.4	653	70 2	1 653	52	2.4	653	64	2.4	653	40	2 2				
FEMALE 5		792	68 2.			2.2	792	65	2.4	792	37	2.3	653	56	2 5	653
		732	00 2,	0 /32	40	2.2	132	00	2 2	792	3/	2.1	792	55	2.3	792
USE A COMPUTER TO PERFOR	STATE	LANITZ	ANALYCIC D	v TV05	05 55000		MINEE		•							
162	1 31211	STICAL	MINE COLOR	11176	or SCHOO	L EAR	שוחננ	WI I ENDS	-							
PUBLIC 5	3.0	436	71 2.	5 436	56	3.0	436	67	2.9	436	42	2.9	436	59	3.1	426
NONPUBLIC 5	5 10 4	39	79 8.			9.3	39	73	9.4	39	55	9.9	39	66		436
NO					•	5.5	03		3.7	33	"	3.3	39	מס	10.0	39
PUBLIC 5	2 1 7	1331	68 1	5 1331		1.7	1301	-64	1.7	1331	38	1 6			٠.	
NONPUBLIC 5		113	75 5			5.9	113	72	5.4	113	30 42	1.6	1331	55	18	1331
		113		. 113	34	3.3	113	12	5.4	113	42	5.6	113	61	6.0	113

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SCURCE: NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 14.2A - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

USE A COMPUTER TO	FNOMNTL METHODS PERFORM STA	ORGNIZ& INTERP TISTICAL ANA	MEASURE- MENT LLYSIS - COMP	NUMBERS& OPRATHS ARISONS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	70 Y
YES/NO	1.047	1 - 140	2.352 *	1.067	1.738	1-414
COMPARISONS - RAC YES	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCTI	ONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.64 FO	R 6 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL	1.439	2.463	2.871 *	1.797	2.017	2.008
WH/HISP	0.996	2.768 *	1.853	1.360	1.717	1.506
8L/HISP	-0.33	0.284	~0.77	-0.31	-0.16	-0.36
Ю						
WH/BL	2.400	3.797 *	4.670 *	2.626	4.073 *	3.218
WH/HISP	2.661 *	3.051 *	2.787 *	1.811	3.054 *	2.305
BL/HISP	0.373	-0.47	-1.24	-0.52	-0.57	-0.56
COMPARISONS - GEN	IDER BY INSTR	UCTIONAL ACT	IVITY (3=2.2	4 FOR 2 TEST	S AT .05)	
M/F	-0.69	-0.24	0.208	-0.19	0.339	-0.11
NO						
M/F	0.209	0.590	1.206	-0.15	0.862	0.435
COMPARISON - TYPE YES	OF SCHOOL A	TTENDED BY I	NSTRUCTIONAL	ACTIVITY (2	=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	0.064	-0.92	-1.17	-0.55	-1.20	-0.71
NO						
PUB/NPUB	-0.54	-1.22	-0.77	-1.35	-0.71	-0.91
1 00/ NF00	0.54	1.22		-1.33	-0.71	-0.71

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 15 1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 11

"DID YOU EVE	RUSE	A COMPL	JTER TO	PROCE	ESS BUS	SINESS,	SCIEN	ICE OR	SOCIAL	INFOR	MATIO	N?"					
			DATA						NUMBER:	5 &		NUMBERS	& OP	ERATIO	ONS:		
FUND/	MENTAL		ORGANI	ZATIO	4 &				OPERA (IONS:		HIGHER	LEVEL		TOTAL A	CROSS	
METHO)DS		INTERP	RETATI	ION	MEASUR	EMENT		KNOWLE	DGE/SK	ILLS	APPLICA	TIONS		SUBSCAL		
AVG	% SE	H	AVG %	SE	N	AVG %	SE	N	AVG %	SE	N	AYG %	SE	N	AVG %	SE	N
DID YOU EVER USE A COMPUT	FR TO	PROCESS			SCLENCE	OR SO		NEORM			•••				A10 A		
YES 69			81	1.6	645	51	2.1	646	70	2.2	646	69	2.1	646	67	2.3	646
NO 62			76	1.3	1112	42	1.6	1113	63	1.7	1113		1.7	1113	60	1.8	1113
NOT REPORTED 57			69	6.2	48	51	8.0	48	56	7.9	48	58	8.3	48	57	8.6	48
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE 64			78	1.0	1805	46	1.3	1807	65	1.3		63					
TOTAL W/ IN SUBSCALE OF	1.3	1007	70	1.0	1003	40	1.3	1007	00	1.3	1807	03	1.3	1807	62	1.4	1807
USE A COMPUTER TO PROCESS	INCOR	MATION	DV DAC	C/CTU	11C17V	OF EVA	MINEE										
YES	INFOR	MAILUN	DI KAL	C/ C i rsr	110111	UF EAR	MINEE	-									
WHITE 71	2.3	467	85	1.8	466	55	2.6	467	73	2.5	467	72	2.4	467	71	2.7	467
BLACK 58	5.8	94	69	5.2	94	27	5.4	94	54	6.3	94	53	6.1	94	51	6.6	94
HISPANIC 54	7.8	59	71	5.9	59	33	6.5	59	51	7.7	59	51	7.6	59	50	8.2	59
NO														•	•	• • •	•••
WHITE 66	1.9	772	79	1.5	771	47	2.0	772	66	2.0	772	64	2.0	772	64	2.2	772
BLACK 50			68	3.4	194	22	3.8	194	52	4.5	194	41	4.3	194	46	4.7	194
HISPANIC 46			65	4.5	iii	25	4.8	111	48	5.7	iii	46	5.6	111	46	6.0	111
		•••	•	4.5	•••		- 0		70	3.,	111	70	3.0	111	40	0.0	111
USE A COMPUTER TO PROCESS	INFOR	MATION	RY SFY	OF FY	CAMINE	*											
YES			J. J.			•											
MALE 72	2 /	327	82	2 2	327	54	3.0	327	72	3.0	327	74	2.8	327	70	2 2	327
FEMALE 65			80	2.4	318	48	3.1	319	68	3.2	319	64	3.1	319	70 64	3.2	319
NO S	, , ,	313	00	2.4	310	40	3.1	313	00	3.2	319	04	3.1	319	04	3.4	319
MALE 62	2 2	581	75	18	580	44	2 2	581	60	2.4		٠,		***			***
FEMALE 62			77	19	532	39	2.2	532	62 64	2.4	581	61 58	2.3	581	60	2.5	581
remet 02	. 2.4	332	.,	1 9	332	39	2.4	332	04	2.5	532	28	2.5	532	60	2.7	532
USE A COMPUTER TO PROCESS	INFOR	MATION	RY TYP	E OF S	CHOOL	FYAMIN	FF ATT	TENDS 1	,								
YES	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			LAMIN	LL A!!										
PUBLIC 68	2.1	579	81	1 7	578	50	2.3	579	69	2.3	579	68	2.2	579	67	2.5	670
NONPUBLIC 73			86	4.8	67	59	6.6	67	77	6.3	67	77	6.2	67	75	6.8	579 67
NO , C	0.1	0,		7.0	0,	33	0.0	0,	,,	0 3	07	,,	0.2	0/	/5	0.0	0/
PUBLIC 61	1 7	1007	76	1 4	1006	41	1.7	1007	62	1 0	1007	E0.		1007	50		1007
NONPUBLIC 72			77	4 5	1006	54	5.5		70	1.8	1007	50	1.8	1007	59	1.9	1007
11011 00010 /2	. 40	100	"	4 3	100	54	٦.٥	106	70	5 4	106	64	5.1	106	67	5.9	106

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

SOURCE NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

TABLE 15.1A - GRADE 11 2 TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

					•	
	FNOMNTL	ORGNIZ&	MEASURE-	NUMBERS&		
		INTERP		OPRATNS	SKILLS	TOT
USE A COMPUTER TO	O PROCESS II	NFORMATION -	COMPARISONS			
YES/NO	2.654 *	2.551 *	3.413 *	2.480 *	3.611 *	2.636
COMPARISONS - RAI	CE/ETHNICIT	BY INSTRUC	TIONAL ACTIVI	TY (Z=2.64 F	OR 6 TESTS A	T .05)
YES	,					•
WH/BL	2.212	2.912 *	4.643 *	2.768 *	3.015 *	2.721
WH/HISP	2.187	2.218	3.096 *	2.795 *	2.631	2.387
BL/HISP	0.402	-0.28	-0.70	0.380	0.112	0.104
NO						
WH/BL			5.838 *			
WH/HISP	3.608 *	2.952 *	4.224 *	2.961 *	2.954 *	2.785
BL/HISP	0.712		-0.47			-0.03
COMPARISONS - GE	NOER BY INST	TRUCTIONAL A	CTIVITY (Z=2.	24 FOR 2 TES	TS AT .05)	
YES			•			
M/F	1.798	0.587	1.539	0.805	2.221	1.252
NO						
H/F	-0.03	-0.83	1.435	-0.83	1.093	0.054
COMPARISONS - TY YES	PE OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED B	Y INSTRUCTION	AL ACTIVITY	(Z=2.24 FOR	2 TESTS AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-0.78	-1.13	-1.30	-1.17	-1.33	-1.11
NO District for District			-			
PUB/NPUB	-2.18	-0.14	-2.24	-1.44	-0.88	-1.31

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 15 2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 7
"DID YOU EVER USE A COMPUTER TO PROCESS BUSINESS, SCIENCE OF SOCIAL INFORMATION?" OATA NUMBERS & NUMBERS & OPERATIONS: ORGANIZATION & INTERPRETATION TOTAL ACROSS FUNDAMENTAL OPERATIONS: HIGHER LEVEL **MEASUREMENT** SUBSCALES METHODS KNOWLEDGE/SKILLS **APPLICATIONS** AVG % SE N AVG % SE N AVG % SE N AVG % SE N AVG % DID YOU EVER USE A COMPUTER TO PROCESS BUSINES., SCIENCE OR SOCIAL INFORMATION?
YES 55 2.8 496 72 2.3 496 57 2.7 496 67 AVG % N AVG X AVG X SE AVG % SE 2.7 496 2.7 496 60 2.9 496 1.6 1.4 65 56 55 48 NO 53 1606 69 1606 50 1.6 1606 1.5 1606 39 1 5 1.6 1606 1606 5.1 NOT REPORTED 45 145 145 43 5.1 145 35 4.8 145 5.3 145 TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE 53 1.3 2247 69 1 1 2247 51 2247 65 56 2247 USE A COMPUTER TO PROCESS INFORMATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY OF EXAMINEE YES WHITE 316 72 85 316 72 85 3.6 2.8 316 72 85 70 3.3 7.6 47 64 47 316 72 316 53 39 3.4 7.2 6.5 3.4 3.5 7.8 72 85 45 7.5 7.0 72 57 BLACK HISPANIC 85 NO WHITE 2.1 3.3 3.9 56 921 73 1.7 921 921 68 2.0 921 921 3 2 3.6 BLACK HISPANIC 46 58 36 43 3.3 363 261 3.4 28 30 3.0 363 363 56 363 363 45 3.5 363 60 261 261 60 261 261 261 USE A COMPUTER TO PROCESS INFORMATION SEX OF EXAMINEE YES MALE 53 58 3 7 289 207 70 3 1 3.6 289 3.6 289 66 3.6 289 43 45 3 5 4 2 289 58 62 3.7 289 FEMALE 76 4.4 207 69 4.2 207 4.2 207 207 207 40 53 2.3 748 70 1.9 748 52 2.3 748 65 2.2 748 40 2.2 56 55 748 2.4 748 FEMALE 53 858 58 1.9 858 858 858 858 USE A COMPUTER TO PROCESS INFORMATION BY TYPE OF SCHOOL EXAMINEE ATTENDS * PUBLIC 2.5 2 9 8.7 55 452 452 43 56 452 3.0 71 2.9 452 66 452 2.9 452 59 71 3 0 NONPUBLIC 59 43 71 8.7 76 43 43 9 3 43 NO PUBLIC 69 4 1488 50 1488 38 1488 55 1488 NONPUBLIC 5 9 117 73 5 1 117 5 117 60 6 0

117

SOURCE NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS - 1985-86 MATHEMATICS ASSESSMENT

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion

TABLE 15.2A - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

USE A COMPUTER TO	FADMATE METHOOS PROCESS IN	ORGNIZ& INTERP FORMATION - (MEASURE- MENT COMPARISONS	NUMBERS& OPRATINS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
YES/HO	0.768	1.289	2.392 *	0.705	1.655	1.368
COMPARISONS - RAC	E/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	IONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.64 FC	OR 6 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL	1.459	2.177	2.942 *	1.522	2.221	1.943
WH/HISP	1.813	3.182 *	3.188 *	1.987	2.411	2.312
BL/HISP	0.130	0.603	-0.01	0.263	0.010	0.143
NO						
WH/BL	2.435	4.011 *	4 838 *	2.905 *	4.232 *	3.390
WH/HISP	2.394	3.100 *	2.597	1.853	3.041 *	2.248
BL/HISP	0.215	~0.43	-1.41	-0.63	-0.58	-0.64
COMPARTSONS - GEN	DER BY INSTR	RUCTIONAL AC	TIVITY (Z=2 2	4 FOR 2 TEST	S AT .05)	
H/F	-0.91	-1.24	-0 34	-0.61	-0.25	-0.60
NO						
M/F	0 126	0.878	1 460	-0.29	1.077	0 490
COMPARISONS - TYP	E OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY (Z=2.24 FOR 2	TESTS AT .05)
YES				`		
PUB/ NPUB	-0.40	-1.68	-1.66	-1.04	-1.46	1.20
NO						
PUB/NPU8	-0.21	-0.00	0.47			
1 05/ NF00	-0.21	-0.90	-0 67	-1.07	-0.92	-0.73

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 16.1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 11 "DID YOU EVER WRITE A COMPUTER PROGRAM TO SOLVE A MATH PROBLEM?"

	FUNDAN			DATA ORGANI			3014E W	MAIN	PRUDLI	NUMBERS OPERATI			NUMBER HIGHER		ERATIO	NS: TOTAL	ACRDSS	;
	4ETHOD			INTERP			MEASURI	TK3M3		KNOWLE		TILLS	APPLIC.			SUBSCAL		
·	AVG X		N	AVC x	SE		AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	Ň	AVG X		N	AVG %	SE	*
DID YOU EVER WRITE A		TER P	ROGRAM	TO SOL			ROBLEM?	•••	••		••	•••			••			
YES	70	2.0	653	80	1.5	653	54	2.1	€53	72	2.1	653	71	2.0	653	69	2.2	653
NO	61	1.6	1125	76	1.3	1123	41	1.6	1125	61	1.8	1125	58	1.7	1125	58	1.9	1125
NOT REPORTED	-	•	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-		N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	64	1.3	1807	78	1.0	1605	46	1.3	1807	65	1.3	1807	63	1.3	1807	62	1.4	1807
WRITE A PROGRAM TO SO	DLVE A	HATH	PROBLE	M BY R	ACE/E1	HNICI	TY OF E	KANINE	E *									
WHITE	73	2.2	471	84	1.8	471	59	2.5	471	76	2.4	471	75	2.4	471	73	2.6	471
BLACK	55	5.6	104	66	4.4	104	26	4.9	104	53	6.0	104	47	5.8	104	49	6.2	104
HISPANIC	59	7.4	52	70	5.9	52	34	6.9	52	59	7.8	52	52	8.1	52	54	8.4	52
NO	•••	• • •		. •	•••		•			•••		-				•		
WHITE	65	1.9	785	79	1.5	783	45	2.0	785	65	2.1	785	62	2.0	785	62	2.2	785
FACK	52	4.1	137	70	3.6	187	24	3.9	187	53	4.6	187	44	4.4	187	47	4.8	187
MISPANIC	43	5.3	119	64	4.4	119	27	4.7	119	44	5.6	119	46	5.4	119	44	5.9	119
WRITE A PROGRAM TO SO	DLVE A	HATH	PROBLE	M BY S	EX OF	EXAMI	NEE *											
MALE	71	2.4	394	79	2.0	394	55	2.7	394	72	2.7	394	72	2.6	394	70	2.9	394
FEMALE NO	68	3.3	259	82	2.5	259	52	3.4	259	73	3.4	259	69	3.3	259	69	3.6	259
"MALE	62	2.4	518	76	1.9	517	43	2.4	518	60	2.6	518	61	2.5	518	59	2.7	518
FENALE	61	2.2	607	77	1.8	6 06	39	2.2	607	63	2.4	607	56	2.3	607	58	2.6	607
WRITE A PROGRAM TO SO	DLVE A	HATH	PROBLE	M BY T	PE OF	SCHO	OL EXAM	NEE A	ATTEND:	s *								
PUBLIC	69	2.1	575	80	1.7	575	53	2.2	575	71	23	575	70	2.2	575	L3	2.4	575
NONPUBLIC	75	5.3	.78	85	4.6	78	60	6.2	78	79	5.9	78	77	5.9	78	75	6.4	78
NO																		
PUBLIC	60	3.7	1027	76	1.4	1025	39	1.7	1027	60	1.8	1027	58	1.8	1027	58	2.0	1027
NOMPUBLIC	72	5.1	98	78	4.6	98	53	5.7	98	68	5.7	98	63	5.2	98	66	6.1	98

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 16.1A - GRADE 11 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

WRITE A PROGRAM	FNOHNTL METHODS	ORGNIZA INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATIS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	тот
YES/NO	3.507 *	1.901	4.912 *	4.017 *	4.663 *	3.739
COMPARISONS - RA	CE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUC	TIONAL ACTIVIT	ſY (Z=2.64 F	OR 6 TESTS A	т .05)
WH/BL	3.072 *	3.934 *	6.103 *	3.483 *	4.586 *	3.647
WH/HISP	1.839	2.238	3.392 *	2.064	2.795 *	2.175
BL/HÌSP	-0.46	-0.65	-1.00	-0.55	-0.51	-0.52
NO						
WH/BL	2.724 *	2.493	4.871 *	2.321	3.717 *	2.859
WH/HISP	3.836 *	3.358 *	3.584 *	3.449 *	2.876 *	2.920
BL/HISP	1.394	1.005	-0.49	1.217	-0.20	0.422
COMPARISONS - GE	ENDER BY INST	TRUCTIONAL A	CTIVITY (Z=2.2	4 FOR 2 TES	TS AT .05)	
M/F	0.755	-0.74	0.670	-0.30	0.734	0.195
NO						
H/F	0.303	-0.07	1.366	-0.73	1.325	0.214
COMPARISONS - TY	PE OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED B	Y INSTRUCTIONA	AL ACTIVITY	(Z=2 24 FOR	2 TESTS AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-0.94	-1.02	-1.14	-1.18	-1.05	-0.96
NO						
PUB/NPUB	-2.30 *	-0.27	-2.33 *	-1.26	-0.99	-1.32

^{*} Statistically significant difference.



Ai,

TABLE 16.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 7
"DID YOU EVER WRITE A COMPUTER PROGRAM TO SOLVE A MATH PROBLEM?"

"DID YOU	U EVER	WRIT	E A COMP	UTER	PROGRA	M TO S	OLVE A	MATH	PROBLI	EM?"								
				ATA						NUMBERS			NUMBERS					
	FUHDAM				ZATION					OPERATI			HIGHER			TOTAL A		
•	4ETHO0				RETATI		MEASLICI			KNOWLED			APPLICA			SUBSCAL		
	AVG X			AVG X		N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG %	SE	N
					VE A M													
YES	53	2.1	849	70	1.8	849	52	2.1	849	66	2.1	849	42	2.0	849	58	2.2	849
NO	54	1.8	1279	70	1.5	1279	52	1.7	1279	65	1.7	1279	39	1.7	1279	56	1.8	1279
NOT REPORTED	37	5.4	119	50	5.4	119	37	5.5	119	50	5.7	119	27	5.1	119	41	5.9	119
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	53	1.3	2247	69	1.1	2247	51	1.3	2247	65	1.3	2247	40	1.3	2247	56	1.4	2247
WRITE A PROGRAM TO SE	NI UE A	MATU	DDUBI EM	8V D	ACE/ET	UNICIT	V 05 E	VAM 1 MC	* 2									
YES YES	ULTE A	OVIII	PRODUCE	יט ו	MCL/ L 1	MICII	i or E	AANINE										
WHITE	56	2.9	491	74	2.3	491	58	2.8	491	70	2.7	491	47	2.7	491	62	2.9	491
BLACK	45	4.7	176	57	4.6	176	38	4.7	176	56	4.9	176		4.1	176	45	5.0	176
HISPANIC	42	5.1	140	57	4.9	140	41	5.2	140	58	5.4	140		4.7	140	47	5.5	140
NO																		
WHITE	57	2.3	773	74	1.9	773	56	2.3	773	68	2.2	773	42	2.2	773	60	2.3	773
BLACK	46	3.9	261	59	3.7	261	36	3.8	261	57	4.0	261	29	3.6	261	46	4.1	26!
HISPANIC	47	4.5	202	61	4.1	202	43	4.4	202	59	4.5	202	31	4.1	2 02	49	4.7	202
WRITE A PROGRAM TO SE	NIVE A	MATU	DOUBL EN		EV NE	EVANIN	cc *											
YES	ULVE ~	OVIII	FRUDEER		EX UP	EANTIN												
MALE	53	2.9	473	70	2.4	473	55	2.8	473	66	2.8	473	44	2 7	473	58	2.9	473
FEMALE	53	3 3	376	70	2.8	376	49	3.2	376	67	3.1	376		3.0	376	57	3.4	376
NO																		
HALE	53	2.6	570	71	2.2	570	53	2.6	570	65	2.6	570		2.5	570		2.7	570
FEHALE	55	2.4	709	70	2.1	709	51	2.4	709	66	2.3	709	39	2.3	709	56	2.5	709
WRITE A PROGRAM TO SE	NI UE A	MATU	DOUBL EN	. DV T	. ADE VE	CCHOO	I EVAN	7 MEC A	TTENO									
YES	ULYE A	MAIN	PRUDLEP	1 01 1	TPE UP	SCHOO	LEXAM	INCE A	II I EN U	S -								
PUBLIC	53	2.2	784	69	1.9	784	52	2.2	784	66	2.2	784	41	2.1	784	57	2.3	784
NONPUBLIC	56	8.0	64	76	6.6	64	62	7.7	64	73	7.2	64	53	7.7	64	65	7.9	64
MO														,	J.,			•
PUBL1C	54	1.8	1183	69	1.6	1183	51	1.8	1183	65	1.8	1183	39	1.7	1183	56	1.9	1183
NONPUBLIC	56	6.5	96	77	5.3	96	57	6.3	96	71	6.0	96		6.2	96	61	6.5	95

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 16.2A - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

	FNOMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATINS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	тот
WRITE A PROGRAM YES/NO	-0.32	-0.16	- COMPARISONS 0.289	9.370	1.214	0.419
COMPARISONS - RA	CE/ETHNICIT	Y BY INSTRUCT	TIONAL ACTIVIT	Y (Z=2.64 F	OR 6 TESTS A	7 .05)
WH/BL	1.960	3.422 *	3.646 *	2.497	4.008 *	2.850
'H/HISP	2.347	3.219 *	2.830 *	1.903	3.024 *	2.328
BL/HISP	0.418	-0.01	-0.47	-0.34	-0.55	-0.26
NO						
WH/BL	2.324	3.545 *	4.583 *	2.377	3.184 *	2.979
WH/HISP	1.927	2.921 *	2.513	1.735	2.530	2.027
BL/HISP	-0.13	-0.29	-1.33	-0.36	-0.27	-0.56
COMPARISON - GEN	OER BY INST	RUCTIONAL ACT	TIVITY (Z-2.24	FOR 2 TEST	S AT .05)	
YES						
M/F	0.069	-0.02	1.206	-0.38	0.809	0.270
NO						
M/F	-0.36	0.528	0.511	-0.40	0.295	0.027
COMPARISONS - TY	PE OF SCHOO	L ATTENDED BY	/ INSTRUCTIONA	L ACTIVITY	(Z=2.24 FOR	2 TESTS AT .05)
PU8/NPU8	-0.33	-0.96	-1.24	-1.02	-1.43	-0.97
NO						
PUB/NPUB	-0.29	-1.45	-0.86	-0.92	-0.82	-0.82

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

F



TABLE 17 1. AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 11 "DID YOU EVER WRITE A COMPUTER PROGRAM TO PLAY A GAME?"

010 10	O EAE	WKIII	. A CO	DATA	PROUN	טו דעא	PLAT A	GAME !		NUMBERS			NUMBER	S & OP	FRATIO	ONS:		
	FUNDAM			ORGAN1	ZTIO	N &				OPERATI				LEVEL		TOTAL		
	METHO			INTERP		ION	MEASUR			KNOWLED				ATIONS		SUBSCA		
	AVG 2		N	AVG X		N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG %	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N
OJO YOU EVER WRITE A				TO PLA		AME?												
YES	65	1.8	798	76	1.5	798	48	1.9	79 8	66	2.0	798	64	1.9	79 8	63	2.1	798
NO	64	1.7	980	80	1.3	9 78	44	1.7	980	65	3.1	980	63	1.8	980	62	2.0	980
NOT REPORTED	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30		-	N<30	-	-	N<30	•.	-	N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	54	1.3	1807	78	1.0	1805	46	1.3	1807	65	1.3	1807	63	1.3	1807	62	1.4	1807
WRITE A PROGRAM TO P	LAY A	GAME 8	Y RACE	E/ETHNI	CITY	DF EXA	MINEE *											
YES																		
WHITE	69	2.1	558	80	1.8	558	53	2.3	558	69	2.3	558	67	2.2	558	67	2.5	558
BLACK	52	4.8	133	61	4.4	133	24	4.4	133	52	5.3	133	44	5.1	133	46	5.5	133
HISPANIC	50	6.3	78	67	4.8	78	30	5.9	78	49	6.7	78	47	6.7	78	47	7.1	78
NO																		
WHITE	67	2.0	702	82	1.5	700	48	2.1	702	69	2.1	702	67	2.1	702	66	2.3	702
BLACK	54	4.6	156	75	3.6	156	24	4.3	156		5.0	156	46	4.9	156	48	5.3	156
HISPANIC	45	5.9	91	66	5.0	91	27	5.1	91	48	6.2	91	47	6.2	91	46	6.6	91
WRITE A PROGRAM TO P	LAY A	GAME E	SY SEX	OF EXA	MINEE	*												
MALE	68	2.4	443	78	1.9	443	51	2.5	443	67	2.6	443	67	2.5	443	66	2.7	443
FEMALE	62	2.9	355	74	2.5	355	43	3.0	355		3.1	355	58	2.9	355	60	3.3	355
NO															000			
MALE	64	2.5	470	78	2.0	459	46	2.5	470		2.7	470		2.6	470	62	2.8	470
FEMALE	64	2 4	510	81	1.8	509	42	2.4	510	67	2.5	510	62	2.5	510	62	2.7	510
WRITE A PROGRAM TO P	LAY A	GAME E	BY TYPI	E OF SC	HOOL	EXAMIN	EE ATTE	NOS *										
PUBLIC	64	2 0	718	76	1.6	718	46	2 0	718	65	2.1	718	63	2.0	718	62	2.2	718
NONPUBLIC	74	5 2	80	81	5.0	80		6.4	80		6.1	80	88	5.6	80	71	6.6	80
NO				٠.	2.0		-	3	•••	, ,		•	•	3.0	•	• •		-
PUBLIC	63	19	883	79	1 4	881	43	1.8	883	64	2.0	883	62	1.9	883	61	2.1	883
NONPUBLIC	72	5.2	97	81	4.3	97	53	5.5	97	73	5.5	97	70	5.4	97	69	5.9	97

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 17.1A - GRADE 11 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

	FNDMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZ& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATIS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
WRITE A PROGRA	M TO PLAY A G	AME - COMPAR	ISONS			
YES/NO	0.711	-1.66	1.345	0.295	0.228	0.382
COMAPRISONS -	RACE/ETHHICIT	Y BY INSTRUC	TIONAL ACTIV	ITY (Z*2.64 F	OR 6 TESTS A	τ .05)
WH/BL	3.127 *	4.044 *	5.770 *	2.979 *	4.116 -	3.383
WH/HISP	2.807	2.581	3.543 *	2.802 *	2.792 *	2.589
BL/HISP	0.288	-0.92	-0.84	0.303	-0.39	-0.12
NO						
WH/BL	2.646	1.950	4.986 *	2.695 *	4.053 *	3.030
WH/HISP	3.459 *	3.128 *	3.758 *	3.174 *	3.019 *	2.820
BL/HISP	1.119	1.394	-0.41	0.765	-0.21	0.272
COMPARISONS -	GENDER BY INS	TRUCTIONAL A	CTIVITY (Z=2	.24 FOR 2 TES	(20. TA 2T	
H/F	1.669	1.239	1.969	0.796	2.359 *	1.313
Ю						
H/F	0	-1.41	0.972	-1.05	0.694	-0.15
COMPARISONS - YES	TYPE OF SCHOO	L ATTENDED B	Y INSTRUCTION	NAL ACTIVITY	(Z=2.24 FOR	2 TESTS AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-1.74	-1.02	-2.08	-1.20	-0.86	-1.21
NO PUB/NPUB	-1.71	-0.31	-1.67	-1.42	-1.38	-1.29

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 17.2 AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 7
"010 YOU EVER WRITE A COMPUTER PROGRAM TO PLAY A GAME?"

010	TOU EVE	K AKTI	t a co		PROGR	OI MA	PLAY A	GAME?"										
				OATA						NUMBERS	. &		NUMBERS	8 0	FRATI	DNS -		
	FUNOA	MENTAL		ORGANI	ZAT10	N &				OPERATI	ONS:		HIGHER			TOTAL A	20005	:
	METHO	105		INTER	PRETAT	10N	MEASUR	EMENT		KNOWLED		21115	APPLICA			SUBSCAL		,
	AVG	X SE	N	AVG 3		N	AVG X		N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	SE			SE	41
010 YOU EVER WRIT	E A COMP						A10 A	JL		ATUA	36	п	MAG Y	36	N	AVG X	36	N
YES	53			69	1.5					••								
NO	55		893			1247	51	1.8	1247	66	1.7	1247	40	1.7	1247	56	1.8	1247
NOT REPORTED				71	1.8	893		2.1	893	66	2.1	893	41	2.0	893	57	2.2	893
	. 38		107	50	5.7	107	39	5.8	107	49	6.1	107	28	5.5	107	41	6.2	107
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCA	LE 53	1.3	2247	69	1.1	2247	51	1.3	2247	65	1.3	2247	40	1.3	2247	56	1.4	2247
WRITE A PROGRAM T	O PLAY A	GAME !	RY DAC	F/FTUNT	CITY	DE EVA												
YES	o reni n	CALL .	or rote	L/ L 1 11111	CI'II	UP EAR	MINEE											
WHITE	55	2.4	722	74	1.9	722	56	2.3	722	69	2 2	700			700	••		
BLACK	47		256	58	3.8	256	37	3.9			2.2	722	44	2.3	722	60	2.4	722
HISPANIC	44	4.2	216	55	4.0	216	40		256	56	4.1	256	29	3.5	256	46	4.2	256
NO		7.2	210	33	4.0	216	40	4.2	216	58	4.4	216	30	3.8	216	47	4.5	216
WHITE	58	2.7	543	75	2.2	543	57	2.7	E 42	60	2 6	F 42				••		
BLACK	45	4.7	181	59	4.5	181	36		543	68	2.6	543	44	2.6	543	61	2.8	543
HISPANIC	45	5.5	134	65				4.6	181	57	4.8	181	Li	4.2	181	46	4.9	181
HISTAILE	73	5.5	134	65	4.9	134	45	5.4	134	60	5.5	134	32	5.1	134	50	5.7	134
WRITE A PROGRAM TO	DIAY A	GAME F	A CEA	OE EVA	MINEE	*												
YES	, LA.	OMIL L), JLX	OI LAN	MINEL													
MALE	52	2.4	666	70							_							
FEMALE	53	2.4		70	2.0	666	53	2.4	666	65	2.4	666	42	2.3	666	57	2.5	666
NO PEMALE	53	26	581	68	2.3	581	48	2.6	581	66	2.6	581	38	2.5	581	56	2.7	581
				_														
MALE	54	32	377	72	27	377	53	3.2	377	65	3.1	377	41	3.0	377	57	3.3	377
FEMALE	55	28	516	71	2.4	516	52	2.8	516	66	2.7	516	40	2.7	516	57	2.9	516
UDITE A DOCCOAN TO	3 81 44 4	C445 5																
WRITE A PROGRAM TO YES	J PLAY A	GAME E	37 1776	. OF SC	HOOL E	XAMINE	E ATTEN	10S *										
PUBL IC	53	19	1157	69	1.6	1157	51	18	1157	65	1.8	1157	39	1.7	1157	56	1 0	
NONPUBLIC	56	68	88	75	5.6	88	59	6.6	88	73	6.1	88	49	6.6			1.9	1157
NO				. •				0.0	00	,,	J. I	00	43	0.0	88	63	6.8	88
PUBLIC	55	2.2	815	70	19	815	52	2.2	815	e e	2 2	015	40					
NONPUBLIC	56	7 2	78	79	5.8	78	52 58			65	2.2	815	40	2.1	815	57	2.3	815
	50	, .	,,	/3	5.0	/0	30	6.9	78	71	6.8	78	45	6.7	78	62	7.2	78

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 17.2A - GRADE 7 2 TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

	FNOHNTL	ORGN1Z&	MEASURE-	NUMBERSA	HGH ORDR	
1017F 1 000CD 11	METHODS	INTERP	MENT	OPRATIS	SKILLS	TÔT
WRITE A PROGRAM				0.07	0.45	0.75
YES/NO	-0.68	-0.93	-0.54	-0.07	-0.15	-0.35
COMPARISONS - R	ACE/ETHNICIT	Y 8Y INSTRUC	TIONAL ACTIVI	TY (Z=2.64 F	FOR 6 TESTS A	T .05)
		7 444 *	4 227 4	2 700	7 /25 4	7 047
WH/BL	1.871	3.644 *	4.277 *	2.780	3.625 *	3.063
VH/HISP	2.310	4.096 *		2.266	3.109 *	2.625
BL/HISP	0.435	0.527	-0.61	-0.30	-0.26	-0.22
NO						
WH/BL	2.315	3.197 *	3.875 *	1.975	3.436 *	2.689
WH/HISP	2.032	1.822	1.968	1.281	2.160	1.635
8L/HISP	-0.01	-0.91	-1.22	-0.40	-0.68	-0.63
COMPARISONS - G	ENDER BY INS	TRUCTIONAL A	CTIVITY (Z=2.	24 FOR 2 TES	STS AT .05)	
YES			•		•	
M/F	-0.19	0.355	1.376	-0.28	1.123	0.379
NO						
H/F	-0.07	0.361	0.357	-0.36	0.099	0
COMPARISONS - T	YPE OF SCHOO	L ATTENDED 8	Y INSTRUCTION	ML ACTIVITY	(Z=2.24 FOR	2 TESTS AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-0.38	-1.02	-1.21	-1.19	-1.46	-1.06
NO						
PUB/NPUB	-0.14	-1.43	-0.89	-0.81	-0.78	-0.75

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 18.1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 11
"DID YOU EVER WRITE A COMPUTER PROGRAM TO SOLVE A LINEAR PROGRAMING ORDER FM2"

"DID"	YOU EVER	WRITE	E A CO	MPUTER A	PROGR	AM TO :	SOLVE A	LINE	AR PRO	RAMM?	G PRO	BLEM?"						
				OATA						NUMBER			NUMBER	5 & 00	FRATI	ONS 5		
	FUNDAM			ORGAN12	OITAL	N &				OPERAT			HIGHER			TOTAL	ACDOS	:
	METHOD			INTERPR	RETAT	JON	MEASUR	EMENT		KNOWLE		riiis	APPLIC			SUBSCA		
	AVG X		N	AVG %	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG %		N	AVG %		N	AVG X		N
OID YOU EVER WRITE	A COMPU	TER PE	ROGRAH	TO SOLV	EA	LINEAR	PROGRA			M2	, ,,	.,	N10 4	36	п	ATU A	. 36	п
YES	72	3.0	269	82	2.3	269	52	3.3	269	73	3.2	269	74	2 1	263	70	2 4	200
NO	63	1.4	1461	77	1.1	1459	44	1.4	1461	54	1.5	1461	61	3.1	263		3.4	269
NOT REPORTED	60	5.9	77	73	4.9	77	50	6.1	77	64	6.0	77		1.5	1461	61	1.6	1461
TOTAL W.'IN SUBSCALE		1.3	1807	78	1.0		46	1.3	1807	85			61	5.9	77	61	6.6	. 77
	•	•									1.3	1807	63	1.3	1807	62	1.4	1807
WRITE A PROGRAM TO	SOLVE A	LINEA	R PRO	GRAMMING	חפפ	RI FM R	/ DACE/	ETUNIC	1TV 0	CVAME	NEE +							
YES					INV	otth 9	MACE?	EINNIC	.111 01	CYANI	MEE							
WHI TE	76	3.3	195	86	2.5	195	57	3.9	105	76	2 7	105	70					
BLACK	59	9.8	34	73	7.8	34	35	8.8	195	76	3.7	195	78	3.5	195	74	3.9	195
HISPANIC	-	3.0	N<30	,,,	7.0	N<30	33		34	56	10.5	. 34	5 5	10.1	34	54	10.9	34
NO			11-50	_	_	M-20	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30
VH1TE	66	1.7	1019	80	1.3	1017	40											
BLACK	52	3.6	251	68	3.0	1017	49	1.7	1019	67	1.8	1019	65	1.7	1019	64	1.9	1019
HISPANIC	47	4.8	141	67		251	23	3.3	251	53	3.9	251	44	3.8	251	47	4.1	251
marante	47	4.0	141	67	3.9	141	29	4.3	141	48	5.1	141	48	5.0	141	47	5.4	141
WRITE A PROGRAM TO	COLVE A	LINEA	0.000	DANUTUC	000													
YES	POLAE W	LINEA	K PKU	NAME OF THE	PRU	BLEM BI	SEX 0	F EXAM	IINEE "									
MALE	74	4.0	153	02	2 0	100												
FEMALE	68	4.6	116	83	2.8	153	54	4.2	153	74	4.2	153	77	3.9	153	72	4.5	153
NO	00	4.0	110	79	3.8	116	49	5.1	116	70	5.0	116	70	4.9	116	68	5.4	116
MALE			700															
FEMALE	64	2.0	728	76	1.6	727	46	2.0	728	63	2.1	728	63	2.1	728	61	2.2	728
FEMALE	62	2.0	733	78	1.6	732	42	2.0	733	65	2.2	733	59	2.1	733	60	2.3	733
MOTTE & ODOCEAU TO								_									•	
WRITE A PROGRAM TO YES	SOLAF W	LINEA	K PROG	RAMMING	PRO	SLEM BY	TYPE	OF SCH	OUL EX	AMINEE	ATTEN	DS *						
PUBLIC	71																	
NONPUBLIC	71	3.2	241	81	2.4	241	51	3.4	241	72	3.4	241	74	3.2	241	70	3.6	241
	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	M<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	•	-	N<30
NO OUBLIC																		30
PUBLIC	62	1.5	1321	77	1.2	1319	43	15	1321	63	16	1321	60	1.6	1321	60	1.7	1321
NONPUBLIC	72	4 2	140	79	38	140	56	4.8	140	71	4.7	140	66	4.4	140	68	5.0	140
													•••	717	- 40	30	3.0	440

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 18.1A - GRADE 11 2 TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

	FNOHNTL METHODS	ORGNIZA INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATINS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
WRITE A PROGRAM			MMING PROBLE	M - CUMPARIS	ONS	2.50.
YES/NO	2.647 *	1.799	2.221 *	2.511 *	3.846 *	2.504
COMPARISONS - RA	CE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	TIONAL ACTIVI	TY (Z=2.5 FC	R 4 TESTS AT	.05)
WH/BL	1.640	1.557	2.280	1.812	2.186	1.773
WH/HISP						
BL/HISP						
NO.						
WH/BL	3.597 *	3.559 *	6.744 *	3.170 *	5.022 *	3.839
VH/HISP	3.776 *	3.178 *	4.304 *	3.420 *	3.227 *	3.089
BL/HISP	0.835	0.281	-1 50	0.744	-0.60	0.029
COMPARISONS - GE	NDER BY INST	RUCTIONAL A	CTIVITY (Z=2.	24 FOR 2 TES	STS AT .05)	
YES						
H/F	1.068	0.885	0.724	0.645	1.115	0.701
NO						
H/F	0.564	-0.96	1.708	-0.85	1.494	0.279
COCO.C. T.		ATTEMPED D	v 185701957101	45710110	/7=1 06 FMD	1 TEST AT (15)
COMPARISONS - TY YES PUB/NPUB	PE OF SCHOOL	. ATTEMOED B	T INSTRUCTION	VAL ACTIVITY	(2-1.90 FOR	1 1231 X1 103)
NO						
PUB/NPUB	-2.23 *	-0.62	-2.69 *	-1	-1.27	-1.59

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 18.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 7 "DID YOU EVER WRITE A COMPUTER PROGRAM TO SOLVE A LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEM?" DATA NUMBERS & NUMBERS & OPERATIONS: FUNDAMENTAL ORGANIZATION & OPERATIONS: HIGHER LEVEL TOTAL ACROSS KNOWLEDGE/SKILLS AVG % SE N METHODS INTERPRETATION MEASUREMENT **APPLICATIONS** SUBSCALES AVG % AVG X SE AVG % SE AVG X AVG X DID YOU EVER WRITE A COMPUTER PROGRAM TO SOLVE A LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEM? 4.2 1.5 3.7 213 1763 60 71 YES 48 48 52 3.9 1.3 213 1763 4.2 1.5 3.7 213 1763 271 213 1763 271 2247 213 1763 271 2247 4.2 213 1763 60 52 57 54 4.0 4.4 NO 54 40 1.4 3.4 1.3 1.6 3.9 1.4 66 63 NOT REPORTED 271 2247 51 271 67 3.2 48 3.7 271 TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE 2247 69 WRITE A PROGRAM TO SOLVE A LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEM BY RACE/ETHNICITY OF EXAMINEE * WH!TE BLACK 5.8 7.6 6.3 6.3 8.1 8.6 96 58 51 6.0 7.5 7.9 96 58 51 96 58 6.1 8.6 96 58 58 58 51 44 37 8.1 58 51 54 46 38 28 27 8.6 43 41 HISPANIC 8.5 NO 2.0 3.3 WHITE 1054 1.6 1054 57 1.9 1.9 1054 68 1054 44 1054 60 1054 2.0 46 59 359 3.2 359 3.3 58 29 31 36 359 359 3.0 359 46 3.5 359 HISPANIC 281 3.8 281 WRITE A PROGRAM TO SOLVE A LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEM BY SEX OF EXAMINEE * YES MALE 134 79 4.9 5.3 7.0 134 40 5.1 6.5 134 79 134 79 FEMALE 65 6 5 79 45 79 NO MALE 2.2 1.8 827 2.2 827 66 827 42 827 FEMALE 936 69 1.8 936 66 936 2.0 936 39 2.0 936 936 WRITE A PROGRAM TO SOLVE A LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEM BY TYPE OF SCHOOL EXAMINEE ATTENDS * YES PUBL IC 193 59 193 4 1 46 193 193 4 2 36 193 50 4.7 193 NONPUBLIC N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 NO PUBL 1C 1628 70 3 1628 52 1.6 1628 65 72 40 1.5 1628 1628 NONPUBLIC 55 5.6 134 77 134 134 5.1 134 46 134 62 5 6 134

Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 18.2A - GRADE 7 2 TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

	FNOMNTL HETHOOS	ORGNIZA INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATHS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
WRITE A PROGRAM	TO SOLVE A L	INEAR PROGRA	AMMING PROBLE	EH - COMPARIS	ONS	
YES/NO	-1.24	-2.66 *	-0.96	-1.31	-0.51	-1.13
COMPARISONS - RA	ACE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	FIONAL ACTIV	ITY (Z=2.64 F	OR 6 TESTS A	т .05)
WH/BL	0.930	1.159	1.576	1.404	1.570	1.334
WH/HISP	1.576	1.838	1.975	1.248	1.658	1.502
BL/HISP	0.602	0.675	0.415	-0.08	0.128	0.198
NO .	*			****		
WH/BL*	2.636	4.407 *	5.347 *	2.767 *	4.349 *	3.560
WH/HISP	2.534	3.677 *	3.175 *	2.033	3.273 *	2.523
BL/HISP	0.099	-0.40	-1.43	-0.42	-0.57	-0.62
COMPARISONS - G	ENDER BY INST	RUCTIONAL A	CTIVITY (Z=2	.24 FOR 2 TES	STS AT .05)	
YES						
M/F	-0.35	-0.90	0.530	-0.62	0.591	-0.11
NO						
H/F	0.132	1.051	1.036	-0.13	0.803	0.386
COMPARISONS - T YES PUB/NPUB	YPE OF SCHOOL	L ATTENDED B	Y INSTRUCTION	NAL ACTIVITY	(Z=2.24 FOR	? TESTS AT .05)
NO PUB/NPUB	-0.22	-1.50	-0.95	-1,24	-1.13	-0.96

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 19 1. AVERAGE	E PERCEI DU EVER	NT COP	RECT (IPUTER	-86 NA	NEP MA	THEMATI PERFORM	CS SUE	SCALES STICAL	S: GRAI	DE 11 SIS?"							
	FUNDAM	ENTAL		DATA ORGANI	7ATIDA	. 2				NUMBERS OPERAT			NUMBER HIGHER			DNS: Total:	ACDOCC	
	DOHTEN	S		INTERP	RETATI		MEASUR			KNOWLE	GE/SI		APPLIC	ATIONS		SUBSCA	LES	
DID YOU EVER WRITE	AVG % A COMPU	SE TER PR	MARROS	AVG X		N Sitatis	AVG X	SE NALYSI	S 2	AVG X	SE	N	AVG X	S SE	N	AVG X	SE	N
YES	69	2.4	447	81	1.9	447	52	2.5	447	70	2.6	447	70	2.5	447	68	2.8	447
NO DEDOCATED	63	1.5	1302	77	1.2	1300	44	1.5	1302	64	1.6	1302	61	1.6	1302	61	1.7	1302
NOT REPORTED TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	50 64	7.0 1.3	58 1807	63 78	5.9 1.0	58 1805	32 46	6.6 1.3	58 1807	49 65	7.4	58 1807	54 63	7.3	58 1807	4 9 6 2	7.9 1.4	58 1807
										63	1.3	1007	03	1.3	100/	02	1.4	1007
WRITE A PROGRAM TO I								-	EXAM	INEE *								
WHITE BLACK	71 59	2.7 7.0	329 70	85 68	2.1 5.6	329 70	56 25	3.0 5.8	329	73	3.0	329	73	2.8	329	71	3.1	329
HISPANIC	60	9 6	33	77	6.2	32	36	8.6	70 33	56 58	7.6 9.8	70 33	50 56	7.3 10.5	70 33	51 56	7.9 10.8	70 33
NO							•			-	٥.٠	•	30	10.5		30	10.0	-
WHITE BLACK	67 51	1.8	906 219	80 69	1.4 3.2	904 219	48 25	1.9 3.6	906	68	1.9	906	65	1.8	906	65	2.0	906
HISPANIC	45	4.9	133	64	4.2	133	28	4.4	219 133	53 48	4.2 5.2	219 133	44 46	4.0 5.1	219 133	47 46	4.4 5.5	219 133
											•.•			٠	100		0.0	
WRITE A PROGRAM TO F	PERFURM	STATE	STICAL	. ANALY	SIS BY	SEX	OF EXAM	INEE *										
MALE	73	3 0	250	81	2.5	250	56	3.2	250	71	3.4	250	73	3.2	250	70	3.6	250
FEMALE NO	64	3.8	197	81	2.9	197	46	3.9	197	70	4.0	197	66	4.0	197	65	4.3	197
MALE	63	2.1	651	76	1 7	650	46	2.2	651	63	2.2	651	63	2.2	651	61	2.4	651
FEMALE	63	2 2	651	78	1.8	650	42	2.2	651	65	2.3	651	59	2.2	651	61	2.4	651
WRITE A PROGRAM TO P	ERFORM	STATE	STICAL	ANALY	SIS BY	TYPE	OF SCH	OOL EX	AMINE	ATTENO	s *							
PUBLIC	68	26	398	80	2 0	398	50	2.6	398	70	2.8	398	69	2.7	398	67	2.9	398
NONPUBLIC No	76	6.1	49	89	4.8	49	66	7.6	49	76	7.6	49	79	7.1	49	76	7.9	49
PUBLIC	62	1 6	1177	77	1.3	1175	43	1.6	1177	63	1.7	1177	60	1.6	1177	60	1.8	1177
NONPUBLIC	72	4 6	125	77	4 2	125	54	5.1	125	72	4.9	125	65	4.7	125	68	5.3	125

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 19.1A - GRADE 11 2 TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z*1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

	FNOMNTL METHODS	ORGN1Z& INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATHS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	TOT
WRITE A PROGRAM	TO PERFORM S	TATISTICAL A	NALYSIS - CO	MPARISONS		
YES/NO	2.200 *	1.960	2.761 *	2.126 *	3.128 *	2.191
COMPARISONS - RA	CE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUCT	FIONAL ACTIVI	TY (Z=2.64 F	OR 6 TESTS A	т .05)
WH/BL	1.515	2.740 *	4.839 *	2.074	2.868 *	2.334
WH/HISP	1.077	1.113	2.186	1.410	1.512	1.313
BL/HISP	-0.05	-1.08	-1.11	-0.20	-0.47	-0.37
NO .						
WH/BL	3.689 *	3.231 *	5.884 *	3.278 *	4.760 *	3.719
WH/HISP	4.126 *	3.558 *	4.292 *	3.590 *		
BL/HISP	0.980	0.812	-0.55	0.746	-0.35	0.156
COMPARISONS - GE	NDER BY INST	RUCTIONAL A	CTIVITY (Z=2.	24 FOR 2 TES	STS AT .05)	
YES	1,929	0.052	2.023	0.208	1.254	0.857
M/F	1.929	0.052	2.023	0.208	1,234	0.057
NO						
H/F	0.099	-0.53	1.141	-0.65	1.448	0.205
COMPARISONS - TY	PE OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED B	Y INSTRUCTION	HAL ACTIVITY	(Z=2.24 FOR	2 TESTS AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-1.07	-1.74	-1.92	-0.81	-1.39	-1.08
NO						
PU8/NPU8	-2.06	-0.06	-2.07	-1.68	-0.96	-1.41

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 19.2. AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 7 "DID YOU EVER WRITE A COMPUTER PROGRAM TO PERFORM STATISTICAL ANALYSIS?"

010 10	O LVLK	U K111	. ~	DATA	rkookk	,, ,,	CRIORE	J.A.1	317 0 A	NUMBER!	8		NUMBER	S & OP	ERATIO	ONS:		
	FUNDAM	ENTAL			ZATION	8				OPERAT			HIGHER			TOTAL A	CROSS	
	METHOD	S		INTERP	RETATI	ON	MEASUR	EMENT		KNOY', EI	GE/SK	ILLS	APPLIC	ATIONS		SUBSCAL	.ES	
	AVG %	SE	N	AVG X	SE	ĸ	AVG %	SE	N	Avo X	SE	N	AVG X	SE	N	AVG %	SE	N
DIO YOU EVER WRITE A		TER PR	ROGRAH	TO PER	FORM S	TATIS	TICAL A	NALYSI	IS?									
YES	53	3.5	317	68	3.0	317	55	3.5	317	66	3.4	317	41	3.3	317	58	3.6	317
₩0	54	1.5	1587	70	1.3	1687	51	1.5	1687	66	1.5	1687	40	1.5	1687	57	1.6	1687
NOT REPORTED	46	3.9	243	63	3.5	243	46	3.9	243	60	3.9	243	34	3.8	243	50	4.1	243
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	53	1.3	2247	69	1.1	2247	51	1.3	2247	65	1.3	2247	40	1.3	2247	56	1.4	2247
WRITE A PROGRAM TO P	FRFORM	STATI	STICAL	ANAL Y	SIS BY	RACE	/ETHNIC	ITY OF	FYAM	INFF *								
YES		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •																
WHITE	56	4.9	174	74	3.9	174	61	4.7	174	71	4.4	174	46	4.6	174	63	4.8	174
BLACK	48	7.7	67	57	7.1	67	41	7.7	67	56	8.0	67	32	7.2	67	47	8.2	67
HISPANIC	39	7.3	63	48	7.2	63	37	7.4	63	51	8.1	63	27	6.7	63	42	8.0	63
NO																		
WHITE	57	2.0	1010	74	1.6	1010	56	2.0	1010	68	1.9	1010	44	1.9	10:0	60	2.0	1010
BLACK	45	3.4	345	59	3.3	345	36	3.3	345	57	3.5	345	27	3.0	345	45	3.6	345
HISPANIC	46	3.9	264	62	3.6	264	43	3.9	264	60	3.9	264	31	3.6	264	49	4.1	264
WRITE A PROGRAM TO P	ERFJRM	STATI	STICAL	ANALY	SIS BY	SEX (OF EXAM	INEE *										
YES																		
MALE	51	4.6	183	66	3.9	1 33	56	4.5	183	64	4.4	183	42	4.3	183	57	4.7	183
FEMALE	55	5.5	134	71	4 5	134	54	5.4	134	68	5.2	134	41	5.2	134	59	5.6	134
NO																		
MALE	54	2.2	792	71	1.9	792	53	2.2	792	65	2.2	792		2.1	792	57	2.3	792
FEMALE	54	2.1	895	69	1.9	895	50	2.1	895	66	2.1	895	39	2.0	895	56	2.2	895
WRITE A PROGRAM TO P	ERFORM	STATI	STICAL	ANALY	S15 BY	TYPE	OF 5CH	OOL EX	AMINE	E ATTENI)S *							
YES																		
PUBLIC	53	3.7	297	6 B	3.1	297	54	3.6	297	65	3.5	297	40	3 4	29/	57	3.7	297
NONPUBLIC	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30
NO																		
PUBL1C	54	1.6	1557	69	1.4	1557	51	1.6	1557	65	1.6	1557	40	1.5	1557	56	1.7	1557
NONPUBLIC	56	5.6	130	77	4.6	130	57	5.4	130	71	5.2	130	A 5	5.3	130	62	5.6	130

^{*} Small subcategories were not included, so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 19.2A - GRADE 7 Z TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

	FNDHNTL	ORGNIZA	MEASIRE-	NUMBERS&	HGH ORDR	
WRITE A PROGRA	METHODS	INTERP	MENT	OPRATHS	SKILLS	101
YES/NO	-0.28					
TES/NU	-0.28	-0.61	0.954	0.081	0.303	0.255
COMPARISONS - 1	RACE/ETHNICITY	Y BY INSTRUC	TIONAL ACTIVI	TY (Z=2.64 F	OR 6 TESTS A	T .05)
VH/8L	0.946	2.112	2.321	1.658	1.600	1.691
VH/HISP		3.175 *		2.096	2.376	2.272
BL/HISP	0.858	0.882	0.354	0.377		
NO NO	0.030	0.002	0.354	0.3//	0.566	0.455
WH/BL	2.848 *	4.038 *	5.161 *	2.761 *	4.703 *	3.545
VH/HISP	2.443	3.066 *	2.903 *	1.812	3.214 *	2.305
BL/HISP	-0.09	-0.57	-1.44	-0.58	-0.81	-0.75
COMPARISONS - (GENDER BY INST	TRUCTIONAL A	CTIVITY (Z=2.	24 FOR 2 TES	TS AT .05)	
H/F	-0.54	-0.88	0.269	-0.58	0.192	-0.24
NO						
H/F	0.:29	0.793	1.047	-0.13	0.756	0.378
COMPARISONS - 1 YES PUB/NPUB	TYPE OF SCHOOL	L ATTENDED B	Y INSTRUCTION	AL ACTIVITY	(Z=1.96 FOR	1 TEST AT .05)
NO						
PUB/NPUB	-0.34	-1.50	-1.05	-1.09	-1.18	-0.97

 $[\]star$ Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 2G 1: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 11
"010 YOU EVER WRITE A COMPUTER PROGRAM TO PROCESS BUSINESS, SCIENCE OR SOCIAL INFORMATION?" NUMBERS & OPER ITIONS: PIGHER LEVEL TOT DATA NUMBERS & OPERATIONS: ORGANIZATION & INTERPRETATION AVG X SE TOTAL ACROSS FUNDAMENTAL MEASUREMENT AVG % SE **APPLICATIONS** SUBSCALES KNOWLEDGE/SKILLS AVG % SE N 200KT3M AVG % AVG X AVG % N AVG % OLO YOU EVER WRITE A COMPUTER PROGRAM TO PROCESS BUSINESS, YES 70 2.5 399 81 2.1 399 NO 63 1.5 1360 77 1.2 1358 SCIENCE OR SOCIAL INFORMATION? 2.7 2.7 1.6 399 52 399 399 70 2.6 399 68 2.3 64 52 1.5 61 53 1.7 1360 1360 61 1360 1360 48 NOT REPORTED 48 62 48 40 48 8.2 48 59 48 1807 1807 1807 1807 1807 TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE 78 1.0 1805 46 1.3 WRITE A PROGRAM TO PROCESS INFORMATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY OF EXAMINEE YES STIKE 2.9 282 74 3.2 282 73 3.0 282 3.4 282 8.0 52 54 62 44 62 8.2 **BLACK** 55 55 HISPANIC 8.9 56 NO 2.0 960 48 24 68 52 WHITE. 67 1.7 960 80 960 1.8 960 65 960 227 125 227 125 4.3 46 227 68 3.2 227 125 3.5 4.5 4.1 5.3 227 125 43 45 4.0 BLACK 52 3.8 227 HISPANIC 5.1 125 WRITE A PROGRAM TO PROCESS INFORMATION BY SEX OF EXAMINEE YES MALE 209 209 3.6 209 73 3.7 209 74 3.4 209 3.9 FEMALE 65 3.9 190 79 190 46 4.0 190 69 190 66 4.0 190 65 190 NO 2.0 696 695 46 2.1 696 63 2.2 696 64 696 62 2.3 696 FEMALE 664 78 663 42 664 664 61 664 WIRTE A PROGRAM TO PROCESS INFORMATION BY TYPE OF SCHOOL **EXAMINEE ATTENDS *** PUBLIC 2.9 7.7 67 74 70 71 2 7 6.8 80 86 70 74 352 47 69 79 2.8 352 47 3.1 8.2 352 47 NON PUBLIC NO PUBLIC 1.6 1.6 5.1 60 **NONPUBLIC** 78 125



^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 20.1A - GRADE 11 2 TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST AT .05)

	FNDMN (L METHODS	ORGNIZA INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERS& OPRATHS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	тот
WRITE A PROGRAM						
YES/MO	2.427 *	1.512	2.580 *	2.056 *	2.897 *	2.149
COMPARISONS - R	ACE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUC	TIONAL ACTIVIT	TY (Z=2.64 F	OR 6 TESTS A	T .05)
WH/BL	1.806	2.047	4.085 *	2.014	2.746 *	2.172
WH/HISP	1.928	1.365	2.924 *	1.956	1.980	1.775
BL/HISP	0.314	-0.52	-0.49	0.100	-0.40	-0.12
NO				_		
WH/BL	3.639 *	3.607 *	6.268 *	3.424 *	5.017 *	3.909
WH/HISP	3.895 *	3.467 *	4.255 *	3.596	3.510 *	3.236
BL/HISP	0.903	0.583	-0.69	0.726	-0.31	0.126
COMPARISONS - G	ENDER BY INST	RUCTIONAL A	CTIVITY (Z=2.2	24 FOR 2 TES	TS AT .05)	
M/F	1.877	0.907	2.066	0.723	1.573	1.145
NO			•			
M/F	0.271	-0.68	1.375	-0.64	1.603	0.300
COMPARISONS - T	YPE OF SCHOOL	ATTENDED BY	Y INSTRUCTION/	L ACTIVITY	(Z=2.24 FOR	2 TESTS AT .05)
PUB/NPUB	-0.20	-0.97	-1.11	-0.51	-1.28	-0.76
NO						
PUB/NPUB	-2.46 *	-0.25	-2.12	-1.73	-0.71	-1.38

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 20.2: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 7 OID YOU EVER WRITE A COMPUTER PROGRAM TO PROCESS BUSINESS, SCIENCE OR SOCIAL INFORMATION?" NUMBERS & OPERATIONS DATA. NUMBERS & OPERATIONS: TOTAL ACROSS FUNDAMENTAL ORGANIZATION & HIGHER LEVEL INTERPRETATION AVG % SE KNOWLEDGE/SKILLS METHOOS MEASUREMENT **APPLICATIONS** SUBSCALES AVG X SE N AVG X SE N AVG X SE N AVG X SE N AVG X SE OID YOU EVER WRITE A COMPUTER PROGRAM TO PROCESS BUSINESS, SCIENCE OR SOCIAL INFORMATION? AVG % AVG X SE 55 54 71 70 53 3.1 1.3 3.6 1.5 4.7 YES 3.7 289 289 56 289 67 3.5 289 289 59 3.7 289 3.5 NO REPORTED 1797 1797 51 1797 66 1797 40 1.4 1797 56 1.5 1797 4.8 40 161 161 53 5.0 30 4.5 161 44 161 2247 TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE 53 1.3 2247 69 51 2247 2247 2247 WRITE A PROGRAM TO PROCESS INFORMATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY OF EXAMINEE YES WHITE 172 57 51 4.5 8.4 8.9 4.8 3.8 71 172 57 4.8 8.6 172 56 172 172 61 4.6 8.2 8.9 47 4.7 7.5 172 63 57 51 BLACK 47 8.2 57 7.9 40 47 60 46 31 36 HISPANIC NO WHITE 57 1.3 1069 74 1.6 1069 56 1.9 1069 68 1.8 1069 1069 2.0 1069 3.3 3.2 46 44 370 285 59 59 3.1 370 285 370 285 57 3.4 370 285 370 285 RI ACK 36 28 2.9 370 46 3.5 HISPANIC 30 3.4 285 WRITE A PROGRAM TO PROCESS INFORMATION BY SEX OF EXAMINEE YES MALE 54 58 4.9 5.6 166 71 72 4.0 166 4.7 5.5 166 67 4.7 4.7 5.5 166 166 FEMALE 43 123 4.9 123 123 67 123 NO 1.8 53 2.1 2.1 851 71 851 946 53 2.1 65 851 2.1 851 41 39 2.0 851 946 57 2.2 851 946 946 1.8 946 946 FEMALE 50 66 56 WIRTE A PROGRAM TO PROCESS INFORMATION BY TYPE OF SCHOOL EXAMINEE ATTENDS * YES PUBL 1C 3.8 269 70 3.3 269 3.7 269 66 3.7 269 42 3.7 269 58 3.9 269 MONPUBLIC N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 N<30 NO PUBLIC 1657 1.6 1657 70 1.3 51 1657 65 1.5 5.0 1657 39 1.5 1.6 1657 56 1657 NONPUBLIC 138 138 138 5.1 138 62 138

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 20.2A - GRADE 7 2 TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=1.96 FOR 1 TEST 4T 05)

WRITE A PROGRAM	FNOMNTL METHODS TO PROCESS 1	ORGNIZA INTERP	MEASURE- MENT - COMPARISONS	NUMBERS& OPRATINS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	тот	
YES/NO	0.275	0.416	1 217	0.421	0.970	0.718	
COMPARISONS - R	ACE/ETHNICITY	BY INSTRUC	TIONAL ACTIVI	TY (Z=2.64 F	OR 6 TESTS A	T .05)	
YES							
WH/BL	1.036	1.732	2.250	1.825	1.72 9	1.722	
VH/HISP	0.597	1.469	1.349	1.008	1.188	1.066	
BL/HISP	-0.33	-0.16	-0.62	-0.60	-0.38	-0.47	
NO							
WH/BL	2.699 *	4.390 *	5.318 *	2.796 *	4.579 *	3.581	
VH/HISP	3.071 *	4.017 *	3.541 *	2.351	3.724 *	2.858	
BL/H1SP	0.506	-0.04	-1.08	-0.17	-0.35	-0.34	
COMPARISONS - G	ENDER BY INST	TRUCTIONAL A	CTIVITY (Z*2.	24 FOR 2 TES	TS AT .05)		
M/F	-0.21	-0.18	0.637	-0.04	0.125	0.092	
NO							
M/F	-0.26	0.470	0.911	-0.44	0.746	0.162	
COMPARISONS - T YES PUB/NPUB	YPE OF SCHOOL	_ ATTENDED B	Y INSTRUCTION	AL ACTIVITY	(Z=1.96 FOR	1 TEST AT .	05)
NO PUB/NPUB	-0.49	-1.33	-1.19	-1.28	-1.36	-1.08	

^{*} Statistically significant difference.



TABLE 21: AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 HAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES: GRADE 11 NUMBER OF MATH COURSES TAKEN

NUMBER OF	NUMBER OF MATH COURSES TAKEN																	
-				DATA						NUMBERS			NUMBERS		ERAT I		. 	
	NDANE THOOS			ORGANI: INTERP			ME 4 C1 UD 6	MENT		OPERATI			HIGHER			TOTAL A		i
	VG X	SE	N	AVG %		N N	MEASURE AVC %	SE	N	KNOWLED AVG %	165/31 SE	ILLS	APPLICA	SE	N	SUBSCAL AVG X		N
NUMBER OF MATH COURSES			-	A14 A	36	-	A10 A	36	-	ATU A	95	-	AVU A	36	-	AVUA	SE	
NOT REPORTED	32	3.0	328	43	2.9	302	24	2.6	375	44	3.1	342	30	2.8	375	34	3.1	375
ONE OR TWO	41	1.4	1615	55	1.3	1505	36	1.3	1945	56	1.4	1702	41	1.3	1945	46	1.5	1945
THREE OR FOUR	56	0.9	3919	68	0.8	3677	56	0.8	4737	73	0.8	4157	63	0.8	4737	63	0.9	4737
FIVE OR SIX	66	1.3	1523	74	1.0	1427	70	1.2	1803	84	1.1	1586	76	1.2	1803	76	1.3	1803
SEVEN OR MORE	76	4.6	102	77	3.9	99	81	4.0	127	91	3.4	105	80	4.2	127	83	4.2	127
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	55	0.6	7487	66	0.5	7010	54	0.6	8987	71	0.6	7892	60	0.6	8987	62	0.6	8987
NUMBER OF MATH COURSES	TAKE	N BY	RACE/E	THNICI	TY OF	EXAM! N	IEE *											
NOT REPORTED																		
WHITE	37	5.0	129	50	4.3	120	30	4.3	150	49	5.0	131	35	4.5	150	39	5.0	150
BLACK	29	5.1	108	34	5.1	99	17	4.2	123	39	5.2	113	25	4.9	123	29	5.4	123
HISPANIC	24	5.7	73	37	6.5	69	19	5.4	83	37	6.2	79	24	5.8	83	27	6.4	83
CHE OR TWO			0/0															
WHITE	43	1.8	968	58	1.6	917	41	1.7	1146	59	1.8	1014	46	1.8	1146	49	1.9	1146
BLACK HISPANIC	36 37	2.8	401	46 47	2.7	357	26 27	2.4	484	49	2.9	416	30	2.6	484	36	2.9	484
THREE OR FOUR	31	4.0	205	9/	4.0	186	21	3.4	249	49	4.1	215	35	3.7	249	38	4.1	249
WHITE	58	1.0	2910	70	0.9	2740	60	1.0	3490	76	0.9	3065	44		3490	67	• •	7/00
BLACK	44	2.3	575	59	2.2	535	36	2.1	709	63	2.3	625	66 46	1.0	709	50	1.0	3490 709
HISPANIC	48	3.0	343	61	2.7	323	43	2.8	429	64	2.9	375	52	2.9	429	54	3.1	429
FIVE OR SIX			- 10	•			~~		727		,	3.7	76	2.7	727	,,	3.1	467
WHITE	69	1.5	1209	76	1.1	1125	72	1.4	1422	85	1.2	1249	78	1.3	1422	77	1.4	1422
BLACK	47	4.7	146	58	4.0	136	50	4.2	176	71	4.2	153	61	4.3	176	60	4.6	176
HISPANIC	60	5.6	95	67	4.4	91	59	5.2	116	78	4.8	103	66	5.1	116	67	5.6	116
SEVEN OR MORE																		
WHITE	75	5.5	77	78	4.3	76	80	4.7	97	92	3.6	81	79	4.9	97	83	4.9	97
BLACK HISPANIC	-	-	N<30 N<30	:	:	N<30 N<30	-	:	N<30 N<30	-	•	N<30 N<30	-	-	N<30 N<30	-	•	N<30 N<30
NUMBER OF MATH COURSES	TAKE	N BY		OF EX	WINEE							H-30			N-30			H-30
MALE	32	3.7	207	44	3.5	192	24	3.2	235	44	3.8	218	30	7 6	275	7/	7 0	275
FEKALE	31	5.0	121	41	5.0	110	26	4.5	140	45	5.1	124	30 30	3.5	235	34 34	3.9	235
ONE OR TWO	٥.	7.0	12.1	71	٥.0	110	20	4.5	140	4,	١٠٠	124	30	4.8	140	34	5.2	140
MALE	42	2.0	773	58	1.9	724	38	1.8	948	55	2.0	822	44	1.9	948	47	2.1	948
FEMALE	41	1.9	842	51	1.8	781	34	1.8	997	57	2.0	880	39	1.9	997	44	2.1	997
THREE OR FOUR				•					•••	•			•	,	,,,			***
MALE	57	1.2	1910	68	1.1	1787	59	1.2	2315	73	1.2	2020	65	1.2	2315	65	1.3	2315
FEMALE	55	1.2	2009	67	1.1	1890	52	1.2	2422	74	1.1	2137	60	1.2	2422	62	1.3	2422
FIVE OR SIX																		
MALE	66	1.8	821	75	1.4	770	72	1.7	959	84	1.5	855	78	1.6	959	76	1.7	959
FEMALE	66	2.0	702	74	1.5	657	68	1.8	844	84	1.6	731	74	1.8	844	75	1.9	844
SEVEN OR MORE	70			-														
MALE Female	75 78	6.0 7.2	57 45	73 82	5.7 5. 3	57 42	83 79	4.8 6.7	70 57	89 93	4.8	57 48	82 78	5.4 6.5	70 57	83 83	5.5 6.5	70 57
. 4	•	. • •	••			7.	• • •	•••	٠.	,,	4.0	70	70	0.)	,,	0.5	0.,	,,,
NUMBER OF MATH COURSES NOT REPORTED	TAKE	N BY	TYPE O	F SCHOO	DL EXA	MINEE	*TTENDS	•										
PUBLIC	31	3.0	318	43	2.9	296	24	2.7	363	44	3.1	331	30	2.9	363	34	3.2	363
NONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	-	-	N<30	•	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	•	-	N<30	-	-:-	N <30
ONE OR TWO																		
PUBLIC	41	1.4	1572	55	1.3	1465	36	1.3	1898	56	1.4	1661	42	1.4	1898	46	1.5	1898
NOTIPUBLIC	54	8.1	43	56	8.9	40	39	8.6	47	60	9.0	41	34	8.0	47	46	9.5	47
THREE OR FOUR									_									
PUBLIC	56	0.9	3512	68	0.8	3309	56	0.9	4241	73	0.9	3729	63	0.9	4241	64	0.9	
NONPUBLIC	54	2.7	407	66	2.4	368	55	2.5	496	74	2.5	428	62	2.5	496	63	2.8	496
FIVE OR SIX PUBLIC	44	1.4	1270	74		4400	74		4544			4777			40			40
NONPUBLIC	66 67	3.3	1278 245	74 74	1.1 2.6	1199 228	71 68	1.3 3.1	1516	84 82	1.2	1335	76 76	1.3	1516	76	1.4	1516
SEVEN OR MORE	٠,	J.J	-47	"	£.7	550	00	J. 1	287	06	2.8	251	75	3.1	287	74	3.3	287
PUBLIC	76	5.4	79	77	4.3	78	80	4.5	100	90	3.8	84	81	4.7	100	83	4.7	100
NONPUBLIC	•	•	N<30	•	•	N<30	-	•	N<30	-	J.6	N<30	01	-	N<30	۵.	4.7	N <30
															W-20			H -30

24

^{*} Small subcategories were not included; so sample sizes may not match totals. See technical notes for discussion.

TABLE 21A - GRADE 11 2 TESTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 MEANS (2=2.81 FOR 10 TESTS AT .05)

	6					
	FNOMNTL	OR GNI Z&	MEASURE -	NUMBERSE	HGH ORDR	
NUMBER OF MATH CO	METHODS	INTER!	MENT	OPRATHS	SKILLS	TOT
NT REPORTED/1-2	·2.81 *	-3.72 *	-4.07 *	-3.58 *	-3.49 *	-3.28
1.2/3.4	-8.87 *	-8.47 *	-12.6 *	-10.5 *	-13.3 *	-10.3
NT REPORTED/3-4	·7.70 *	-8.29 *	-11.3 *	-9.24 *	-10.8 *	-8.97
1-2/5-6	-13.0 *	-11.8 *	-19.1 *	-15.4 *	-19-1 *	-15.3
3-4/5-6	-6.62 *	-5.39 *	-9.86 *	-7.76 *	-9.15 *	-7.71
5·6/7 & <	-2.06	-0.66	-2.54	-1.84	-0.96	-1.71
NT REPORTED/5-6	-10.5 *	-10.3 *	-15.7 *	-12.2 *	-14.7 *	-12.1
3-4/74<	-4.33 *	-2.38	-6.13 *	-4.92 *	·4. <u>11</u> *	-4.56
NT REPORTED-74<	-8.04 *	-7.00 *	-11.7 *	-10.1 *	-9.79 *	-9.30
1-2/7&<	-7.24 *	-5.38 *	-10.5 *	-9.33 *	-8.78 *	-8.40
COMPARISONS - RAC NOT REPORTED	E/EIRMICIII	BI NUMBER (IL COOKSES IN	KEN (Z=2.00	FOR 12 1ESTS	A1 .05)
WK/BL	1.135	2.437	2.224	1.364	1.510	1.311
WH/HISP	1.745	1.628	1.616	1.547	1.542	1.463
BL/HISP	0.670	-0.44	-0.32	0.297	0.169	0.261
ONE OR TWO			****	••••	******	
WH/BL	2.075	3.649 *	5.113 *	2.923 *	5.068 *	3.900
WH/HISP	1.444	2.584	3.715 *	2.220	2.725	2.507
BL√HI SP	-0.12	-0.08	-0.21	0	-1.04	-0.44
THREE OR FOUR						
WK/BL	5.569 *	4.422 *	10.21 *	5.267 *	8.460 *	6.489
WK/HISP	3.345 *	3.278 *	5.578 *	3.973 *	4.628 *	3.925
BL/HISP	-0.88	-0.37	-2.10	-0.24	-1.69	-1.09
FIVE OR SIX WH/BL	4.385 *	/ 775 +	5.012 *	T 704 A	7 000 +	7 (20
WH/HIJP	1.389	4.375 * 2.161	2.585	3.301 * 1.485	.3.880 *	3.620
BL/HISP	-1.81	-1.38	-1.23	-1.09	2.218 -0.82	1.880 -0.92
SEVEN OR MORE	1.61	1.30	1.23	- 1.07	-0.82	-0.92
WH/BL	-	•	•	•		
WH/HISP	•	•	•	•	•	•
BL/HISP	-	•	•	•	•	-
•						
COMPARISONS - GEN	IDER BY NUME	SER OF COURSE	S TAKEN (Z=2	2.576 FOR 5 T	ESTS AT .05)	
HOT REPORTED						
M/F	0.224	0.607	-0.36	-0.23	0	0.030
OUE 00						
DHE OR TWO	0 /24	2 (0) *	4 (07			
M/F	0.426	2.604 *	1.687	-0.66	2.153	0.984
THREE OR FOUR						
M/F	0.913	0.854	4.589 *	-0.68	2.826 *	1.736
****	0.7.15	0.034	4.507	0.00	2.020	1.730
FIVE OR SIX						
M/F	0.037	0.339	1.385	0	1.445	0.733
				-		
SEVEN OR MORE						
M/F	-0.41	-1.25	0.486	-0.58	0.471	-0.07
					_	_
COMPARISONS - TYP	PE OF SCHOOL	. ATTENDED BY	NUMBER OF C	COURSES TAKEN	(2=2.4 FOR	3 TESTS
NOT REPORTED						
PUB/NPUB	-	•	•	•	•	•
ONE OR TWO						
PUB/NPUB	-1.62	-0.14	-0.36	-0.41	0.912	-0.06
		0.14	0.50	-0.41	0.712	-0.06
THREE OR FOUR						
PUB/NPUB	0.701	0.796	0.113	-0.30	0.112	0.102
•						U U L
	0.701	01170				
FIVE OR SIX		01170				
FIVE OR SIX PUB/NPUB	-0.36	0.031	0.825	0.690	0.501	0.612
PUB/NPUB			0.825			0.612
			0.825			0.612

^{*} Statistically significant difference.

TABLE 22 AVERAGE PERCENT CORRECT ON 1985-86 NAEP MATHEMATICS SUBSCALES TOTALED BY RACE, GENDER AND TYPE OF SCHOOL EXAMINEE ATTENDS

ATTENDS																		
	FUNDAM METHOD X DVA	S	N	DATA ORGANI INTERF AVG %	RETAT	10n	MEASUR AVG %		N	NUMBERS OPERATI KNOWLEG AVG %	IONS:	KILLS	NUMBERS HIGHER APPLICA AVG %	LEVE	L \$	ONS: TOTAL / SUBSCAI AVG %	.ES	
GRADE 3																		
RACE/ETHNICITY OF EXA																		
WHITE	41	0 5	5896	60	0.7		46	0.6	6653	50	0.7		55	0.7	5945	48	0.8	6653
BLACK HISPANIC	25	0 9	1847	39	1.3		31	1.0	2043	34	1.2		38	1.3	1824	32	13	2044
OTHER	27 32	0.9	1676	42	1.4	1244	33	1.1	1859	39	1.3		40	1.3	1640	35	1.4	1859
GENDER OF EXAMINEE	32	2.1	342	48	3.0	257	36	2.5	384	46	2.9	342	45	2.7	355	39	3.1	384
MALE	37	0.6	4981	55	0.8	3725	42											
FEMALE	37	0.6	4780	55	0.8		43 41	0.7	5584	46	0.7		51	0.8	4969	44	0.8	5584
TYPE OF SCHOOL EXAMIN			4700	33	0.0	3000	41	0.7	5355	47	0.8	4780	51	0.8	4795	44	0.8	5356
PUBLIC	37	0.4	8893	55	0.6	6752	42	0 5	9969	46	0.6	0010						
NONPUBLIC	41	1.4	855	58	1.9		47	1.6	955	48	1.8		50	0.6	8893	44	0.6	9970
OTHER			N<30	-	-	N<30	7′_	1.0	N<30	70	1.0	N<30	54	1.8	857	48	2.0	955
									N -30	-	-	W-20	•	-	N<30	-	•	N<30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	37	0 4	9761	55	0.6	7411	42	0.5	10939	46	0.5	9782	51	0.5	9764	44	0.6	10940
GRADE 7																		
RACE/ETHNICITY OF EXA	HINEE												•					
WHITE	50	0 7	5585	64	0.8	4241	45	0.7	7170									
BLACK	38	i i	1984	50	1.4	1494	27	1.1	7178 2525	59 42	0.7	6720	40	0.7	7179	49	0.7	7179
HISPANIC	38	1.2	1592	51	1 6	1237	31	1.2	2027	44	1.2	2367	25	1.0	2526	33	1.2	2526
OTHER	49	2 7	369	60	3 2	267	40	2.6	452	53	1.3	1916 417	28 37	1.2	2027	36	1.4	2027
GENDER OF EXAMINEE	-		•••	•	• •	20,	70	2.0	432	33	٤.,	71/	3/	2.6	452	44	2.9	452
MALE	46	0.7	4834	61	0.9	3593	41	0.7	6143	53	0.7	5759	36	0.7	61.42	44		
FEMALE	48	0.7	4696	60	0.9	3646	40	0.7	6039	56	0.7	5661	37	0.7 0.7	6143 6041	44 46	8.0 8.0	6143
TYPE OF SCHOOL EXAMIN	EE AT	TENDS						• .	0000	30	٠.,	3001	3/	0.7	0041	40	0.6	6041
PUBLIC	47	0.5	8794	60	06	6705	40	0.5	11244	54	0.5	10548	36	0.5	11246	44	0.6	11246
NONPUBLIC	50	1.9	732	67	2 3	530	46	1.9	932	64	1.9	866	43	1.9	932	51	2.0	932
OTHER	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	-:-	N<30	-	-	N<30	-	2.0	N<30
T0711 14/10 000000000															11-50			11-30
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	47	0 5	9530	61	0 6	7239	40	0.5	12182	55	0 5	11420	37	0.5	12184	45	0.6	12184
GRADE 11 *															•			
RACE/ETHNICITY OF EXA	MINEE																	
WHITE	58	0 7	5293	69	0 6	4978	59	0.7	6305	76	^ 7							
BLACK	41	1.6	1238	53	15	1134	34	1.4	1501	75	0.7 1.6	5540	65	0 7	6305	66	0.8	6305
HISPANIC	44	2 1	721	55	1.9	674	39	1.9	884	58 59	2.0	1316 776	42	1.5	1501	45	1.6	1501
OTHER	эS	3 5	235	66	3 2	224	59	3.0	297	73	3.1	260	46	2.0	884	49	2.1	884
GENDER OF EXAMINEE		• •		•			33	3.0	237	/3	3.1	200	65	3.1	297	66	3.3	297
MALE	55	09	3768	67	0 8	3530	57	0.8	4527	71	0.8	3972	62	Λ 0	4527	62		4503
FEMALE	54	0 9	3719	65	0.8	3480	51	0.8	4460	72	0.8	3920	58	0.8	4527 4460	63 60	0 9	4527
TYPE OF SCHOOL EXAMIN	EE ATI	TENDS						0		, L	U. U	3320	30	0.9	4400	90	0.9	4460
PUBLIC	54	0 7	6759	65	0 6	6347	53	0.6	8118	71	0.6	7140	60	0.6	8118	61	0.7	0110
MONPUBLIC	59	2.0	728	68	1 8	663	59	1.9	869	76	1 8	752	65	1.9	869	66	2.0	8118 869
TOTAL MATERIAL CHARGES														2.5	003	00		003
TOTAL W/IN SUBSCALE	55		7487	66	0.5	7010	54	0 6	8987	71	0.6	7892	60	0 6	8987	62	0 6	8987
* Results presented re	enrese	ent on	ly tho	se ctur	lants	Curren	tly ann	al lad	(n.									

^{*} Results presented represent only those students currently enrolled in a math class.

TABLE 22a: MARGINAL TOTALS BY GRADE LEVEL 2 TESTS FOR DIFF BETWEEN 2 MEANS (Z=2.576 FOR 5 TESTS AT .05)

2 125.0 10. 01.1				,		
	FNOMNTL METHODS	ORGNIZĀ INTERP	MEASURE- MENT	NUMBERSA OPRATNS	HGH ORDR SKILLS	тот
		DACE OF I	EVANITACE			
GRADE 3 - MARGINA				40.00.	11 0/ *	10.70
WHITE/BLACK	15.28 *	13.84 *	12.37 *	10.99 *	11.86 *	
BLACK/HISPANIC	-1.63	-1.45	-1.38	-2.69	-1.03	-1.69
WHITE/HISPANIC	12.57 *	11.61 *	10.14 *	7.210 *	10.41 *	8.221
GRADE 3 - MARGINA	L COMPARISO	IS: GENDER O	F EXAMINEE			
M/F	-0.97	-0.44	2.098	-1,11	-0.09	-0.08
GRADE 3 - MARGINA		IC. TYPE DE	CCUCOL ATTEN	OED.		
					-1.98	-1.73
PUB/NPUS	-3.29 *	-1.56	-3.02 *	-0.78	-1.98	-1./3
GRADE 7 - MARGINA	L COMPARISO	NS: RACE OF	EXAMINEE			
WHITE/BLACK	9.586 *	8.634 *	14.21 *	12.75 *	12.69 *	11.72
BLACK/HISPANIC	-0.36	-0.23	-2.61	-1.14	-2.27	-1.89
WHITE/HISPANIC	8.316 *	7.797 *	9.829 *	10.37 *	8.609 *	8.442
AHTIE/HISPARIC	8.310 -	1.171 -	7.027 -	10.37	6.607	0.442
GRADE 7 - MARGINA	1 COMPARISO	NS: GENDER O	F EXAMINEE			
M/F	-2.24	0.080	0.393	-3.23 *	-0.09	-1.24
GRADE 7 - MARGINA						
PUB/NPUB	-1.85	-2.87 *	-3.38 *	-5.16 *	-3.65 *	-3.15
GRADE 11 - MARGIN	NAL COMPARIS	ONS: RACE OF	EXAMINEE			
WHITE/BLACK	9.589 *	9.759 *	16.12 *	9.695 *	14.04 *	11.22
BLACK/HISPANIC	-1.11	-0.90	-2.11	-0.35	-1.98	-1.23
WHITE/HISPANIC	6.292 *	6.776 *	10.21 *	7.355 *	8.721 *	7.521
	J. 2. 7. 2				2	
GRADE 11 - MARGIN						
M/F	0.955	1.834	4.746 *	-0.85	3.712 *	1.956
GRADE 11 - MARGIN	IAL COMOADIC	ANC. TYPE OF	CCU001 ATTE	NOCO		
PUB/NPUB	-2.50	-1.54	-2.92 *	-2.88 *	-2.59 *	-2.24
*						

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1990 0-862-208

^{*} Statistically significant difference.